| 1 | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS | | | | | |
|----|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| 2 | HOUSTON DIVISION | | | | | |
| 3 | | | | | | |
| 4 | CASEY NELSON, ET AL * 4:17-CV-02171 * | | | | | |
| 5 | V. * 8:59 A.M. to 4:09 P.M. * | | | | | |
| 6 | TEXAS SUGARS, INC., ET * AL * JUNE 19, 2019 | | | | | |
| 7 | TRIAL EXCERPT - JURY CHARGE, CLOSING STATEMENTS, | | | | | |
| 8 | AND JURY INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE THE HONORABLE ALFRED H. BENNETT | | | | | |
| 9 | AND A JURY Volume 1 of 1 Volume | | | | | |
| 10 | APPEARANCES | | | | | |
| 11 | FOR THE PLAINTIFFS: | | | | | |
| 12 | Mr. Warren A. Berlanga Mr. Kelly E. Cook | | | | | |
| 13 | Wyly & Cook, PLLC 4101 Washington Avenue | | | | | |
| 14 | Houston, Texas 77007 713-236-8330 | | | | | |
| 15 | | | | | | |
| | Mr. Casey Wallace | | | | | |
| 16 | Feldman & Feldman | | | | | |
| 17 | 3355 West Alabama Street Suite 1220 | | | | | |
| 18 | Houston, Texas 77098 (713) 986-9471 | | | | | |
| 19 | ALSO IN ATTENDANCE: | | | | | |
| 20 | Ms. Maria Alvarez | | | | | |
| 21 | Ms. Marissa Reyna Mr. Alex Khorshidpanah | | | | | |
| 22 | Court Reporter: | | | | | |
| 23 | Laura Wells, RPR, RMR, CRR 515 Rusk Street, Suite 8004 Houston, Texas 77002 | | | | | |
| 24 | | | | | | |
| 25 | Proceedings recorded by mechanical stenography. Transcript produced by computer-assisted transcription. | | | | | |
| | Laura Walla CPP PDP | | | | | |

| 1 | VOLUME 1 | | | | |
|----|---|---------------|--|--|--|
| 2 | (Excerpt of Trial - Jury Charge, Closing and Jur Instructions) | гy | | | |
| 3 | June 19, 2019 | Page | | | |
| 4 | Announcements | 3 | | | |
| 5 | Hearing on Hours Worked | 3 26 46 | | | |
| 6 | Charge of Court | | | | |
| 7 | Closing Statement by Mr. Wallace | 69 86 | | | |
| 8 | Jury DeliberationsReview of Exhibits | 89 91 | | | |
| 9 | Jury Note 1 | 93 97 | | | |
| 10 | | | | | |
| 11 | | | | | |
| 12 | | | | | |
| 13 | | | | | |
| 14 | | | | | |
| 15 | | | | | |
| 16 | | | | | |
| 17 | | | | | |
| 18 | | | | | |
| 19 | | | | | |
| 20 | | | | | |
| 21 | | | | | |
| 22 | | | | | |
| 23 | | | | | |
| 24 | | | | | |
| 25 | | | | | |
| | Laura Wells, CRR, RDR | | | | |

```
(Beginning of requested excerpts, as follows:)
         1
         2
                 (Open court, parties present, no jury.)
         3
                     THE COURT: Good morning. Thank you. Please
           have a seat. Cause Number 4:17-CV-2171, Casey Nelson, et
         4
         5
           al v. Texas Sugars, Inc.
08:59:49
         6
                Counsel, please announce your appearances for the
         7
           record.
         8
                     MR. COOK: Kelly Cook for the plaintiffs.
         9
                     MR. BERLANGA: Warren Berlanga for the
           Plaintiffs.
       10
08:59:54
                     MR. KING: Will King for the defendant.
       11
       12
                    MR. WALLACE: Casey Wallace for the defendant,
       13
           Your Honor.
                     THE COURT: Very well. Let the record reflect
       14
           counsel for the parties are present, the jury is not
       15
09:00:01
       16
           present in the courtroom.
       17
                Overnight the Court had requested that the parties
       18
           provide the Court with additional guidance on the issue as
           to whether or not plaintiffs have met their burden of just
       20
           basic evidence of a prima facie case as to the number of
09:00:24
       21
           hours worked. Of course, as the plaintiffs have pointed
       22
           out, that once they were -- they reached that point, the
       23
           burden shifts to the defense. But the question that the
           Court has is have they met their initial burden of
       25
           evidence.
09:00:48
```

The Court in its memory in listening to the plaintiffs 1 2 cannot recall -- and I'm going to give plaintiffs the 3 opportunity to point this out to the Court -- cannot recall where any plaintiff testified as to the number of 4 hours she worked in a given week, in a given month. 5 09:01:08 I do recall specifically where they talked about the 6 7 shifts that they worked. That each of the plaintiffs, I 8 believe, described what the Court now calls the morning 9 shift, the mid shift, and the night shift. And then each plaintiff would testify I would work the mid shift during 10 09:01:35 the week or I would work the night shift. I did not hear 11 12 a specific number of hours ever mentioned for a specific week for a specific plaintiff. 13 So the question is: By referring to working a 14 specific shift, does that raise a just and reasonable 15 09:02:01 16 inference as to the amount of hours worked that can now be 17 used and calculated by the jury to create a damage profile 18 for each plaintiff? 19 The plaintiffs overnight provided the Court with a few 20 cases. One of the cases that the Court -- that the 09:02:34 21 plaintiffs provided to the Court, Mohammadi, which is 990 22 F. Supp -- F. Supp. 2d, 723. In that case, which the 23 plaintiffs cite for their position that sufficient evidence had been provided, even in that case there was, 25 in the opinion itself, a calculation where it was -- there 09:03:03

was a breakdown per week or periods where there were hours worked.

The Court cannot recall demonstrative evidence or actual evidence that has been admitted taking away the evidence that the Court has discussed. There has not been a demonstrative piece of evidence or actually admitted evidence where the plaintiffs wrote down the number of hours per week, per month for any plaintiff.

So what I am going to do is I'm going to inquire as to plaintiffs' counsel, and I'm going to go through these individually so that you can point this out to me because, ultimately, the question that the jury would have to answer is, as to the minimum wage claim, what are the number of hours worked times \$7.25 that should be calculated for each plaintiff. And I want to know how this jury is going to get to one side of that equation, number of hours worked, based upon the testimony that I have before this Court.

So, Counsel, understanding what I have said -- and if I have misstated something, I won't be offended if you tell me I have done so. You can point that out to me. But as to Casey Nelson, what evidence is before this jury as to the number of hours worked? And I believe, Counsel, you also have the transcripts that were -- a rough draft of the transcripts that were provided to you, and the

Laura Wells, CRR, RDR

09:03:38

9

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10 09:04:00

> 11 12

> > 13

14

15 09:04:24

17

18

16

19

09:04:44

20

21

22

23

25 09:05:04

| | 1 | Court has done a review of that, those transcripts, trying |
|----------|----|--|
| | 2 | to look for hours such that the jury's memory as to the |
| | 3 | testimony would be I would assuming it's full and |
| | 4 | complete, what is in the transcript as to how they would |
| 09:05:28 | 5 | make this calculation. |
| | 6 | So let's start with Casey Nelson. |
| | 7 | MR. COOK: You want me to take it. Okay. |
| | 8 | Your Honor, with regard to Casey Nelson, in the record |
| | 9 | at Pages 45, 66, and 89, she establishes the time the |
| 09:05:46 | 10 | dates that she worked. She testified that her dancing |
| | 11 | schedule was Tuesdays, Wednesdays, Thursdays, Fridays. |
| | 12 | THE COURT: Hold it. I'm sorry. You are going a |
| | 13 | little bit too fast. It was what now? |
| | 14 | MR. COOK: She testified that the dancing |
| 09:05:59 | 15 | schedule was Tuesday through Friday and every other |
| | 16 | Saturday and that the average hours in her shift were six |
| | 17 | to ten. Taking the most conservative estimate, we would |
| | 18 | multiply by four and a half that would cover that every |
| | 19 | other Saturday shift times six hours in a shift times |
| 09:06:21 | 20 | the minimum wage is \$195.75. |
| | 21 | THE COURT: Walk me through that again as to |
| | 22 | Casey Nelson. |
| | 23 | MR. COOK: Sure. As to Casey Nelson, she |
| | 24 | testified that she worked |
| 09:06:36 | 25 | THE COURT: Hold on. I want to make sure I I |

```
have failed to pull up the transcript.
        1
                    MR. COOK: And I will pull mine up as well, Your
        2
        3
           Honor.
                    THE COURT: What was the page number you referred
        4
           me to, Counsel?
        5
09:07:42
        6
                    MR. COOK: For example, on page -- so we get the
        7
           dates of her employment on Page 45.
        8
                    THE COURT: Let me go back. I went too far. And
        9
           this is a rough draft. So we may be off on the page
           numbers. So let me -- 45? You said Page 45? And I --
       10
09:08:08
       11
                    MR. COOK: And I think the clearest with regard
       12
           to hours --
       13
                    THE COURT: Yeah. I think my page -- I'm looking
           at the -- let me see which draft this is. We may have
       14
           different -- Rough 1, which go to Page 1.
       15
09:08:35
       16
                    MR. COOK: Yes. This is the PM session with
       17
           Judge Bennett presiding.
       18
                    THE COURT: Okay.
       19
                    MR. COOK: That's my first page of Rough 1.
       20
                    THE COURT: Yes.
09:08:46
       21
                    MR. COOK: On page -- I'm going to jump around.
       22
           On Page 80 --
       23
                    THE COURT: Oh, no. I'm looking at the first
       24
           line is, "This is the 18th day of June, 2019. Good
      25
           morning. Please have a seat."
09:08:55
```

| | 1 | MR. COOK: You have the voir dire, which we did |
|----------|----|--|
| | 2 | not request. |
| | 3 | THE COURT: Okay. Hold on. Let me go back. |
| | 4 | MR. COOK: If you do it by timestamp, Your Honor, |
| 09:09:07 | 5 | my Page 1 is 1:56:36. |
| | 6 | THE COURT: Okay. Hold on. Yes. Okay. Now, PM |
| | 7 | session, what page? |
| | 8 | MR. COOK: Page 89, Your Honor, she testifies |
| | 9 | THE COURT: 89. Hold on. Okay. Page 88, 89 |
| 09:09:45 | 10 | yes, sir. |
| | 11 | MR. COOK: "QUESTION: And I believe you |
| | 12 | testified earlier that when you had a shift at Moments, it |
| | 13 | was how long? |
| | 14 | "ANSWER: Shifts depend on when you show up. So your |
| 09:09:53 | 15 | time may vary. |
| | 16 | "QUESTION: More than six hours? |
| | 17 | "ANSWER: About six to ten." |
| | 18 | THE COURT: All right. So the rough estimate is |
| | 19 | the six to ten that you have told me. All right. |
| 09:10:02 | 20 | MR. COOK: I'm looking for the cite with regard |
| | 21 | to her schedule. I apologize, Your Honor. This is |
| | 22 | probably the one that I don't have a note for her on her |
| | 23 | a page and line for the dates that she worked. I do |
| | 24 | believe that I have it for the others. |
| 09:10:31 | 25 | MR. BERLANGA: I can search it. |
| | | |

| | 1 | MD COOK. If you could do that |
|----------|----|--|
| | 1 | MR. COOK: If you could do that. |
| | 2 | If you would bear with us just for one moment, Your |
| | 3 | Honor. And then on Page 49, Your Honor. |
| | 4 | THE COURT: Hold on. We have hours, six to ten. |
| 09:11:09 | 5 | And on Page 49, you say? |
| | 6 | MR. COOK: Yes. Timestamp 2:58:37, question. |
| | 7 | THE COURT: Hold on. Let me get there. Page 49. |
| | 8 | Yes, sir. |
| | 9 | MR. COOK: "I want to talk about sort of we |
| 09:11:25 | 10 | talked about the actual shifts, the actual hours. I'm |
| | 11 | going to talk about what your typical schedule was like. |
| | 12 | I know it varied. Typically, what days of the week did |
| | 13 | you work? |
| | 14 | "ANSWER: Normal was five to six days. I never worked |
| 09:11:36 | 15 | a Sunday. |
| | 16 | "QUESTION: So five to six days was as pretty much" |
| | 17 | Do I need for the record, would you like me to read |
| | 18 | it? |
| | 19 | THE COURT: No. Just point me to it. |
| 09:11:47 | 20 | MR. COOK: I certainly don't want it to appear as |
| | 21 | though I'm suggesting the Court can't read the transcript. |
| | 22 | THE COURT: No. You are guiding me, and I |
| | 23 | appreciate that. So I see five or six days. So now we |
| | 24 | have days of five to six. |
| 09:12:01 | 25 | MR. COOK: So we have days. We have hours. |
| | | |

```
Minimum wage is not in controversy.
         1
         2
                     THE COURT: How many days such that we can
         3
           calculate hours, a total number of hours? Where is that
           testimony? So if she worked six days and she worked ten
         4
           hours, 60 hours --
         5
09:12:25
         6
                    MR. COOK: Right.
         7
                     THE COURT: -- or if she worked five days,
         8
            66 hours, is that the total amount that you are going to
         9
           submit to this jury? One week?
                     MR. COOK: Your Honor, this actually gets us into
       10
09:12:37
           the issue with the charge. Your Honor, the case law is
       11
       12
           fairly -- we believe fairly clear that the calculation of
       13
           the actual number, what amount of money, is done by the
           Court after the fact. And one of the reasons for that,
       14
       15
           which is why this would otherwise be a fairly difficult
09:12:53
       16
           charge to craft, the statute of limitations varies
       17
           depending on the jury's finding on willfulness.
       18
                     THE COURT: Right. But you are just -- you are
       19
           just pointing out to the Court now a one-week snapshot.
       20
           Is that it?
09:13:08
       21
                     MR. COOK:
                                In order to -- yes.
       22
                     THE COURT: Okay.
       23
                    MR. COOK: But I am going to also say that the
       24
           jury can then take that one week and that what we propose
       25
           is the jury would say in one week we find -- maybe we find
09:13:18
```

```
30 hours. Maybe we find 60. And then the Court, after
        1
           hearing the willfulness answer and the statute of
        2
        3
           limitations period, gets to the number, the actual number.
        4
                     THE COURT: As to the total number of weeks
        5
           worked?
09:13:35
        6
                    MR. COOK: Exactly.
        7
                     THE COURT: Okay. That's Casey Nelson's
        8
           evidence. Maylene Velasco.
        9
                    MR. COOK: On page -- I think this is -- we're
           begging the Court's indulgence. Can we take them in the
       10
09:13:52
       11
           order we did them? I think it will keep us on the same
       12
           transcript. We're going to have to switch transcripts, I
       13
           believe.
       14
                     THE COURT: Okay.
       15
                    MR. COOK: The next witness was Veronica
09:14:05
       16
           Gonzalez.
       17
                     THE COURT: Yes.
       18
                    MR. COOK: If you look at the same rough draft,
       19
           and I have Page 108.
       20
                     THE COURT: 108. Hold on. I'm on 108, and this
09:14:18
       21
           is Ms. Gonzalez.
       22
                    MR. COOK: Yes. Actually, the question is on
       23
           107. So we have sort of covered the time period you
       2.4
           worked at Moments and then the answer, which is relevant,
       25
           I worked it was usually either Tuesday through Friday,
09:14:39
```

```
12:00 to 7:00, the same schedule, just Saturday every
         1
           other weekend. So, again, we have the number of days --
         2
         3
                     THE COURT: Hold on. Tuesday through Friday,
           seven hours every other Saturday. So 28 hours during the
         4
           week, basically, plus an additional 14 hours, call it
        5
09:15:19
           three and a half, for a total of 31 and a half. Very
         6
         7
           well.
         8
                    MR. COOK: With regard to Kristal Garcia, we're
           going to move to Rough 2, I believe.
         9
                 (Sotto voce discussion between the Court and clerk.)
09:16:02
       10
       11
                     THE COURT: All right, Counsel.
       12
                    MR. COOK: All right. On Page 72, time-stamped
       13
           10:05.
       14
                     THE COURT: What page? I'm sorry.
       15
                    MR. COOK: Page 72.
09:16:37
       16
                     THE COURT: Yes.
       17
                    MR. COOK: "And now I want to talk to you about
       18
           the typical hours you worked.
       19
                "ANSWER: I would work very often. I would work up to
       20
           five or six days a week.
09:16:52
       21
                "QUESTION: Can you tell the jury what your typical
       22
           day was?
       23
                          I typically -- nearly every day of the week
                "ANSWER:
       24
           except Monday and maybe towards the end of the week I
       25
           would work five days instead of six."
09:17:00
```

```
So we have five to six days per week.
        1
        2
                     THE COURT: Where are the hours?
        3
                    MR. COOK: On Page 74.
                     THE COURT: So five to six days. You said
        4
        5
           Page 74. I am on Page 74.
09:17:20
        6
                    MR. COOK: "QUESTION: When you were working a
        7
           regular shift, how many hours would that be typically?
        8
                "ANSWER: Based on the amount of money you make, based
           on the employees you pay, it could be anywhere from eight
        9
           to ten hours, depending on what you make and your bills,
       10
09:17:34
       11
           et cetera."
       12
                And she also testified to working doubles but -- so
           the jury could conclude more, but there is the minimum.
       13
       14
                     THE COURT: Very well. That's Garcia.
                    MR. COOK: With regard to Ms. Presley Lange.
       15
09:18:08
       16
                     THE COURT: Ms. Lange.
       17
                    MR. COOK:
                                Page 106.
       18
                     THE COURT: The same transcript?
       19
                    MR. COOK: Yes, Your Honor. This is why we did
       20
           them in this order.
09:18:18
       21
                     THE COURT: 106. Yes, sir.
       22
                    MR. COOK: "QUESTION: I want to talk" -- the
       23
           first question on that page.
       2.4
                "ANSWER: Three to five days, mainly three or four
       25
           days of the week. I do double shifts, which is from 12:00
09:18:43
```

```
1
           to 2:00."
         2
                     THE COURT: Okay. So a minimum of three days.
         3
                     MR. COOK:
                                Then we got --
                     THE COURT: 12 hours. The same. I see it.
         4
         5
                     MR. COOK: Well, I am also going to direct you,
09:19:04
         6
           Your Honor, to Page 114.
         7
                     THE COURT: 114.
         8
                     MR. COOK: At timestamp --
         9
                     THE COURT: Hold on.
                     MR. COOK: I apologize to the Court.
       10
09:19:16
       11
                     THE COURT: 114?
       12
                    MR. COOK: Yes, Your Honor.
       13
                     THE COURT: I apologize. This is the disconnect.
           It's kind of like we are in two different time zones.
       14
           Okay. 114.
       15
09:19:30
       16
                    MR. COOK: At timestamp 11:08. "I want to jump
       17
           back just a little bit and maybe ask you a question I
       18
           forgot to ask you on the initial go-around. Can you give
       19
           me the average number of hours you worked per day?
       20
                "Per day sometimes it could be anywhere from eight
09:19:42
       21
           hours. We are going into from 12:00 to 2:00."
       22
                12:00 to 2:00 being the time.
       23
                    MR. KING: I'm sorry. I missed the page.
       24
                    MR. COOK: That would be on Page 114, timestamp
       25
           11:08.
09:19:56
```

```
THE COURT: Some days working eight hours going
        1
        2
           into 12. Okay. Now she reduces it to eight because she
        3
           said it was a double. So let's go --
        4
                    MR. COOK: Some days she worked doubles. Some
        5
           days she didn't.
09:20:15
        6
                    THE COURT: Right. That reduces it to 24.
        7
           24 hours. Got it. Next.
        8
                    MR. COOK: With regard to Maylene Velasco, on
           Page 129.
        9
                    THE COURT: Hold on. Velasco, 129. The same
       10
09:20:34
       11
           transcript?
       12
                    MR. COOK: Yes, Your Honor. I'm sorry.
           Page 129.
       13
       14
                    THE COURT: Yes. 129. All right. I am there.
       15
                    MR. COOK: "QUESTION: Now, when you started work
09:21:15
           a Moments, just tell me what kind of shift did you work?
       16
       17
                "ANSWER: When I first started at Moments, I would
       18
           have to be there by 6:30 to start the 7:00 shift and I'd
       19
           usually stay till close.
       20
                "QUESTION: On average, how many days a week do you
09:21:28
       21
           think you worked?
       22
                "ANSWER: I did say more -- more how many days I
           didn't work in the month. I didn't work around two out of
       23
       2.4
           the month."
09:21:38 25
             So from not working two days out of the month, you can
```

```
draw the conclusion that she was working six to seven days
         1
         2
           a week.
                     THE COURT: We'll call this seven hours.
         3
                     MR. COOK: In full disclosure, Your Honor, I'm
         4
         5
           not going to ask for that many days in a week, in light of
09:22:07
           the testimony that was elicited.
         6
         7
                     THE COURT: Okay. We'll call it 35 hours?
         8
                     MR. COOK: I'm going to ask for 40, Your Honor.
         9
           The hours information is on Page 132. So in terms of the
           number of days --
       10
09:22:28
                     THE COURT: I misunderstood you. So for hours
       11
       12
           you are going to say seven?
       13
                     MR. COOK: With regard to the number of the days
       14
           in the week --
                     THE COURT: No. I'm asking hours now.
       15
09:22:41
       16
                     MR. COOK: I'm going to ask for eight. And the
       17
           testimony establishes that it was up to 10 to 11. I think
       18
           that the jury may have some questions about her.
       19
                     THE COURT: Well, she arrives at, let's call it,
       20
           7:00 and works until 2:00.
09:22:58
       21
                     MR. COOK: Yes, Your Honor. On Page 132 she
       22
           states --
       23
                     THE COURT: There is something else?
       24
                     MR. COOK: Yes.
       25
                                                       132.
                     THE COURT: Hold on then. Yes.
09:23:07
                                  Laura Wells, CRR, RDR
```

| | 1 | MR. COOK: On Page 132 she states, "Can you give |
|----------|----|--|
| | 2 | the jury an idea of the average numbers worked, hours that |
| | 3 | you would work in a single shift? |
| | 4 | "If I started at 3:00 p.m. for my mid shift, I would |
| 09:23:34 | 5 | work until 2:00 a.m. So 10- or 11-hour shifts." |
| | 6 | However, there was I don't have the note on this. |
| | 7 | But there was some cross that suggested |
| | 8 | THE COURT: She said if I worked a mid shift. |
| | 9 | That was not her usual time because earlier in the |
| 09:23:48 | 10 | testimony it was that she would usually arrive around 6:30 |
| | 11 | for a 7:00 shift. |
| | 12 | MR. COOK: That is correct, Your Honor. |
| | 13 | THE COURT: Okay. |
| | 14 | MR. COOK: There is some subsequent cross that |
| 09:23:58 | 15 | suggests that she was working eight to six hours, which is |
| | 16 | why I believe that I'm only going to ask the jury for |
| | 17 | eight when I do the calculations. |
| | 18 | THE COURT: Well, I got I have, just on what I |
| | 19 | have seen, seven. I mean, that's from 7:00 to 2:00, if |
| 09:24:12 | 20 | I'm not mistaken, based upon the testimony that she said |
| | 21 | she did work as opposed to if I worked. |
| | 22 | MR. COOK: I understand, Your Honor. |
| | 23 | THE COURT: Is that correct? The testimony was |
| | 24 | that for my 7:00 shift I would arrive at 6:30 and stay |
| 09:24:33 | 25 | until 2:00. So that's a 7:00 to 2:00 shift. |

```
MR. COOK: That does add up to seven hours, Your
        1
        2
           Honor. Let me look at --
        3
                     THE COURT: And you said five days?
                    MR. COOK: That is correct, Your Honor.
         4
        5
                     THE COURT: I was a political science major, but
09:24:55
           five times seven is 35.
        6
        7
                    MR. COOK: I tell people I went into law so I
        8
           wouldn't have to do math.
        9
                     THE COURT: Okay.
                    MR. COOK: Actually, I tell people I went into
       10
09:25:05
           law because I'm incompetent to do anything else.
       11
       12
                     THE COURT: Okay. All right. That's Velasco.
       13
                    MR. COOK: That's the last of the plaintiffs.
       14
                     THE COURT: That's the last one?
       15
                    MR. COOK: That is, I believe. We should have
09:25:14
       16
           five.
       17
                     THE COURT: Let's see. Nelson and Gonzalez and
       18
           Garcia and Lange and Velasco. All right. Now, assuming
       19
           that this is the formula, the next question then becomes
       20
           does accepting this testimony that you have pointed out to
09:25:42
       21
           the Court at face value, which has been unsubstantiated by
       22
           anything else, other than their sworn testimony, am I
       23
           correct in that?
       2.4
                    MR. COOK: You are not correct in that.
       25
                    THE COURT: Okay. Tell me where I --
09:25:56
```

MR. COOK: I love telling a federal judge that. 1 2 I asked the corporate representative if various 3 numbers of hours per week were reasonable based on both the testimony -- the hours presented by the declarants and 4 by the plaintiffs. He said 56 hours a week. 5 09:26:12 6 THE COURT: No. No. I remember that testimony. 7 And what you asked him was whether or not those were 8 reasonable. The question was not as to -- for instance, as to plaintiff Casey, she has testified that she worked Tuesday through Friday, a six- to ten-hour shift. Do you 10 09:26:31 11 take any exception to that? That was not the line of 12 questioning. 13 I think your line of questioning in general was as to the total number of, you know, would a 40-hour workweek be 14 15 reasonable? Yeah. 50. 60. It wasn't in regard to any 09:26:51 specific plaintiff's testimony. Am I correct? 16 17 MR. COOK: That is correct because the corporate 18 representative had previously testified that he has no 19 knowledge of these particular plaintiffs' hours. 20 THE COURT: So again, as to their testimony as to 09:27:07 21 their hours of work, their hours worked, it was not 22 substantiated by anything other than their own testimony, 23 correct? 2.4 MR. COOK: And I would argue that the testimony 25 of the corporate representative is circumstantial, but no 09:27:25

```
direct evidence. I agree with that, Your Honor.
        1
                     THE COURT: All right.
        2
        3
                    MR. COOK: And I would also argue that the fact
           that they all say similar hours per week is also
        4
        5
           circumstantial evidence.
09:27:37
                     THE COURT: Okay. Anything else you would like
        6
        7
           to point out to the Court?
        8
                     MR. COOK: By way of facts or argument?
        9
                     THE COURT: Facts or argument.
                    MR. COOK: With regard to argument, Your Honor, I
       10
09:28:16
       11
           would point out that the cases primarily cited by the
       12
           defense on the subject of one witness can't get you there
           are generally in the context of off-the-clock work cases
       13
           where there is some time sheet that a plaintiff has
       14
           prepared saying I worked eight hours and comes in after
       15
09:28:33
       16
           the fact and says no, no. I didn't work eight hours.
       17
           I actually worked ten. And that is insufficient.
       18
                But the Fifth Circuit, this district court -- or not
       19
           this specific district court, but the Southern District of
       20
           Texas has said you don't have to produce documentary
09:28:48
       21
           evidence. We don't have to produce exact evidence. We
       22
           can rely on the plaintiff. We have met the threshold.
       23
                The alternative is defendants, like the defendant
       24
           here, who don't keep records, who have workers who, you
       25
           know, they go by fake names at the club. So they don't
09:29:09
```

really know each other. They are not able to come in and 1 2 testify about each other. Then that ends up a situation 3 where, I believe, there is no dispute that these women worked something. We simply have difficulty pinning it 4 down to an exact number. And the case law says we don't 5 09:29:29 6 have to. 7 THE COURT: Very well. Thank you, Counselor. 8 Counselor. 9 MR. KING: Good morning. 10 THE COURT: Good morning. 09:29:37 11 MR. KING: The case law on this is relatively 12 sparse; but one thing that I've found is that courts do recognize when testimony, whether it's presented in court 13 14 through a witness or corroborating witnesses, cannot be 15 conclusory. And that is what the jury heard during this 09:29:50 16 trial was conclusory testimony about how many shifts might 17 have been worked, generally speaking. 18 In the aggregate, the testimony sounded like this: worked a lot of shifts. And then that was it. There was 19 20 no -- there wasn't sufficiently specific testimony to 09:30:09 21 afford the jury with a basis to actually do the math. 22 Saying I simply worked every day, every week for three 23 years is effectively what we had. A lot of the testimony 24 that has been cited here today was talking about, well, 25 what kind of shifts does Moments have. That's not the 09:30:29

same as saying I happened to work the mid shift at least 1 2 three times a week and that was three times a month in my 3 last year of employment there. That would be a way to do it. 4 5 The second issue is that there was a lot of 09:30:45 contradictory testimony from the witnesses themselves. 6 7 For example, in -- I believe this was Ms. Lange's 8 testimony. This is the second rough draft, Page 114. And the question was -- and I'll paraphrase -- "Can you give us an average number of hours you worked per day? 10 09:31:12 11 "Per day sometimes it could be anywhere from eight 12 hours or going into from 12:00 to 2:00. 13 "How many hours did you work on the average week? "On the average weekend, I don't know. I'm not good 14 at math. I can't tally it up like that. It really 15 09:31:24 depended on how much money I made if I was going to keep 16 17 working or not." 18 If the witness herself has not bothered to tally it up 19 and hasn't expressed how to tally it up to the jury, the 20 jury is going to be at a complete loss as to how to, you 09:31:37 21 know, do the calculation. 22 The second issue is I do have concerns about this 23 entire topic from the perspective that damages -- there is 24 an issue with compensable work time that has been 25 performed within the club. I didn't hear any testimony 09:31:55

| | 1 | from any of the witnesses that they worked every hour |
|----------|----|--|
| | 2 | within the club. Just because you are at a place does not |
| | 3 | mean that it's a compensable hour under from the Fair |
| | 4 | Labor Standards Act. |
| 09:32:11 | 5 | Secondarily, I think that there are workweek issues as |
| | 6 | well because the workweek is defined as 168, basically, |
| | 7 | consecutive hours. These witnesses testified that, yeah, |
| | 8 | maybe yeah, some of the times I kind of showed up but |
| | 9 | most of the time I showed up every day. |
| 09:32:29 | 10 | Well, most of the time is not a sufficient basis, and |
| | 11 | that's what these cases really show. The cases that the |
| | 12 | plaintiffs pointed out to the Court |
| | 13 | THE COURT: Ms. Edwards, our jury has arrived. |
| | 14 | Would you tell them that the Court is dealing with legal |
| 09:32:44 | 15 | issues and it will probably as a matter of fact, they |
| | 16 | can go on a coffee break, stretch their legs, until 10:30. |
| | 17 | CASE MANAGER: Yes, sir. |
| | 18 | MR. KING: Your Honor, may I proceed? |
| | 19 | THE COURT: Yes. |
| 09:33:04 | 20 | MR. KING: The testimony has been |
| | 21 | unsubstantiated. That is a critical issue. I heard what |
| | 22 | counsel said about the federal nature of a lot of dancers, |
| | 23 | and I understand that. But I don't believe that that is |
| | 24 | entirely true. I'll give the Court an example. |
| 09:33:19 | 25 | When I was deposing Ms. Nelson, her sister was there. |
| | | |

| | 1 | Her sister happens to be an entertainer who worked at |
|----------|----|---|
| | 2 | Moments around the same time. They know each other. |
| | 3 | In this case, video was produced of somebody walking |
| | 4 | into our client's club trying to get testimony from |
| 09:33:35 | 5 | trying to get a deejay on the record or somebody on the |
| | 6 | record talking about the rules and regulations, and there |
| | 7 | are three people talking about the club. |
| | 8 | So it is not fair or accurate to state that it's |
| | 9 | impossible to corroborate this evidence. The way that |
| 09:33:51 | 10 | this testimony could have been presented is: I want you |
| | 11 | to look through your calendars. I want you to look |
| | 12 | through your cell phones. I want you to look through your |
| | 13 | e-mails. I want extrinsic proof of where you were and |
| | 14 | what you were doing over those past couple of years. Talk |
| 09:34:06 | 15 | to your friends, talk to your family, figure it out. |
| | 16 | Those are the additional things that are needed. The |
| | 17 | defendant's position is not that you have to have a |
| | 18 | written record of it, but they do not produce sufficient |
| | 19 | evidence to shift the burden back, Your Honor. |
| 09:34:22 | 20 | THE COURT: Very well. |
| | 21 | MR. WALLACE: Your Honor, may I add one thing? |
| | 22 | THE COURT: Yes. |
| | 23 | MR. WALLACE: There is an issue of just |
| | 24 | fundamental fairness. Each one of these plaintiffs |
| 09:34:36 | 25 | answered Interrogatory Number 16. We had those as |

proposed exhibits. We didn't enter them because they 1 2 didn't put on any evidence about their damages because it 3 was contradicted by their interrogatory answers. Interrogatory 16, every single one of these five were 4 asked, quote, "State the amount of damages each plaintiff 5 09:34:51 and opt-in plaintiff is claiming to be owed from 6 7 defendants and explain in detail the calculation of the 8 damages sought." 9 THE COURT: Well, the problem, Mr. Wallace, is that that is not before me right now. 10 09:35:04 11 MR. WALLACE: No. I know that, Judge. 12 that. But the answer, each time, was plaintiff does not know the amount of damages. Every -- those were sworn 13 14 answers. 15 Now I agree that's not before the jury, and I agree 09:35:19 those answers aren't before you as evidentiary elements to 16 17 the case. But we didn't -- we had no -- because they 18 didn't testify about the method and means by which they 19 came up with their damages, we didn't introduce this 20 for -- you know, there was no reason to contradict their 09:35:41 21 testimony because they didn't have any. And it's just a 22 matter of fundamental fairness when we rely on 23 interrogatory answers that they didn't know how they came up with their damages because they didn't know what they 25 are. 09:35:56

THE COURT: Well, without going into your 1 2 decision to challenge them with interrogatory answers or 3 not, what I have before me is the evidence. You made a directed verdict motion at the close of plaintiffs' case 4 in chief, and that decision -- my decision is triggered on 5 09:36:07 what evidence is before me and before this jury for 6 7 purposes of making that decision. 8 Very well. All right. Thank you, Counsel. We'll be 9 back. (Recess from 9:37 a.m. to 11:34 a.m.) 10 09:37:22 THE COURT: Thank you. Please be seated. We are 11 12 back in the courtroom on the record. My apologies for the lateness. What the Court has decided to do on the 13 directed verdict motion, the motion -- defendant's 14 directed verdict motion is denied. 15 11:35:04 16 However, to the extent that additional briefing is 17 required, the Court will take up the issue post verdict, 18 if any, from this jury. So I'm not foreclosing revisiting 19 the issue. 20 But given the fact that we have a jury in the box, 11:35:23 21 this is one of those judicial economies to where if I 22 grant the directed verdict and I am wrong, we're going to 23 come back and retry this case. To the extent that we get 24 a verdict and I am -- I decide either way, at least we 25 have a verdict in place that the court of appeals can look 11:35:46

at and either vacate or affirm. And so I think this is 1 2 the safer play in how to address the issue. 3 What the Court has done at this point is redrafted, and that's what took so long. The proposed jury 4 instructions from the parties did not meet the 5 11:36:07 requirements that I determined were necessary. And so 6 7 the -- through the first several pages of just the 8 basic -- all this is pattern jury charge, basically. 9 Jury Question Number 1 I think is pretty straightforward. I don't think anyone would have any 10 11:36:43 objection, but I'll give you a chance to object to that. 11 12 Jury Question Number 2 is another standard question. 13 Jury Question Number 3 is standard. The only question 14 here will be the time period, and I'll hear you on that. 15 And then Jury Question Number 4, this is the question 11:37:02 that the Court has struggled with. And so the formula 16 17 that the Court has determined would be the total number of 18 hours worked times the \$7.25. And so we'll see if, in 19 fact, the jury can come to an answer based on that formula 20 because that's the damage profile that is being sought 11:37:23 21 here. And the question will be whether or not they will 22 be able to answer that question then. We'll see. 23 Finally, Jury Question Number 5, that goes to the 24 question of the overtime cause of action. 25 And then, of course, the final instruction is pretty 11:37:46

```
straightforward.
         1
                I know I have just handed this to you. Any questions,
         2
         3
           comments, and then we'll start a formal charge conference
            in a moment.
         4
         5
                     MR. KING: No questions right now, Your Honor.
11:37:59
         6
                     THE COURT: All right.
         7
                     MR. COOK: I don't think I have anything
         8
            informally.
         9
                     THE COURT: Okay. On Question Numbers 4 and 5 --
                     MR. COOK: Judge, I do have one question
       10
11:38:37
       11
            informally, Your Honor.
       12
                 (Sotto voce discussion between the Court and Clerk.)
       13
                     THE COURT: You had a question, Counsel?
       14
                     MR. COOK: I did, Your Honor. Just for my
           purposes, instead of trying to parse it very closely, did
       15
11:39:23
       16
           the Court make any changes to the pattern jury instruction
       17
           on employee/independent contractor? It doesn't appear to
       18
           be.
       19
                     THE COURT: Yeah.
                                        I doubt it.
                     MR. COOK: No. Okay.
       20
11:39:35
       21
                     THE COURT: How long do you anticipate this is --
       22
           I'm going to send a message back to the jury. How long do
       23
           you anticipate needing for closing?
       24
                     MR. COOK: Your Honor, plaintiff would ask for
       25
           20 minutes in opening close and five minutes in rebuttal.
11:40:18
```

```
THE COURT: Repeat that.
        1
                    MR. COOK: 20 minutes to start and five in
        2
        3
           rebuttal.
        4
                     THE COURT: So a total of 25?
        5
                    MR. COOK: Yes, Your Honor.
11:40:29
                    MR. WALLACE: I think I can do it in 25, Judge.
        6
        7
           I might stretch it to 26. I'll do my best to do 25.
                     THE COURT: No violence is done at 30 minutes
        8
        9
           apiece.
                    MR. WALLACE: Thank you.
11:40:44
       10
       11
                     THE COURT: Let me go back.
       12
                    MR. COOK: I apologize if this is in your
           procedures and I omitted it. Do I need to declare how
       13
           much of the 30 I need for rebuttal ahead of time?
       14
       15
                     THE COURT: Yes. You'll be timed. For instance,
11:41:02
           if you say 20/10 and you go 20, I'll give you your 20 is
       16
       17
           expired and you can even still take two of the ten if you
       18
           want but it's a total of 30.
       19
                    MR. COOK: Very good. Thank you, Your Honor.
                     THE COURT: Okay. Any additional questions or
       20
11:41:24
       21
           comments?
                    MR. WALLACE: On the charge itself?
       22
       23
                     THE COURT: Yeah. Informally before -- this is
           not the formal. I will get it on the record but before we
       24
      25
           do that --
11:41:34
```

```
MR. WALLACE: Informally, Your Honor, just a
        1
           global -- just a global comment. And I'm looking at Jury
        2
        3
           Question Number 1, for example.
                     THE COURT: Yes.
        4
        5
                    MR. WALLACE: You say has plaintiff proved that
11:41:48
        6
           she was an employee.
        7
                     THE COURT: Oh, plurals.
        8
                    MR. WALLACE: I think if you do the universal
        9
           thing throughout, it would be have plaintiffs proved that
           they were, and that's a consistent issue throughout the
       10
11:41:59
       11
           charge.
       12
                     THE COURT: Okay.
       13
                    MR. COOK: My comment, if I could. I think given
           that we're asking about each plaintiff individually,
       14
           probably the correct fix would be has each plaintiff
       15
11:42:09
       16
           proved, answer yes or no for each plaintiff.
       17
                    MR. WALLACE: Well, that's fine. It is just my
       18
           only issue is the plurality of it.
       19
                    MR. COOK: Right.
       20
                     THE COURT: So has each one. Yes. We'll put
11:42:25
           "each" on Juror Question Numbers 1 and 2 and 4 and 5; is
       21
       22
           that right?
                So it will be, for one, has each plaintiff proved that
       23
           she was an employee. Number 2, has each plaintiff proved.
       24
       25
           Three is fine. Jury Question Number 4, what sum of money
11:43:17
```

```
would fairly and reasonably compensate each plaintiff for
         1
           the damages, if any, you have found defendant Texas Sugars
         2
         3
           caused each plaintiff. Only calculate sums for the
           plaintiffs for whom you have answered. That's fine.
         4
           Five, "each" before plaintiff. Does that work?
         5
11:43:41
         6
                     MR. COOK: From the plaintiffs, yes.
         7
                     MR. WALLACE: Yes, Your Honor.
         8
                     THE COURT: All right. Ms. Edwards.
         9
                     CASE MANAGER: Yes, sir.
                     THE COURT: Inform the jury -- 30 minutes, an
       10
11:43:56
           hour and a half -- that when they are called into the
       11
       12
           courtroom, they are going to have an hour and a half of
           work. So would they like to take their lunch now or would
       13
       14
           they like to come into the courtroom in approximately
           15 minutes, meaning that they would be done approximately
       15
11:44:18
           1:30, or they can go take a quick lunch now and come back
       16
       17
           at 12:30 and get started at that time. See what their
       18
           preference is.
       19
                They could either do lunch now and come back at 12:30
           or they can come into the courtroom at approximately 12:00
       20
11:44:39
       21
           and they are not going to be released for lunch until
       22
           approximately 1:30.
       23
                     CASE MANAGER: Okay.
       24
                     THE COURT: Any other comments before we get on
       25
           the record for the formal charge conference?
11:44:53
```

MR. COOK: No, Your Honor. 1 MR. WALLACE: I can bring it up during the formal 2 3 charge conference. THE COURT: Very well. This is Cause 4 5 Number 4:17-CV-2171. The Court at this time has prepared 11:45:09 the jury instructions. It has been provided to the 6 7 parties. They are represented by legal counsel here in 8 the courtroom. The jury is not present in the courtroom. 9 The Court at this time will entertain objections to the charge on behalf of the plaintiff. Counsel. 10 11:45:33 11 MR. COOK: Your Honor, the plaintiffs, with 12 utmost respect, do object to the charge. In the first instance, the plaintiffs object to the instruction 13 "employee or independent contractor." The charge as 14 proposed by the Court, in the view of the plaintiff, 15 11:45:49 16 misstates the controlling case law or rather misstates the 17 controlling law. 18 Specifically, Your Honor, it gives improper weight to 19 control by applying, essentially, a 7th Circuit -- excuse 20 me, a Title VII hybrid control economic realities test. 11:46:07 21 It improperly gives weight to intent, which is not an 22 appropriate factor or certainly not to the level that this 23 charge gives it in an FLSA case. The plaintiff has previously provided a bench brief containing elaborations, 25 which we would incorporate by reference here. 11:46:30

| | 1 | We would also point out that the plaintiffs have |
|----------|----|--|
| | 2 | provided a recommended charge, a proposed charge, which is |
| | 3 | Document Number 47 in the docket. Plaintiffs would point |
| | 4 | out that even if the Court were not to give the proposed |
| 11:46:50 | 5 | instructions of the plaintiff that this charge does not |
| | 6 | contain the Silk factors set forth by the United States |
| | 7 | Supreme Court in determining independent verses |
| | 8 | independent contractor versus employee under the Fair |
| | 9 | Labor Standards Act. |
| 11:47:05 | 10 | The plaintiffs would object to jury question |
| | 11 | THE COURT: Let me deal with your first objection |
| | 12 | and make sure that I'm clear that in regards to the |
| | 13 | instruction that you are objecting to under "employee or |
| | 14 | independent contractor" on Page 10, you are objecting to |
| 11:47:27 | 15 | Factor 6, which is a direct quote from the pattern jury |
| | 16 | charge; is that correct? |
| | 17 | MR. COOK: Yes. I'm also objecting |
| | 18 | THE COURT: That objection is overruled as to |
| | 19 | six. What was the next objection as to that instruction? |
| 11:47:40 | 20 | MR. COOK: We object to Paragraph 5 that is not |
| | 21 | one of the Silk factors. |
| | 22 | THE COURT: That, again, is from the pattern jury |
| | 23 | charge, and your objection is overruled. |
| | 24 | MR. COOK: We object to Section 4, which is not |
| 11:47:58 | 25 | from the Silk factors and, we believe, misstates the Silk |

| | 1 | factors. |
|----------|----|---|
| | 2 | THE COURT: It's from the pattern jury charge. |
| | 3 | Your objection is overruled. |
| | 4 | MR. COOK: We object to Number 3, which |
| 11:48:09 | 5 | improperly examines only the plaintiffs' risk as opposed |
| | 6 | to the weighing the investments of the parties, as |
| | 7 | required by Silk. |
| | 8 | THE COURT: Three, you said? I'm sorry. |
| | 9 | MR. COOK: We're working backwards, |
| 11:48:28 | 10 | unfortunately, Your Honor. I apologize. |
| | 11 | THE COURT: And again, Pattern Jury Charge 11.26, |
| | 12 | your objection is overruled. |
| | 13 | MR. COOK: We object to Element Number 2 or |
| | 14 | Instruction Number 2 on this page. Again, it does not |
| 11:48:40 | 15 | comport with the Silk factors. |
| | 16 | THE COURT: Pattern Jury Charge 11.26, your |
| | 17 | objection is overruled. |
| | 18 | MR. COOK: We object to the language in |
| | 19 | Section 1, which we believe misstates the importance of |
| 11:48:55 | 20 | control. Again, it deviates from the case law Silk, |
| | 21 | Parrish, and other cases cited by the plaintiffs in their |
| | 22 | bench brief. |
| | 23 | THE COURT: Pattern Jury Charge 11.26, your |
| | 24 | objection is overruled. |
| 11:49:12 | 25 | MR. COOK: Your Honor, on the last sentence on |
| | | Laura Wells, CRR, RDR |

| | 1 | Page 10, while no single factor determined the outcome, |
|----------|----|--|
| | 2 | the extent of the right to control the means and manner of |
| | 3 | the worker's performance is the most important factor. |
| | 4 | The plaintiff believes that is an incorrect statement of |
| 11:49:28 | 5 | the law under the case law cited earlier. |
| | 6 | THE COURT: Again, that is straight from the |
| | 7 | Pattern Jury Charge 11.26. Your objection is overruled. |
| | 8 | MR. COOK: Plaintiffs would offer their proposed |
| | 9 | jury charge in place of this instruction. |
| 11:49:45 | 10 | THE COURT: The Court has received it. I will |
| | 11 | sign it and note it. It has been rejected. |
| | 12 | MR. COOK: Thank you, Your Honor. That |
| | 13 | included |
| | 14 | (Sotto voce discussion between counsel.) |
| 11:50:12 | 15 | MR. COOK: The plaintiff objects to Jury Question |
| | 16 | Number 1. The determining whether a person is an employee |
| | 17 | or independent contractor is ultimately a question of law; |
| | 18 | and we believe the Court should properly ask special |
| | 19 | interrogatories and then make the decision based on those |
| 11:50:27 | 20 | interrogatories as a legal question. Therefore, we think |
| | 21 | it is improper to ask the jury to decide employee status. |
| | 22 | THE COURT: That is Pattern Jury Charge 11.26, |
| | 23 | Question Number 1. Your objection is overruled. |
| | 24 | MR. COOK: With regard to Jury Question Number 3 |
| 11:51:11 | 25 | and specifically with regard to the instructions, if you |
| | | |

answered yes to Question Number 3 and setting out the time 1 2 periods, the plaintiff believes that that calculation is 3 properly done by the Court, based on special interrogatories, and so, therefore, would object to Jury 4 Question Number 3 as part of the entire charge. 5 11:51:28 THE COURT: That objection is overruled. 6 7 MR. COOK: With regard to Jury Question Number 4, 8 the plaintiff objects and believes that the correct method of calculating damages is to be done, one, by the Court 9 and the question that should be asked the jury is the 10 11:51:54 total number of hours -- or excuse me -- the average 11 12 number of hours worked in a week, to which the Court would 13 then apply the appropriate period of weeks, based on the statute of limitations, and apply the appropriate damage 14 15 calculation. 11:52:07 16 THE COURT: That objection is overruled. 17 MR. COOK: The plaintiff would have the same 18 objections to Jury Question Number 5, that it should be 19 done by the Court applying the statute of limitations and 20 the damage calculation and that the question for the jury 11:52:20 21 should be the number -- the average number of hours worked 22 in a week. THE COURT: That objection is overruled. 23 24 MR. COOK: Your Honor, we object that there is an 25 absence of a question. We have submitted proposed Jury 11:52:33

| | 1 | Question Number 3 the how many hours excuse me, Your |
|----------|----|--|
| | 2 | Honor proposed Jury Question Number 4, Document |
| | 3 | Number 47, which would recapture the amount that the |
| | 4 | dancers were required to pay, which does reduce their wage |
| 11:52:51 | 5 | below the minimum wage. We believe there is a need for a |
| | 6 | jury question on that issue. |
| | 7 | THE COURT: Objection is overruled. |
| | 8 | MR. COOK: I don't think I can object about |
| | 9 | anything else, Your Honor. |
| 11:53:02 | 10 | THE COURT: It would be hard to. |
| | 11 | Very well. The Court at this time will now entertain |
| | 12 | objections to the charge on behalf of the defense. |
| | 13 | MR. WALLACE: Your Honor, we'll do this as best |
| | 14 | as we can. Throughout, both Mr. King and I will have |
| 11:53:23 | 15 | comments, if that's okay. It will make I promise we'll |
| | 16 | do this quickly. If we go to Page 6. |
| | 17 | THE COURT: Yes. |
| | 18 | MR. WALLACE: And this is just one example. I |
| | 19 | think it continues throughout. It begins "plaintiff |
| 11:53:40 | 20 | claims;" and again, I just think we need it to be either |
| | 21 | each plaintiff or plaintiffs. |
| | 22 | THE COURT: We can make that correction. |
| | 23 | MR. WALLACE: Thank you. |
| | 24 | THE COURT: Each plaintiff claims, yes. |
| 11:53:51 | 25 | MR. WALLACE: And then in between Number 1 and |
| | | |

| | 1 | Number 2, I just think the word "and" should be added. |
|----------|----|--|
| | 2 | THE COURT: I will confirm that. |
| | 3 | MR. WALLACE: Okay. On Page 7 it would be the |
| | 4 | same thing whether "and" should be in between the |
| 11:54:11 | 5 | semicolon and the number two. |
| | 6 | I'm sorry. I was waiting on you, Judge. |
| | 7 | THE COURT: I'm checking on the "and." Okay. So |
| | 8 | continue on with your objection. |
| | 9 | MR. WALLACE: Jury Question Number 1. |
| 11:55:07 | 10 | THE COURT: Yes. |
| | 11 | MR. WALLACE: At the end the Court provides "if |
| | 12 | your answer is no to all plaintiffs, do not answer the |
| | 13 | next question." We believe it should read answer no |
| | 14 | further questions. The jury foreman shall execute the |
| 11:55:20 | 15 | verdict certificate and return the same to the court |
| | 16 | officer. |
| | 17 | THE COURT: That objection is overruled. The |
| | 18 | following questions are predicated. So if you do not |
| | 19 | answer Question 1, you do not answer Question 2. And |
| 11:55:44 | 20 | Question 3 is predicated on a positive answer to two. So |
| | 21 | they wouldn't get to those following questions as a |
| | 22 | result. |
| | 23 | MR. WALLACE: Go ahead. You have got all yours. |
| | 24 | MR. KING: Your Honor, the defendant has not |
| 11:56:13 | 25 | submitted a written instruction, but I do believe that a |
| | | |

definition of reckless disregard should be included, and I 1 2 can provide the Court with the proposed language of such 3 an instruction right now. The language would read, in general, to show reckless 4 5 disregard of the FLSA, an employee must show that the 11:56:29 6 employer had some reason to know that its conduct violated 7 the FLSA beyond mere ignorance of the law. Mere knowledge 8 of the FLSA and its potential applicability does not suffice nor does conduct that is merely negligent or 9 unreasonable. An employer who acts without a reasonable 10 11:56:45 11 basis for believing that it was complying with the FLSA or 12 who fails to seek legal advice regarding its payment practices is merely negligent. This language is derived 13 14 from Pye v. Oil States Energy Services, LLC, 233 F. Supp 15 3d 541 out of the Western District of Texas, as well as 11:57:03 Zannikos v. Oil Inspections, 605 F. App. 349, Fifth 16 17 Circuit 2015. 18 THE COURT: That proposed instruction is denied. 19 The Court will check to see if there is a definition under 20 the pattern jury charges; and if so, it will include it. 11:57:22 21 MR. KING: Under Question 4, the defendant would 22 request that the word "workweek" is included within the 23 formula section to read "total number of hours worked per workweek" because that term is defined in the instructions 2.4 25 and appears nowhere else in the jury interrogatories. 11:57:57

| | 1 | This could create confusion for the jury. |
|----------|----|--|
| | 2 | THE COURT: Where is that instruction or |
| | 3 | definition? On what page? |
| | 4 | MR. KING: Page 7, Your Honor. |
| 11:58:26 | 5 | THE COURT: Page 7. All right. The hours worked |
| | 6 | is in the following definition, "The phrase 'hours worked' |
| | 7 | includes all time spent by an employee that was primarily |
| | 8 | for the benefit of the employee or the employer's |
| | 9 | business." So when you say that's not defined, there is a |
| 11:58:54 | 10 | definition for that. |
| | 11 | MR. KING: My understanding, Your Honor, is that |
| | 12 | the phrase "hours worked" is usually translated into |
| | 13 | compensable time, time actually spent for which a wage is |
| | 14 | deserved. |
| 11:59:10 | 15 | THE COURT: I think that's what the definition |
| | 16 | says. The phrase on Page 7, tell me if I'm missing |
| | 17 | something, "The phrase 'hours worked' includes all time |
| | 18 | spent by an employee that was primarily for the benefit of |
| | 19 | the employer or the employer's business." |
| 11:59:26 | 20 | Am I missing something? |
| | 21 | MR. KING: The only addition that we would ask |
| | 22 | for is that that formula reads total number of hours |
| | 23 | worked per workweek because that is a distinct unit of |
| | 24 | measurement of time under the Fair Labor Standards Act. |
| 11:59:48 | 25 | THE COURT: Okay. That objection is overruled. |
| | | |

| | 1 | And I will point out that your proposed jury instruction |
|----------|----|--|
| | | And I will point out that your proposed jury instruction |
| | 2 | includes that exact language that you have just objected |
| | 3 | to. |
| | 4 | MR. KING: I understand, Your Honor. We have the |
| 12:00:03 | 5 | same objection regarding the formula on Question Number 5, |
| | 6 | all hours worked over 40 in each workweek. |
| | 7 | THE COURT: I think we can make that change to |
| | 8 | say "workweek", "all hours worked over 40 in that |
| | 9 | workweek." |
| 12:00:28 | 10 | MR. KING: The second modification that we would |
| | 11 | request is the language of Question Number 5, "What sum of |
| | 12 | money would fairly and reasonably compensate plaintiff for |
| | 13 | overtime damages, if any?" |
| | 14 | THE COURT: The Court will make that change. |
| 12:01:19 | 15 | MR. WALLACE: We don't have anything further, |
| | 16 | Your Honor. |
| | 17 | THE COURT: Very well. |
| | 18 | (Sotto voce discussion between counsel.) |
| | 19 | MR. COOK: Your Honor, I have one more. I |
| 12:01:55 | 20 | noticed something, if I may. |
| | 21 | THE COURT: Yes. |
| | 22 | MR. COOK: Extremely minor. Jury Question |
| | 23 | Number 5, after listening to the defendants, it occurs to |
| | 24 | me that the formula should say all hours worked over 40 in |
| 12:02:07 | 25 | a workweek because we are not submitting it based on how |
| | | |

| | 1 | many X number of weeks multiplied by. Since they are |
|----------|----|--|
| | 2 | getting all the damages, it should be all hours worked |
| | 3 | over 40 in a workweek, given any workweek. |
| | 4 | THE COURT: For that workweek. |
| 12:02:25 | 5 | MR. COOK: I'm just afraid that there will be |
| | 6 | confusion and they will award the amount of overtime for |
| | 7 | one workweek instead of over the history of the |
| | 8 | employment. |
| | 9 | THE COURT: In a workweek? |
| 12:02:41 | 10 | MR. COOK: In a workweek is what we would ask |
| | 11 | for. |
| | 12 | THE COURT: That's fine. |
| | 13 | MR. COOK: I apologize for going out of order on |
| | 14 | that, Your Honor. |
| 12:02:52 | 15 | THE COURT: Anything else? |
| | 16 | MR. COOK: No, Your Honor. |
| | 17 | THE COURT: All right. Why don't you make those |
| | 18 | changes and then print out how many copies do you guys |
| | 19 | need? One? |
| 12:03:11 | 20 | MR. KING: One would be fine. |
| | 21 | MR. WALLACE: I would like two. |
| | 22 | MR. COOK: Two, please. |
| | 23 | THE COURT: The government printing expense is |
| | 24 | going up. Print out two per side. |
| 12:03:22 | 25 | MR. COOK: We can live with one, Your Honor. |
| | | Laura Wells, CRR, RDR |

| | 1 | THE COURT: Ms. Edwards, let them know we're |
|----------|----|--|
| | 2 | making our final revisions. |
| | 3 | CASE MANAGER: Yes, sir. |
| | 4 | MR. COOK: So they've opted for a later lunch? |
| 12:03:35 | 5 | THE COURT: No. They want to go. They want to |
| | 6 | go to the food trucks. On Wednesday they bring food |
| | 7 | trucks down to City Hall. So people walk over to City |
| | 8 | Hall and pick out whatever food they want from the trucks. |
| | 9 | MR. COOK: I misunderstood. So we are going to |
| 12:03:52 | 10 | adjourn? |
| | 11 | THE COURT: No. As soon as he makes the |
| | 12 | corrections and brings them back, we're going to go. |
| | 13 | MR. COOK: They are going to the later lunch is |
| | 14 | the way I |
| 12:04:01 | 15 | THE COURT: Yes. |
| | 16 | MR. COOK: All right. |
| | 17 | THE COURT: There was one point. There was an |
| | 18 | individual that was a defendant in this case; is that |
| | 19 | correct? |
| 12:06:31 | 20 | MR. COOK: At one time, Your Honor. The |
| | 21 | defendants moved for summary judgment as to that |
| | 22 | defendant's employer/employee status excuse me |
| | 23 | employer status, and I believe we did not oppose it and |
| | 24 | maybe even non-suited. |
| 12:06:42 | 25 | THE COURT: Okay. I wanted to confirm. |
| | | Laura Wells, CRR, RDR |

| | 1 | MR. COOK: Procedurally, I don't recall, but she |
|----------|----|--|
| | 2 | is out of the case from the plaintiffs' perspective. |
| | 3 | THE COURT: That's right. I wanted to confirm |
| | 4 | that. The Court received no evidence. If she was not out |
| 12:06:53 | 5 | of the case through that method, I was going to, on the |
| | 6 | Court's motion, grant a directed verdict as to the |
| | 7 | individual defendant but it seems like there is an |
| | 8 | agreement that individual is no longer in the case. |
| | 9 | MR. KING: I believe it's in your summary |
| 12:07:06 | 10 | judgment order, Your Honor. |
| | 11 | THE COURT: Okay. |
| | 12 | MR. COOK: I don't recall. |
| | 13 | THE COURT: I think in some of the jury charges |
| | 14 | that were submitted you still had her in there. |
| 12:07:13 | 15 | MR. COOK: I think we didn't change the caption. |
| | 16 | THE COURT: That was in one of the definitions. |
| | 17 | MR. COOK: Oh, really? |
| | 18 | THE COURT: Yes. |
| | 19 | MR. COOK: Oh, my apologies. That should not |
| 12:07:24 | 20 | have been. There was no as I said, I don't believe we |
| | 21 | even opposed summary judgment. I think that was agreed. |
| | 22 | THE COURT: I think that threw me for a second. |
| | 23 | (Recess from 12:07 p.m. to 12:20 p.m.) |
| | 24 | THE COURT: Please have a seat. The corrections |
| 12:20:28 | 25 | that the Court noted during the charge conference have |
| | | |

| | 1 | been included. Any corrections that have not been made |
|----------|----|--|
| | 2 | subject to a or that were requested as a result of |
| | 3 | objection are hereby overruled, but I included everything, |
| | 4 | I believe. |
| 12:21:00 | 5 | Everyone has their copy. We are ready to proceed. So |
| 12.21.00 | 6 | on the math, 30 minutes. |
| | 7 | MR. COOK: 20/10, Your Honor. |
| | 8 | THE COURT: 20/10. Do you want a warning on your |
| | 9 | five on your 20? I'm sorry. |
| 12:21:18 | 10 | MR. COOK: No. I'll take it when I should be |
| 12.21.10 | 11 | definitely wrapping up at 20. If I go over a minute or |
| | 12 | two, as you said, I should be fine. |
| | 13 | THE COURT: Okay. Do you want a warning on your |
| | 14 | 30? |
| | | |
| 12:21:29 | 15 | MR. WALLACE: Please, Your Honor. |
| | 16 | THE COURT: Where at? |
| | 17 | MR. WALLACE: 25. |
| | 18 | THE COURT: 25. So a five-minute warning. |
| | 19 | Very well. Bring them in. All rise for the jury. |
| 12:21:40 | 20 | (Jury entered courtroom at 12:21 p.m.) |
| | 21 | THE COURT: Thank you. Please be seated. |
| | 22 | Good afternoon, ladies and gentlemen lady and |
| | 23 | gentlemen of the jury. |
| | 24 | First of all, I want to apologize for the delay in the |
| 12:22:12 | 25 | proceedings this morning. Strictly my fault. Not the |
| | l | |

attorneys. We were preparing a very lengthy document and 1 we were going through some legal matters that I had to 2 3 sort through that I started last night and, with the attorneys assistance, I had to work through this morning. 4 We actually started approximately 9:00 this morning and 12:22:28 have been working since. But again, that's on me. 6 7 don't hold the delay this morning against the attorneys. 8 The Court has prepared the jury instructions. 9 Court is now going to read the Court's jury instructions The original will be placed in the jury room, 10 12:22:55 once you retire to begin your deliberations. So you may 11 12 take notes as I read this. However, you will actually have a written copy of what I'm about to read to you. 13 In the United States District Court for the Southern 14 District of Texas, Houston Division, Casey Nelson, et al 15 12:23:13 v. Texas Sugars, et al, Civil Action 4:17-CV-2171. 16 17 Members of the jury: 18 It is my duty and responsibility to instruct you on 19 the law you are to apply in this case. The law contained 20 in these instructions is the only law you may follow. 12:23:31 21 is your duty to follow what I instruct you the law is, 22 regardless of any opinion that you may have as to what the 23 law ought to be. 24 If I have given you the impression during the trial 25 that I favor either party, you must disregard that 12:23:46

impression. If I have given you the impression during the 1 trial that I have an opinion about the facts of this case, 2 3 you must disregard that impression. You are the sole judges of the facts of this case. Other than my 4 instructions to you on the law, you should disregard 5 12:24:01 anything I may have said or done during the trial in 6 7 arriving at your verdict. You should consider all of the instructions about the 8 9 law as a whole and regard each instruction in light of the others without isolating a particular statement or 10 12:24:15 11 paragraph. 12 The testimony of the witnesses and other exhibits introduced by the parties constitute the evidence. 13 statements of counsel are not evidence. They are only 14 15 arguments. It is important for you to distinguish between 12:24:27 16 the arguments of counsel and the evidence on which those 17 arguments rest. What the lawyers say or do is not 18 evidence. You may, however, consider their arguments in 19 light of the evidence that has been admitted and determine 20 whether the evidence admitted in this trial supports the 12:24:43 21 arguments. You must determine the facts from all the 22 testimony that you have heard and the other evidence 23 submitted. You are the judges of the facts, but in 2.4 finding those facts, you must apply the law as I instruct 25 you. 12:24:59

You are required by law to decide the case in a fair 1 2 and impartial, unbiased manner based entirely on the law 3 and on the evidence presented to you in this courtroom. You may not be influenced by passion, prejudice or 4 sympathy you may have for the plaintiffs or defendant in 5 12:25:12 arriving at your verdict. 6 7 Your decisions will be based on whether a party has 8 met its burden of proof on any given issue. The burden of 9 proof is the minimum amount of evidence that is required before you can rule in a party's favor. 10 12:25:27 11 To establish by a preponderance of the evidence means 12 to prove something is more likely so than not so. If you find that a party has failed to prove any element of its 13 claim by a preponderance of the evidence, then it may not 14 15 recover on that claim. 12:25:42 16 The evidence you are to consider consists of the 17 testimony of the witnesses, the documents, and other 18 exhibits admitted into evidence and any fair inferences 19 and reasonable conclusions you can draw from the facts and 20 circumstances that have been proven. 12:25:57 21 Generally speaking, there are two types of evidence. 22 One is direct evidence, such as testimony of an 23 eyewitness. The other is indirect or circumstantial 2.4 evidence. Circumstantial evidence is evidence that proves 25 a fact from which you can logically conclude another fact 12:26:10

exists. As a general rule, the law makes no distinction 1 between direct and circumstantial evidence but simply 2 3 requires that you consider both in deciding whether or not the burden of preponderance of the evidence has been met. 4 5 You alone are to determine the questions of 12:26:28 credibility or truthfulness of the witnesses. In weighing 6 7 the testimony of the witnesses, you may consider the 8 witness's manner and demeanor on the witness stand, any 9 feelings or interest in the case, or any prejudice or bias about the case that he or she may have, and the 10 12:26:43 consistency or inconsistency of his or her testimony 11 12 considered in light of the circumstances. Has the witness been contradicted by other credible evidence? Has he or 13 she made statements at other times and places contrary to 14 15 those made here on the witness stand? You must give the 12:27:01 16 testimony of each witness the credibility that you think 17 it deserves. 18 Even though a witness may be a party to the action and 19 therefore interested in its outcome, the testimony may be 20 accepted if it is not contradicted by direct evidence or 12:27:17 21 by any inference that may be drawn from the evidence, if 22 you believe the testimony. You are not to decide this case by counting the number 23 2.4 of witnesses who have testified on the opposing sides. 25 Witness testimony is weighed. Witnesses are not counted. 12:27:30

The test is not the relative number of witnesses but the 1 2 relative convincing force of the evidence. The testimony 3 of a single witness is sufficient to prove any fact, even if a greater number of witnesses testified to the 4 contrary, if after considering all of the other evidence 5 12:27:47 6 you believe that witness. 7 Impeachment by an inconsistent statement. 8 In determining the weight to give to the testimony of 9 a witness, consider whether there was evidence that at some other time the witness said or did something or 10 12:28:01 failed to say or do something that was different from the 11 12 testimony given at the trial. 13 A simple mistake by a witness does not necessarily mean that the witness did not tell the truth as he or she 14 15 remembers it. People may forget some things or remember 12:28:15 16 other things inaccurately. If a witness made a 17 misstatement, consider whether that misstatement was an 18 intentional falsehood or simply an innocent mistake. significance of that may depend on whether it has to do 20 with an important fact or with only an unimportant detail. 12:28:31 21 Fair Labor Standards Act minimum wage. 22 Each plaintiff claims that defendant Texas Sugars, 23 Inc. d/b/a Moments (Texas Sugars) -- and we'll refer to 2.4 them from here out as Texas Sugars -- did not pay her the 25 minimum wage required by the Fair Labor Standards Act, 12:28:49

| | 1 | also known as the FLSA. |
|----------|----|--|
| | 2 | Defendant denies each of plaintiffs' claims and |
| | 3 | contends that each plaintiff was an independent |
| | 4 | contractor, not an employee of Texas Sugars. |
| 12:29:02 | 5 | It is unlawful for an employer to require an employee |
| | 6 | covered by the FLSA to work for less than minimum wage. |
| | 7 | To succeed on her claim, each plaintiff must prove |
| | 8 | each of the following facts by a preponderance of the |
| | 9 | evidence: |
| 12:29:18 | 10 | One, plaintiff was an employee of defendant during the |
| | 11 | relevant period. |
| | 12 | And two, defendant failed to pay plaintiff the minimum |
| | 13 | wage required by law. |
| | 14 | The minimum wage required by the FLSA during the |
| 12:29:30 | 15 | period involved in this case was \$7.25 per hour. In |
| | 16 | determining whether an employer has paid the minimum wage, |
| | 17 | it is entitled to a credit for the reasonable costs of |
| | 18 | furnishing certain noncash items to the plaintiff; such as |
| | 19 | meals and lodging for the employee's benefit, if the |
| 12:29:48 | 20 | employee voluntarily accepts them. |
| | 21 | Fair Labor Standards Act, overtime pay. |
| | 22 | Each plaintiff claims that the defendant Texas Sugars |
| | 23 | did not pay her the overtime pay required by the Fair |
| | 24 | Labor Standards Act, the FLSA. |
| 12:30:02 | 25 | Defendant denies each of plaintiff's claims and |
| | | |

contends that each plaintiff was an independent 1 2 contractor, not an employee of Texas Sugars. 3 It is unlawful for an employer to require an employee covered by the FLSA to work more than 40 hours in a 4 workweek without paying overtime. 5 12:30:17 To succeed on her claim, each plaintiff must prove 6 7 each of the following facts by a preponderance of the 8 evidence. 9 One, plaintiff was an employee of defendant during the relevant period. 10 12:30:28 11 And two, defendant failed to pay plaintiff the 12 overtime pay required by law. 13 The FLSA requires an employer to pay an employee at least one and one half times the employee's regular rate 14 for time worked over 40 hours in a workweek. A workweek 15 12:30:42 16 is a regularly recurring period of seven days or 17 168 hours. The phrase "hours worked" includes all time 18 spent by an employee that was primarily for the benefit of 19 the employer or the employer's business. If an employee 20 works more than 40 hours in one workweek, the employer 12:31:02 21 must pay the employee the overtime rate of 1.5 times the 22 regular rate for the time she worked after the first 23 40 hours. This is commonly known as time and a half pay 2.4 for overtime work. To calculate how much overtime pay 25 each plaintiff earned in a particular week, multiply her 12:31:20

regular rate of pay by one and a half times the regular 1 rate for all hours worked over 40 in that week. 2 3 Records of hours worked. The law requires an employer to keep records of how 4 many hours its employees work and the amount they are 5 12:31:38 In this case, each plaintiff claims that defendant 6 7 failed to keep and maintain adequate records of her hours 8 and pay. Each plaintiff also claims that the defendant's 9 failure to keep and maintain adequate records has made it difficult for each plaintiff to prove the exact amount of 10 12:31:52 11 her claim. 12 If you find that defendant failed to keep adequate time and pay records for each plaintiff and that each 13 plaintiff performed work for which she should have been 14 paid, each plaintiff may recover a reasonable estimation 15 12:32:06 16 of the amount of her damages. But to recover this amount, 17 each plaintiff must prove by a preponderance of the 18 evidence a reasonable estimate of the amount and extent of 19 the work for which she seeks pay. 20 Employee or independent contractor. 12:32:27 21 It is not always clear whether the law considers 22 someone an employee and it is not always clear who the law 23 considers someone's employer. Some people perform services for others while remaining self-employed as 2.4 25 independent contractors. 12:32:42

In this case, you must decide whether plaintiff --1 whether each plaintiff was an employee of defendant or an 2 3 independent contractor. You should answer this question in light of the economic realities of the entire 4 relationship between the parties. There are a number of 5 12:33:05 factors you must consider, based on the evidence in this 6 7 The factors are, as follows: case. 8 One, who controls plaintiff's work. In an 9 employer/employee relationship, the employer has the right to control the employee's work to set the means and manner 10 12:33:17 in which the work is done and to set the hours of work. 11 12 In contrast, an independent contractor generally must accomplish a certain work assignment within a desired time 13 14 but the details, means and manner by which a contractor completes the assignment are determined by the independent 15 12:33:36 16 contractor normally using special skills necessary to 17 perform that kind of work. 18 Two, how plaintiff is paid. An employer usually pays 19 an employee on a time worked, piece work, or commission 20 basis and an employer usually provides vacation or sick 12:33:54 21 time, insurance, retirement, and other fringe benefits to 22 the employee. An independent contractor is ordinarily 23 paid an agreed or set amount or according to an agreed 24 formula for a given task or job and no benefits are 25 provided. 12:34:28

Three, how much risk or opportunity the plaintiff has. 1 2 An independent contractor is generally one who has the 3 opportunity to make a profit or faces a risk of taking a But an employee is generally compensated at a 4 predetermined rate, has no risk of loss and has Social 5 12:34:40 Security taxes paid by the employer. 6 7 Four, who provides plaintiffs' tools, equipment and 8 supplies. An independent contractor usually provides the 9 tools, equipment and supplies necessary to do the job; but an employee usually does not. 10 12:34:56 11 Five, how plaintiff offers her services. Independent 12 contractors generally offer their services to the public 13 or others in a particular industry, have procured the necessary licenses for performing their services, and may 14 have a business name or listing in the phone book. 15 12:35:14 16 Employees ordinarily work for only one or just a few 17 employers and do not have business names or listings. 18 Six, the intent of plaintiff and defendant. 19 parties' intent is always important but the description 20 the parties give to their relationship is not controlling. 12:35:29 21 Substance governs over form. 22 You should consider all the circumstances surrounding 23 the work relationship. An individual who performs 24 services for pay may be either an employee or an 25 independent contractor but cannot be both at the same 12:35:45

While no single factor determines the outcome, the 1 2 extent of the right to control the means and manner of the 3 worker's performance is the most important factor. Jury Question Number 1. Has each plaintiff proved 4 that she was an employee of -- I'm sorry. Has each 5 12:36:07 6 plaintiff proved that she was an employee of defendant 7 Texas Sugars during the relevant period? Answer "yes" or "no" for each plaintiff: Casey Nelson, Maylene Velasco, 8 9 Kristal Garcia, Veronica Gonzalez and Presley Lange. If you answer "yes" to any one plaintiff, answer the 10 12:36:20 11 next question (but only answer with respect to those 12 plaintiff(s)). If your answer is no to all plaintiffs, do 13 not answer the next question. If you find that defendant Texas Sugars violated the 14 FLSA, then you must determine the amount of any damages. 15 12:36:44 16 You should not conclude from the fact that I'm instructing 17 you on damages that I have any opinion as to whether any 18 of the plaintiffs have proved liability. 19 Jury Question Number 2. Has each plaintiff proved 20 that she is entitled to recover damages under the FLSA? 12:36:59 21 Only fill in the names of the plaintiffs who you found to 22 be employees under Question Number 1. 23 If your answer is "yes" as to any one plaintiff, 24 answer the next question. If you answer "no" to all 25 plaintiffs, do not answer the next question. 12:37:17

Jury Question Number 3. Have plaintiffs proved that 1 2 defendant Texas Sugars either knew its conduct was 3 prohibited by the FLSA or showed reckless disregard for whether its conduct was prohibited by the FLSA? 4 5 Answer "yes" or "no." 12:37:35 If you answer "yes" to Question Number 3, you should 6 7 award damages for the three-year period from July 14, 8 2014, to July 14, 2017. If your answer to Question 9 Number 3 is "no," you should only award damages for the two-year period from July 14th, 2015, to July 14, 2017. 10 12:37:52 11 Jury Question Number 4. What sum of money would 12 fairly and reasonably compensate each plaintiff for minimum wage damages, if any, you have found defendant 13 Texas Sugars caused each plaintiff? Only calculate sums 14 for the plaintiffs for whom you have answered "yes" to 15 12:38:15 under both Questions 1 and 2. Answer in dollars and cents 16 17 for the following items and no other. 18 Total number of hours worked times \$7.25. 19 Jury Question Number 5. What sum of money would 20 fairly and reasonably compensate each plaintiff for 12:38:40 21 overtime damages, if any, you have found defendant Texas 22 Sugars caused each plaintiff? Only calculate sums for the plaintiffs for whom you have answered "yes" to under both 23 Questions 1 and 2. Answer in dollars and cents for the 24 25 following items and no other. 12:38:51

\$7.25 times 1.5 times all hours worked over 40 in a 1 workweek. 2 3 Counsel for the plaintiff, do you wish to make an opening final argument at this time? 4 5 MR. COOK: Yes, Your Honor. 12:39:29 6 THE COURT: You may proceed. 7 MR. COOK: Can I have the document camera, 8 please. 9 Ladies and gentlemen, when I talked to you a little 10 bit about what this case is about, we weren't really able 12:39:47 to get into this too much when we first came down here in 11 12 the morning on Monday. But this case is about kind of two 13 things. It's about minimum wage, but what it's really about is the club having its hand in the pocket of the 14 dancers and actually reducing their wage below zero, the 15 12:40:06 16 base wage that they pay, and actually taking money away 17 from them. 18 We talked about in the beginning there is a spectrum 19 between employees on one side, independent contractors on 20 the other. And your job is going to be to figure out 12:40:23 21 where on that spectrum do the dancers belong. A properly 22 paid, tipped employee is permitted to keep all of their 23 tips. That's why the dancers want to be properly paid 24 tipped employees. 25 An independent contractor is not like the dancers. 12:40:44

| | 1 | Independent contractors, like plumbers and electricians |
|----------|----|--|
| | 2 | and IT folks and things like that, have a different |
| | 3 | economic reality you'll see that word. It's two words |
| | 4 | right in the charge than employees, tipped employees |
| 12:41:05 | 5 | like dancers. |
| | 6 | You heard several factors. I'm going to go over them |
| | 7 | with you and, based on what I believe the evidence was |
| | 8 | that came in, and I'll show why dancers are more like |
| | 9 | employees and less like independent contractors. |
| 12:41:26 | 10 | The first factor is who controls the work. Moments |
| | 11 | exercised control of the dancers in the following ways: |
| | 12 | They compelled the dancers to go on stage. They |
| | 13 | charged them a fine if they did not. |
| | 14 | They charged dancers for coming to work late. The |
| 12:41:43 | 15 | corporate representative admitted that the scale goes up |
| | 16 | the later people come in. And they charged dancers for |
| | 17 | leaving early. |
| | 18 | They required certain dance attire. They required |
| | 19 | certain kinds of shoes. |
| 12:41:54 | 20 | They charge money for tips and deejays and things of |
| | 21 | that nature. |
| | 22 | They required the dancers to check in. Just like a |
| | 23 | waitress punching a time clock, as soon as they come in, |
| | 24 | they have to go check in. |
| 12:42:09 | 25 | They required the dancer to be inspected. |
| | | |

They supervise the dancers. If you'll recall, 1 2 Mr. Khorshidpanah admitted that he was a supervisor of the 3 The managers that supervised the dancers dancers. actually entered the dressing room to patrol the dressing 4 5 room. Mr. Khorshidpanah told us that. 12:42:24 6 That Moments says that they need to know what 7 medications the dancers are taking and they actually 8 patrol for that as well. 9 They required that all the credit card transactions that are done that are supposedly for the benefit of the 10 12:42:37 dancers be done at the bar or by a waitress so that the 11 12 club can take an additional charge on top of what the dancer would be getting. Normally the dancer gets \$20 and 13 \$25 if it's done by a credit card. 14 15 Moments requires dancers to work. Remember we talked 12:42:55 with Mr. Khorshidpanah about the minimum number of dancers 16 17 in the club? Nobody is going to go to the club if there 18 aren't women there. And so the club has a minimum. They 19 want eight dancers, or they want 12 dancers, depending on 20 whether or not you believe what Mr. Khorshidpanah said on 12:43:13 21 the stand or what he said earlier. But they have to have 22 So they have to get dancers to come in. dancers. 23 The deejays control the music. Again, a club employee 24 controlling the means and method. If the deejay plays 25 five-minute long songs, the dancers make less money. If 12:43:29

the deejay plays two-minute songs, the dancers make more 1 2 money. 3 They are generally very similar to the way waitresses are treated, especially at Moments. At Moments the 4 waitresses are not paid anything other than their \$2.13 an 5 12:43:44 hour and their tips. The dancers are not paid at all. 6 7 The dancer gets to keep some of her tips. The waitress 8 gets to keep all of her tips. The waitress has to serve patrons that come in, unless they are rude, harassing or drunk or something like that, just like dancers. And 10 12:44:05 waitresses can refuse to serve patrons, just like a dancer 11 12 can. 13 With regard to how they are paid, you see the similarity because they are both tipped employees. 14 15 difference is waitresses get a minimum of \$2.13 guaranteed 12:44:20 16 up to \$7.25 if there are insufficient tips and the dancers 17 don't. 18 Neither of them get any fringe benefits. There is no 19 health insurance. There is no retirement benefits. I 20 recall Mr. Wallace asking that question over and over to 12:44:37 the dancers. That's true of the waitresses as well. 21 22 Lastly, neither is paid a set amount or fee. And 23 there is one person we did hear about in this case who is, and that was Bridget the Midget. I know it's a silly 24 25 stage name but she, if we recall the testimony from 12:44:59

Mr. Khorshidpanah, she gets a set fee based on a contract 1 2 to perform a certain number of days at the club. That is 3 what an independent contractor looks like in these circumstances. 4 5 With regard to risk and opportunities, the dancers 12:45:15 again are just like the waitresses. They have the 6 7 opportunity that somebody is in the club wanting to spend 8 a lot of money and they are going to have a great night and take a lot home in tips. They have the risk that the club is going to be slow, there is nobody there, not a lot 10 12:45:31 of money changes hands, and they are going to go home with 11 12 very little. 13 The only difference is the dancers can go home with zero because they aren't paid, and the waitresses have a 14 In fact, the dancers have to pay a fee there. 15 minimum. 12:45:45 16 So they can actually go home with less than zero. That's 17 what we're saying shouldn't happen, not evidence that it's 18 okay for that to happen. 19 If paid properly, dancers and entertainers and 20 waitresses would have the same risks. A good night is a 12:46:03 21 good night. A bad night is a bad night. But nobody is 22 going into the hole. With regard to the tools and equipment -- I took this 23 24 down from the testimony -- the dancers pay for their 25 outfits, their hair, their shoes, and things of that 12:46:19

1 nature. This is on a yearly basis what the club pays for. 2 3 They pay \$36,300 a year in deejays. They paid, at one point, \$7,000 for the lighting system. I don't know how 4 much they pay to maintain it. They paid some unknown 5 12:46:35 6 amount for the sound system. They pay thousands of 7 dollars a year for their liquor license, between \$120,000 8 and \$144,000 a year in alcohol, \$96,000 a year in rent, 9 \$120,000 in payroll, \$36,300 a year in bouncers, \$36,300 a year in managers, between \$100,000 and \$200,000 for the 10 12:46:56 general manager, \$6,000 in magazine ads when they were 11 12 running those ads, and \$65,000 in security. The total is 13 about \$615,000 a year to run Moments. So when you are 14 asked who supplies the necessary instrumentality for the work of the dancers, it's overwhelmingly the club. 15 12:47:17 16 I would also like to point out that the managers, the 17 amount of \$100, two shifts, \$100, that gets us to the 18 \$36,300 over the course of a year, that's for how many 19 managers did we hear about? Four? We are supposed to 20 believe that these four managers are splitting \$36,300 a 12:47:41 21 year? I think that shows that, in fact, the real money is 22 being made by the managers because they require tips from 23 the dancers. The club provides the building, the music, the stage, 24

Laura Wells, CRR, RDR

the pole, the furnishings, the decor, the food, the

25

12:47:54

drinks, the waitresses, the cooks, bartenders, janitors, 1 2 hostesses, managers, bouncers, security, security cameras, 3 parking lot, and registration in Harris County in order to run a sexually-oriented business, a liquor license and 4 other licenses. The girls provided their hair and makeup 5 12:48:13 and shoes. 6 7 The services are not offered to the public as a 8 dancer. They are not going around people's homes or hotels or anywhere offering to dance for whoever is willing to pay for their services. In fact, they only 10 12:48:25 offer their services to the members of the public that 11 12 enter Moments, just like the waitresses. The waitresses 13 serve people that come to Moments. The dancers aren't out soliciting employment as a 14 15 dancer for limited periods of time to come to a bachelor 12:48:45 16 party or things of that nature. They go to Moments to 17 work. 18 They don't have their own business where they keep 19 records and have business cards and run advertisements. 20 They go to Moments, whom they are economically dependent. 12:49:01 21 And again, let's go back again to Bridget the Midget. 22 That is what an independent contractor looks like on this 23 test. She offers her services to different clubs who pay 24 her to appear. 25 Just like a waitress can work at one restaurant and 12:49:18

another restaurant in the same time, a dancer can work at 1 one club and another club. But the question is when the 2 3 dancer works at the same club for 11 years or 10 years, for four years, is that dancer really in business for 4 themselves or are they an employee of that club? 5 12:49:38 Intent is a really interesting question here. 6 7 I absolutely agree that none of the dancers would ever 8 intend to make \$7.25 an hour. They make more than that. The intent though, the intent was that they would get to keep all of the tips that they earn. That's what happens 10 12:50:02 11 when you are an employee who is properly paid under the 12 FLSA. You get to keep all the tips that you earn. The intent of the employment relationship was that the dancers 13 would work at one place, would serve their customers, 14 Moments' customers, that they would dance for Moments' 15 12:50:21 16 customers, and they would receive tips, and they would 17 keep those tips. 18 What happens is they have to pay money to Moments just 19 to get in the door. It was clearly established and not 20 disputed by Mr. Khorshidpanah. They then have to tip 12:50:36 21 deejays, tip the bartenders, tip the managers. 22 So when you get to the question of intent, ask not 23 what -- well, did I intend to be an independent 24 contractor? Did I intend to get -- as opposed to get 25 \$7.25 an hour? That's not the question. The intent was 12:50:55

that they would get to keep all their tips. 1 2 I want to turn now to looking at the charge with you. 3 And the first thing I want to just highlight on the charge is this instruction on records of hours worked. 4 5 no records were kept, we are not able to provide the level 12:51:27 of detail and exactitude that we would like to. 6 7 Obviously, I would love to come in here with a time clock 8 and say they clocked in at this time and they clocked out at that time and I can prove it to the minute. But no records were kept. No documents. So I have -- we are 10 12:51:43 11 unable to do that. 12 Because of that, we have to rely on the dancers' testimony. If you recall, one of the things that dancers 13 14 do is they are provided alcohol as part of their socializing with people, and so their memories may not be 15 12:51:58 16 exact. We have to live with what we have. 17 With regard to Jury Question Number 1, I believe, as I 18 have explained, we believe that all five plaintiffs have 19 established that they are employees of Moments. 20 If they are employees and you determine that they did 12:52:17 21 any work for which they were not properly paid, then you 22 should answer this question "yes," they have FLSA damages. 23 On the question of willfulness, I submit to you that 24 an owner of a business who closes their eyes, who stops up 25 their ears and does no investigation whatsoever is acting 12:52:41

in reckless disregard of whether or not their conduct is 1 2 prohibited by the FLSA. They have lawyers. They have --3 the Department of Labor is available as a governmental institution that will answer their questions. But they 4 didn't ask. They did nothing to find out whether or not 5 12:53:01 they were paying their dancers properly. 6 7 With regard to the question on damages, I took notes 8 and I want to share with you what I had for -- in terms of the number of hours worked. 9 With regard to Ms. Velasco, I had that she testified 10 12:53:22 to working Tuesday, Wednesday, Thursday and Friday and 11 12 every other Saturday, which would mean that you could take the number of weeks at issue -- depending on your answer 13 14 to Jury Question Number 3, there will be a different number of weeks at issue -- multiply by four for one set 15 12:53:41 16 of weeks and five for one set of weeks or just multiply by 17 4.5 to get an estimate and multiply by \$7.25 an hour. 18 So if you were to find that there were 95 weeks in the 19 period -- she testified she started working in June of 20 2013 to 2015 as a dancer. If you found that that was all 12:54:06 21 compensable, you would take 95 weeks, multiply by four and 22 a half, multiply by \$7.25 in order to get the total number 23 of hours worked. She indicates -- or excuse me -- to get 24 the total number of hours per week. To get the total 25 number of hours worked, you would then multiply by what 12:54:30

she testified the amount of work that she did in each 1 2 shift. 3 And Ms. Nelson testified that her average shifts were between six and ten hours. It's up to you to decide 4 5 whether or not you think the average is six, ten, or 12:54:42 somewhere in between. But what you should do is calculate 6 7 the total number of weeks, multiply by the number of hours 8 in the shift -- so the number of days in a week that each dancer says they worked and the number of hours in each shift on each day, multiplied by the total number of 10 12:54:59 11 weeks. 12 It's kind of mathy. I know it is a -- I'm sure they will give you a calculator to do the math. 13 14 With regard to Ms. Nelson, she worked four days a week and every other Saturday for six to ten hours a day. 15 12:55:12 16 Ms. Gonzalez testified that she worked four days a week and every other weekend for seven hours a day. 17 18 Ms. Garcia testified to working five or six days a 19 week. We would ask you to consider five. It's up to you 20 if you want to do six. And that she worked between eight 12:55:33 21 and ten hours or 12 hours, if they were doubles. You can 22 determine where in that range is appropriate. 23 Presley Lange testified that she worked between three 24 and five days a week, some were doubles, for eight hours 25 each shift. 12:55:48

| | 1 | And Maylene Velasco testified that she worked a bunch, |
|----------|----|---|
| | 2 | that she would only take two or three nights off in a |
| | 3 | month. I think that we would be comfortable understanding |
| | 4 | that she probably did miss more time than she is |
| 12:56:08 | 5 | remembering today. And so we are only going to ask you to |
| | 6 | consider five days a week for her. She testified that she |
| | 7 | would work as many as ten excuse me as many as seven |
| | 8 | hours a shift. And so we would consider seven hours a |
| | 9 | shift for her. |
| 12:56:27 | 10 | Bringing you back to what I started with, this is not |
| | 11 | a case where dancers are claiming they are owed \$7.25 an |
| | 12 | hour and that is what they want. They want the |
| | 13 | protections of the FLSA. They want to be treated like |
| | 14 | tipped employees because they are much more like |
| 12:56:44 | 15 | waitresses and much less like Bridget the Midget, who is |
| | 16 | an independent contractor. |
| | 17 | I look forward to hearing from you guys. Thank you |
| | 18 | very much. |
| | 19 | THE COURT: Does the defense wish to make a |
| 12:57:09 | 20 | closing argument at this time? |
| | 21 | MR. WALLACE: Yes, Your Honor. |
| | 22 | THE COURT: You may proceed, sir. |
| | 23 | MR. WALLACE: Members of the jury, on behalf of |
| | 24 | Mr. Khorshidpanah and Texas Sugars, I want to thank you |
| 12:57:19 | 25 | for spending the last three days here in the courthouse |
| | | |

with us and listening to the evidence, some of which has 1 2 been repetitive and you have heard much of the same 3 testimony over and over again. You have been patient. You have been attentive and you have been listening and I 4 want to thank you for that. 5 12:57:36 I do want to talk to you about the evidence that has 6 7 been presented in the case, and I do want to talk to you 8 some about the instructions that the Judge has given you and that you will receive on paper when you go back into the jury deliberation room. 10 12:57:48 11 I'll start out by telling you that there are five 12 plaintiffs in this case. And all five of them came up here and testified. And all five of them are asking for a 13 14 whole lot of money. They have a vested interest in the 15 outcome of this case. 12:58:07 16 There is a defendant in this room, Texas Sugars, Inc., 17 that has a vested interest, also. It wants to maintain 18 that it does not treat its -- that its entertainers are 19 not employees and that they are not liable under the Fair Labor Standards Act. 20 12:58:33 21 As the Judge has told you in his instructions, an 22 individual who performs services for pay may be either an 23 employee or an independent contractor but cannot be both 2.4 at the same time. 25 This is not a spectrum, as you were told by opposing 12:58:49

It's either you're an employee or you're not an 1 2 employee. The Fair Labor Standards Act covers employees. 3 Okay. So you have five plaintiffs that came up and told you one story. You had Texas Sugars that told you 4 the other. And both cannot be true. They are either an 5 12:59:07 6 employee or they are not. 7 So who are you to believe? Well, we contend that you 8 can believe the three independent witnesses that came up here who are neither plaintiffs nor defendants, who are entertainers at Moments, who came up here and told you 10 12:59:26 exactly what really goes on in that club as far as the 11 12 relationship is, and that they are independent contractors 13 that have every intent to be independent contractors and 14 are not going to work there and we submit nor would any other dancer ever work at any club classified as an 15 12:59:43 16 employee, given a schedule, told to come in and work for 17 \$7.25 an hour, much less \$2.13 an hour. 18 Much has been made about, well, they would get \$2.13 19 an hour plus their tips. Well, the evidence that was 20 presented by both the plaintiffs' witnesses and our 01:00:03 21 witnesses was they get fees for dancing, \$20 a song. 22 That's not a tip, ladies and gentlemen. A man who comes 23 and mows your lawn and knocks on your door and says, I'd like to mow your lawn, and I'll do it for \$20. You say, 2.4 25 Okay. Deal. 01:00:22

After it's done, he knocks on your door and says, 1 Where is my tip? No. He says, Where is my \$20, the fee 2 3 we pre-negotiated for my services of mowing the lawn. It's just like dancing. 4 5 So it's not a tip. They don't get paid \$7.25 an hour 01:00:33 and then get to hold on to the fees. Those fees become 6 7 the property of the house. That's the employment 8 relationship. 9 Just like a waitress, when she works \$2.13 an hour, she doesn't get to keep the money that the patrons pay for 10 01:00:49 their liquor or their beer or their wine. If she gets a 11 12 tip on top of that, she gets to keep it. Much like a dancer. It's a tip on top of the \$20 per song that she 13 14 gets to keep. But don't confuse these dance fees as tips. 15 So the question really for you all is: How do you 01:01:09 determine who an employee is? And you'll find that in the 16 17 Judge's instructions to you as set out on Pages 9 and 10 18 of your jury instructions. And there are -- you will see 19 on Pages 9 and 10 the five -- excuse me -- the six factors 20 that are set out by Judge Bennett. 01:01:41 21 And I'll ask you to recall, when I cross-examined the 22 witnesses that came to this stand, both the plaintiffs and 23 our witnesses that we brought to the stand, I'll ask you 24 to remember that I asked each one of them or I tried to 25 ask each one of them, I want to ask you about six 01:02:01

individual topics. Do you remember that? That's these 1 2 six topics because we wanted to prove to you that they are 3 not employees. So let's go to the first topic. In an 4 5 employer/employee relationship, the employer has the right 01:02:16 to control the employee's work, to set the means and 6 7 manner in which the work is done and to set the hours of 8 work. 9 In contrast, as Judge Bennett's instructions provide, an independent contractor generally must accomplish a 10 01:02:30 certain work assignment within a desired time but the 11 12 details, means and manner by which the contractor completes that assignment are determined by the 13 14 independent contractor normally using special skills necessary to perform that kind of work. 15 01:02:44 16 We know what the evidence is. The dancers came when 17 they wanted to work on the days they wanted to work and 18 didn't work when they didn't want to work. They danced 19 the way they wanted to dance. They danced for the 20 customers they wanted to dance for. They danced when, 01:02:57 21 where and how they wanted to perform. 22 But you don't need to believe me because argument of 23 counsel, as Judge Bennett rightfully said, is not 2.4 evidence. Let's see what the evidence does say. Let's 25 take Ms. Gonzalez's testimony, one of the plaintiffs. 01:03:13

```
"QUESTION: Moments didn't tell you when to dance?
         1
         2
                "ANSWER: Right.
                            If you did not want to dance for a
         3
                "QUESTION:
           particular customer, you did not have to?
         4
         5
                "ANSWER: Correct.
01:03:25
         6
                "QUESTION: You could easily refuse to dance for
         7
           someone or anyone, correct?
         8
                "ANSWER: Right.
         9
                "QUESTION: No one at Moments ever provided a written
           schedule to you, correct?
01:03:33
       10
       11
                "ANSWER: No.
       12
                "QUESTION: If you don't want to dance, you can simply
           stay at home, right?
       13
       14
                "ANSWER: Right."
                The dancers control their work. They control when
       15
01:03:43
       16
           they work, how they work, how much they charge, who they
       17
           charge it to, and the amount of money they make in a given
       18
           evening. Once they make their money, they go home. If
       19
           they want to work a double, they can work a double, what
           they call a double. All right.
       20
01:04:01
       21
                Number two, how is the plaintiff paid? An employer
       22
           usually pays an employee on a time worked, piece work, or
           commission basis, and an employer usually provides
       23
           vacation or sick time, insurance, retirement and other
       2.4
       25
           fringe benefits. An independent contractor is ordinarily
01:04:16
```

```
paid an agreed or set amount or according to an agreed
         1
         2
           formula for a given task or job and no benefits are
         3
           provided.
                We know what the evidence in this case shows.
         4
         5
                No. Go back, please.
01:04:28
                And that is that they were paid on an agreed or set
         6
         7
           amount of $20 or, according to an agreed formula, $20 per
         8
           song, for a given task or job, meaning a lap dance.
           That's how they are paid. But don't believe me. Let's
           see what Ms. Gonzalez says.
       10
01:04:49
       11
                 "QUESTION: I want to switch to my second topic, and
       12
           that's how you are paid as an entertainer. Moments does
           not pay you for the time worked, correct?
       13
       14
                          They don't.
                "ANSWER:
                "QUESTION: No piece work?
       15
01:05:00
       16
                "ANSWER: No.
       17
                 "QUESTION: Not on a commission basis?
       18
                "ANSWER: No.
       19
                 "QUESTION: Not correct or they don't pay you on a
           commission basis?
       20
01:05:08
       21
                 "ANSWER: They don't.
       22
                 "QUESTION: They provide you no vacation time or sick
       23
           time, correct?
       2.4
                 "ANSWER: Correct."
       25
                Go back to the instruction. That's what an employer
01:05:15
```

He provides sick time, vacation time, pays them on 1 2 a commission basis or for piece work. That didn't happen 3 in this case. They are paid on the set rate they negotiate with their customers. 4 5 Keep going to the next slide, please. 01:05:42 "QUESTION: They provide you with no insurance, 6 7 retirement or other fringe benefits. You are paid on an 8 agreed set amount for dancing between you and your customer, correct? 9 "ANSWER: Right. 10 01:05:54 "QUESTION: And that's usually \$20 a song, right? 11 12 "ANSWER: Yes." 13 Let's go to the next one. The third topic that is outlined by Judge Bennett on Pages 9 and 10 of the jury 14 15 charge you are going to get provides that an independent 01:06:04 16 contractor is generally one who has the opportunity to 17 make a profit or faces a risk of taking a loss; but an 18 employee is generally compensated at a predetermined rate, 19 has no risk of loss, and has social security taxes paid by 20 the employer. 01:06:18 21 You all remember what the testimony was. I don't need 22 to go over it with you. The dancers have all the risk. 23 If they come in and just sit there and don't do anything, 24 they are not going to make any money. If they go in there 25 and they entertain the customers, they are going to make a 01:06:33

```
lot of money at twenty minute -- $20, excuse me, for two
         1
           and a half to three minutes of work because that's the
         2
         3
           length of the song. That was the testimony from the
           witness stand.
         4
         5
                Some of them spend -- one witness testified, I spent
01:06:44
           an hour back in the VIP room. I danced the whole time.
         6
         7
           Three-minute songs times 20 songs. Is that how it works?
         8
           Anyway, she makes $400 for being back there.
                But let's see what Ms. Gonzalez testified about.
         9
                 "QUESTION: The third topic, do you have an
01:07:03
       10
           opportunity to make money?
       11
       12
                 "ANSWER: Yes.
       13
                 "QUESTION: Sometimes you have an opportunity to make
       14
           a lot of money?
                "ANSWER: Yes.
       15
01:07:16
       16
                "QUESTION: Do you have a risk of taking a financial
       17
           loss?
       18
                "ANSWER: Yes."
       19
                The risk is all on the entertainer and the opportunity
           to make a substantial sum of money is all on the
       20
01:07:32
       21
           entertainer. If she wants to come to work, she can come
       22
           to work. If she doesn't want to come to work, she doesn't
       23
           have to come to work.
       2.4
                Let's go to the next page.
       25
                "QUESTION: Do you get compensated, paid money, at a
01:07:46
```

| | 1 | preexisting rate on a nightly basis, a weekly basis, or a |
|----------|----|--|
| | 2 | monthly basis?" |
| | 3 | And she answered, "Yes. I mean, I get paid from the |
| | 4 | customers, not from the bar or from the club." |
| 01:08:00 | 5 | I asked her, "But it's not a preexisting rate." Those |
| | 6 | words being used directly in the jury charge that Judge |
| | 7 | Bennett has read to you and will give to you, they are not |
| | 8 | paid on a preexisting rate. |
| | 9 | And Moments, I asked, does not pay your social |
| 01:08:12 | 10 | security? |
| | 11 | And her answer was, no, they don't pay our social |
| | 12 | security. |
| | 13 | Again, that directly comes out of the jury charge. |
| | 14 | Directly. That defines an independent contractor. |
| 01:08:24 | 15 | All right. Let's go to the next factor. An |
| | 16 | independent contractor usually provides the tools, |
| | 17 | equipment and supplies necessary to do the job but an |
| | 18 | employee does not. Let's not listen to my statement. |
| | 19 | Let's listen to what Ms. Gonzalez said. |
| 01:08:43 | 20 | "QUESTION: The next subject I want to go to, and it's |
| | 21 | the fourth subject, is who provides your tools, equipment |
| | 22 | and supplies? |
| | 23 | "ANSWER: I do. |
| | 24 | "QUESTION: You provide one hundred percent of them? |
| 01:08:57 | 25 | "ANSWER: Right. |
| | | |

```
"QUESTION: No one at Moments provides you with the
         1
         2
           tools, equipment and supplies necessary to do your job,
         3
           correct?"
                Her answer was, "Right."
         4
         5
                That's the fifth indicia of why she is an independent
01:09:07
           contractor -- fourth indicia. Pardon me.
         6
         7
                The fifth test or the fifth instruction that you have
         8
           on Page 10 of Judge Bennett's instructions is how did
         9
           plaintiff offer her services. Independent contractors
           generally offer their services to the public. Remember, I
       10
01:09:29
       11
           asked the dancers, Do you provide your services to the
       12
           public or do you provide it to the bouncers, the managers,
       13
           the deejays and people associated with Moments? Every one
           of them said I offer it to the public, the public that
       14
           walked through that door.
       15
01:09:44
       16
                Let's go to the -- let's see what some of the
       17
           testimony is.
       18
                 "QUESTION: The fifth topic I want to talk to you
       19
           about is how you -- how and to whom you offer your
       20
           services -- are you with me?
01:09:59
       21
                 "ANSWER: Yes.
       22
                 "QUESTION: -- as an entertainer.
       23
                "ANSWER: I am.
       24
                 "QUESTION: You offer your services to the general
       25
           public when they come into the bar, don't you?"
01:10:07
```

And her answer was, "Right." 1 2 And the next page. "QUESTION: And when you have danced at other clubs, 3 it's the same way. You are offering services to the 4 5 customers and to the general public when they come in the 01:10:21 front door, right? 6 7 "ANSWER: Right. 8 "QUESTION: You do not offer dancing services to the 9 bar backs or hostesses or security guards? "ANSWER: Right. 01:10:31 10 11 "QUESTION: You are offering it to the general public, 12 correct? 13 "ANSWER: Right." 14 That's the fifth test outlined in Judge Bennett's 15 instructions to you. 01:10:40 16 And finally, let's go to the sixth indicia that Judge 17 Bennett has instructed you to examine and that is the 18 party's intent is always important but the description the 19 parties give to their relationship is not controlling. 20 Substance governs over form. 01:10:53 21 Well, what was the intent of those dancers when they 22 walked in the front door? They intended to be independent 23 contract dancers. They intended to be in there to make 24 \$20 a song or \$30 a song or whatever they charged, to get 25 those fees from their customers when they danced for them.

They did not intend to be a \$2.13 an hour employee 1 2 with a schedule and a uniform and all the other things 3 that come with being an employee. We have all been employees. You report to work when you are told. You 4 5 work your shift. You do what you are told to do while you 01:11:32 are there, and you get a paycheck at the end of the day. 6 7 That's not what these dancers intended to do at all, 8 and that's not what Moments intended to do at all. It was a contract with them to be independent contractors. What is the testimony? 10 01:11:50 11 "QUESTION: Last but not least, on the six topics that 12 I wanted to talk to you about was your intent and if you know the intent of the club. So let's talk about your 13 intent. When you went there" -- and this is the testimony 14 15 of Ms. Gonzalez. If you'll recall, she started out as a 01:12:04 16 hostess/waitress and moved to be a dancer -- "when you 17 went there, you would as a dancer" -- and I said, "Well, 18 strike that. When you first started working there, you 19 intended to work as an employee, correct? "ANSWER: Yes. 20 01:12:23 21 "QUESTION: As a door hostess and as a waitress? 22 "ANSWER: Right. "QUESTION: And it was later your voluntary decision 23 2.4 and intent to move to an independent contractor dancer at 25 Moments, correct? 01:12:34

"ANSWER: Right."

01:12:49

01:13:08

01:13:33

01:13:54

01:14:11

She wanted to make more money, and she wanted to perform as an independent contractor and have all the say in the world over her schedule, over her routine, what days do they come in, what times do they come in, does it fit with their childcare needs that they can come in later or come in earlier, what is it that helps them out? They are not — they are not employees.

Members of the jury, on Page 11 of the jury instructions that Judge Bennett is going to give you, he asks: Has each plaintiff proved that she was an employee of defendant Texas Sugars during the relevant time period?

The answer, I submit, that you should put on this form are five big fat nos. If you put those five nos on there, your job is done, and you can go home. There is nothing for you to consider about damages. There is nothing for you to consider about anything else. They have not proven their case. They are not employees as a matter of law. And if you look at Judge Bennett's instructions, I think you can conclusively come across that, no, they weren't employees.

But Judge Bennett has given you instructions about damages, and so I have got to address it. Okay. On Page 8 of the instructions -- again, these instructions -- Judge Bennett says that the plaintiffs must have evidence

of a reasonable estimate of the amount and extent of the 1 work for which she seeks pay. 2 3 Did you all hear a reasonable amount of hours that any plaintiff worked? Or did you just hear, Oh, well, you 4 know, I worked doubles and I went in on Tuesdays and 5 01:14:30 Wednesdays and Thursdays and sometimes on Saturdays? 6 7 Did you ever hear, you know, gosh, I didn't keep 8 records either and neither did Texas Sugars, but I 9 estimate over this three-year period or this two-year period that I worked 1,500 hours. And I would say a 10 01:14:47 thousand of them were regular time and 500 of them was 11 12 overtime. 13 You do the math, jury. You figure that out. \$7.25 times a thousand and \$10.86 times 500. Sure, you could do 14 15 that, if you had any evidence at all as to how much time 01:15:01 16 they actually worked, but you don't have anything. You 17 have been left completely in the dark to guess for each 18 plaintiff and all plaintiffs how much time they worked. 19 Can any of you independently recall how many hours 20 Maylene Velasco, the last plaintiff, worked? I mean, at 01:15:25 21 one time she said, Oh, well, I worked every single day. Ι 22 worked every day. Maybe I took a couple of days off a 23 month. Never worked at any other club. Only worked at 24 Moments. 25 Well, on cross-examination she had to admit, well, no, 01:15:44

she worked for Glamour Girls. Why did she have to admit 1 2 that? Because we showed she got arrested for prostitution 3 while at Glamour Girls at the same time she said she was working at Moments. She spent days in jail. She couldn't 4 have been at Moments if she was in jail. But she said she 5 01:16:00 6 worked every day. Maybe took two days off a month. 7 Maybe. 8 Who works every day? I don't want you to hold up your 9 hands because it's not a test for you all. I don't work every day. Do you know anybody that works every day? 10 01:16:13 It's not legal, and you don't have anything to base 11 12 damages upon. Nothing. I submit you find no liability. If you put no on all 13 that, you don't even have to get to that question. But if 14 you found that a dancer or you felt they were employees, 15 01:16:31 16 how on earth can you say how much they should have been 17 paid when you don't know how much they worked? It would 18 be pulling it out of thin air and guessing it, and I 19 submit to you that the plaintiffs have wholly failed to 20 provide you with the necessary evidence that you need to 01:16:46 21 even come to the damage calculation if you were to do so. 22 You have heard evidence that they had to wear 3-inch 23 heels. Some of their witnesses said you had to have 24 6-inch heels. Our witness said, I dance in flats. They 25 don't control the way I do my dancing. 01:17:12

Those three independent witnesses that came up here 1 2 and told you the truth, told you the truth because they 3 were under oath and had an obligation to tell you the They didn't hide who they were. They didn't hide 4 what they were, and they didn't try to stretch out this I 01:17:25 work every day kind of stuff. They were forthright with 6 7 you, and I hope you give them a lot of credibility. 8 I will tell you on behalf of Mr. Khorshidpanah here that he really appreciates the time and effort that you 9 all have put into this. It's been a long three days. You 10 01:17:42 11 have heard a lot of evidence. I'm going to ask you to use 12 your common sense, and Mr. Khorshidpanah is going to ask you to use your common sense. 13 What is really going on here? You have got five 14 people who are disgruntled and unhappy and want to make 15 01:17:55 16 one last stab at a cash grab. It shouldn't fly. 17 shouldn't work. 18 Respectfully, we ask that you return a verdict that is 19 on Page 11 of 18. No, no, no, and no. They are not 20 employees. Whether or not that's -- you might 01:18:26 21 individually think that's fair or right -- individually 22 think it's wrong or right, remember that each of you swore 23 that you would apply the law as the Judge gave it to you, 24 and the Judge has given you the six factors to consider. 25 You have got the evidence that meets those six factors 01:18:47

| | 1 | that they are not employees. | | |
|----------|----|--|--|--|
| | 2 | Thank you so much for your time and consideration. I | | |
| | 3 | look forward to your verdict. | | |
| | 4 | THE COURT: Does the plaintiff wish to offer a | | |
| 01:19:10 | 5 | rebuttal argument? | | |
| | 6 | MR. COOK: Very much so, Your Honor. | | |
| | 7 | THE COURT: You may proceed. | | |
| | 8 | MR. COOK: Ladies and gentlemen, if the question | | |
| | 9 | that was posed to you was are dancers independent | | |
| 01:19:26 | 10 | contractors with regard to the patrons who enter Moments, | | |
| | 11 | you would find, yes, they are independent contractors. If | | |
| | 12 | you look at those factors and the way that the defendant | | |
| | 13 | has presented them to you, it is asking about how they are | | |
| | 14 | treated by their patrons. Just like a waitress. When a | | |
| 01:19:51 | 15 | waitress comes to your table, you don't you don't have | | |
| | 16 | an ongoing relationship with them. They are serving you | | |
| | 17 | 7 individually, just like the lawnmower example that | | |
| | 18 | Mr. Wallace used. | | |
| | 19 | When you look at it through the lens of what is the | | |
| 01:20:11 | 20 | relationship between the club and the dancer, those | | |
| | 21 | relationships change. The only reason the dancers don't | | |
| | 22 | have the pay that one of the factors is, is because it's | | |
| | 23 | being illegally denied them. They are supposed to receive | | |
| | 24 | it, and they are not. | | |
| 01:20:34 | 25 | We talked about the dancers are not controlled because | | |
| | | | | |

they are not -- they don't have a schedule. Well, the 1 2 dancers said that they did. They had to work regular 3 schedules. They weren't on paper. That's not how the club runs. It was verbally. Get in here. We need you. 4 They worked regular schedules. And if they didn't work on 5 01:20:51 a Monday or a Tuesday, they wouldn't be allowed to work a 6 7 Friday or Saturday, the more lucrative days. The club 8 exercised control over their schedules to a limited 9 extent, to be fair. The reason I say it's a spectrum is not because you 10 01:21:04 can be a little bit independent contractor and a little 11 12 bit employee. Mr. Wallace is absolutely right about that. They are either one or the other. The spectrum that I am 13 talking about is you could look an awful lot like one, in 14 which case you probably are, and look an awful lot like 15 01:21:19 16 the other, in which case you probably are, or somewhere in 17 the middle. You ladies and gentlemen will decide. 18 My point with the spectrum is that if you view it that 19 way, the dancers and waitresses and totally truly tipped 20 employees are here and dancers are here and Bridget the 01:21:34 21 Midget is here and your plumber is over here. So where do 22 you draw that line? Wherever you draw that line, I submit 23 that the dancer is on the employee side of it because they 24 are dependent on the club. 25 The club provides everything that is necessary, except 01:21:48

the clothes on their back. The club provides the patrons. 1 2 The club controls the music, the decor. The club controls 3 their opportunity to make money based on who they get in the door. 4 5 Let's talk about the credibility of the witnesses and 01:22:10 6 a little bit about what makes a person an employee or an 7 independent contractor. I think one of the most shocking 8 things -- I have never heard of anybody when they are sued and an independent contractor is summoned as a witness that that person hires them a lawyer to protect them, not 10 01:22:28 11 to protect the club. The club has lawyers, able lawyers. 12 But the club hired a lawyer to go and represent their independent contractor, who is not their employee, when we 13 14 took the independent contractor's deposition, the 15 independent contractor. 01:22:51 16 That, in my mind, shows a level of control and also 17 shows a reason why the testimony you heard from the two or 18 three declarants that had -- that were summoned by the 19 defendant may have been different than that summoned by 20 the plaintiff. They had ongoing relationships. This is 01:23:12 21 their boss. They could -- Moments can say, you know what, 22 don't come back. We didn't like the way you testified. 23 Don't come back. And these girls do make money. 24 Again, this is not a case about \$7.25 an hour. 25 is a case about getting the club's hand out of the 01:23:29

dancer's pocket. 1 Thank you for your attention. 2 3 THE COURT: Lady and gentlemen of the jury, it is now your duty to deliberate and to consult with one 4 another in an effort to reach a verdict. Each of you must 5 01:23:57 decide the case for yourself, but only after impartial 6 7 consideration of the evidence with your fellow jurors. 8 During your deliberations, do not hesitate to 9 re-examine your own opinions and change your mind if you are convinced that you were wrong, but do not give up on 10 01:24:12 your honest beliefs because the other jurors think 11 12 differently or just to finish the case. 13 Remember, at all times, you are the judges of the facts. You have been allowed to take notes during this 14 trial. Any notes that you took during this trial are only 15 01:24:28 aids to memory. If your memory differs from your notes, 16 17 you should rely on your memory and not on the notes. The 18 notes are not evidence. 19 If you did not take notes, rely on your independent 20 recollection of the evidence and do not be unduly 01:24:44 21 influenced by the notes of other jurors. Notes are not 22 entitled to greater weight than the recollection or 23 impression of each juror about the testimony. 24 When you go into the jury room to deliberate, you may 25 take with you a copy of this charge, the exhibits that 01:25:00

have been admitted into evidence, and your notes. You 1 2 must select a jury foreperson to guide you in your 3 deliberations and to speak for you here in the courtroom. Your verdict must be unanimous. After you have 4 5 reached a unanimous verdict, your jury foreperson must 01:25:15 fill out the answer to the written questions on the 6 7 verdict form and sign and date it. 8 After you have concluded your service and I have 9 discharged the jury, you are not required to talk with anyone about the case. 10 01:25:30 11 If you need to communicate with me during your 12 deliberations, the jury foreperson should write the inquiry and give it to the court security officer. After 13 14 consulting with the attorneys, I will respond either in 15 writing or by meeting with you in the courtroom. Keep in 01:25:44 16 mind, however, that you must never disclose to anyone, not 17 even to me, your numerical division on any question. 18 It's now approximately 1:25, and I understand that you 19 are going to take your lunch break now. After you have 20 returned from lunch, you will return to the jury room and 01:26:07 21 begin your deliberations. At this time, you may --22 Oh, one final point. The schedule is your own. We 23 have been going to 5:00 each day. If you in your 24 estimation determine that you want to go longer than 5:00 25 today because you think you are close and you can reach a 01:26:28

verdict and you want to stay extra time, that's fine. 1 and the lawyers will stay here and await your verdict. 2 3 But I do ask that you work at least until 5:00. If you return tomorrow in the morning, I ask that you return no 4 later than 9:00 a.m. If you want to return earlier than 5 01:26:48 9:00 a.m., before you leave, so indicate; and we will make 6 7 arrangements to have the jury room open before 9:00 a.m. 8 At this time, you may step down and return to the jury 9 room to begin your break and/or deliberations. All rise for the jury. 10 01:27:07 (Jury exited courtroom at 1:27 p.m.) 11 12 THE COURT: Counsel, as I was reading it, I determined a couple of typos. I was writing those in. 13 14 we're going to make those corrections before we send it back, but they were just typos. They were not substantial 15 01:27:37 16 changes. You probably caught me as I was stopping and 17 making some of those corrections. 18 Counsel, your final task. I need the admitted copy of Plaintiffs' Exhibit Numbers 4 and 5 and the admitted 19 20 exhibit of Plaintiffs' Exhibit Number 12, which I already 01:28:02 21 have up here. So I need Plaintiffs' Exhibit Numbers 4 22 and 5. MR. COOK: Your Honor, Plaintiffs' Exhibit 23 2.4 Number 4 is four loose sheets. They are not, by nature, 25 stapled. 01:28:17

```
THE COURT: I have a staple and a paperclip.
         1
         2
           Which do you prefer?
         3
                     MR. COOK: Either one. May I approach?
                     THE COURT: You may. It is up to you.
         4
         5
                     MR. COOK: Let's do paperclip because they were
01:28:27
         6
            -- they were kept separate in that way.
         7
                     THE COURT: Counsel, if you'll approach.
         8
                     MR. WALLACE: Yes, sir.
         9
                     THE COURT: This is Plaintiffs' Exhibit Number 12
           that will go back -- I'm sorry -- Defendant's Exhibit
       10
01:28:39
           Number 12 that will go back to the jury. That is
       11
       12
           Plaintiffs' Exhibit Numbers 4 and 5 that will go back to
           the jury.
       13
       14
                    MR. COOK: Plaintiffs have no additional
       15
           objection to Defendant's Exhibit Number 12.
01:28:53
       16
                     THE COURT: Well, I just wanted to make sure.
       17
                     MR. COOK: It is what it appears to be.
       18
                     THE COURT: It is what it appears to be?
       19
                    MR. COOK: Yeah.
       20
                     THE COURT: Okay.
01:28:59
       21
                     MR. WALLACE: And these are Exhibits 4 and 5 that
       22
           were submitted by the plaintiffs.
       23
                     THE COURT: Very well. Thank you. Thank you,
       2.4
           Counsel.
       25
                Anything else from the plaintiffs before we recess as
01:29:07
```

```
we await word from our jury?
        1
        2
                    MR. COOK: Your Honor, Mr. Berlanga is going to
        3
           remain. May I be excused?
                     THE COURT: You may be. You are excused.
        4
        5
                    MR. COOK: Thank you.
01:29:21
                     THE COURT: Anything else from the defense as we
        6
        7
           await word from our jury?
        8
                    MR. WALLACE: No, Your Honor.
                     THE COURT: We are in recess until such time as
        9
       10
           we hear from our jury. I understand that they were going
01:29:28
           to take their lunch break. I will have Ms. Edwards to
       11
       12
           come back in and tell you about their return so that you
           can also take your lunch break, but I understand they were
       13
       14
           just going to walk over to the food trucks in front of
           City Hall. We are in recess.
       15
01:29:44
       16
                 (Recess from 1:29 p.m. to 3:52 p.m.)
       17
                 (Jury knocked with a note to the Court at 4:04 p.m.)
       18
                     THE COURT: Thank you. Please be seated. We are
       19
           back on the record in Cause Number 4:17-CV-2171, Casey
       20
           Nelson, et al v. Texas Sugars, Inc., et al.
04:04:42
       21
                Counsel for the parties are present here in the
           courtroom. The jury is not present in the courtroom.
       22
                                                                    The
       23
           Court has received two questions from the jury.
       2.4
                Question 1: Can we have a written transcript of
       25
           witness testimonies?
```

| | 1 | The Court intends to respond to that question with: |
|-------------|----|--|
| | 2 | If members of the jury have a dispute about specific |
| | 3 | testimony, please identify that testimony and the Court |
| | 4 | will attempt to locate it and provide it to you. It will |
| 04:05:20 | 5 | take some time to locate and transcribe the requested |
| | 6 | testimony. You will not be provided with a full |
| | 7 | transcript to reread just for the purpose of rereading |
| | 8 | testimony. |
| | 9 | Any objection to that response? |
| 04:05:35 | 10 | MR. BERLANGA: No objection from the plaintiffs, |
| | 11 | Your Honor. |
| | 12 | MR. KING: No objection from the defendant, Your |
| | 13 | Honor. |
| | 14 | THE COURT: Very well. |
| 04:05:44 | 15 | The second question: Can we get clarification on |
| | 16 | Number 6, the intent of plaintiff? |
| | 17 | The Court intends to respond to Question Number 2, as |
| | 18 | follows: You have been provided all instructions on the |
| | 19 | law and required definitions. Please read the |
| 04:06:08 20 | | instructions with your best understanding. |
| | 21 | Any objection to that response? |
| | 22 | MR. BERLANGA: Your Honor, plaintiffs would |
| | 23 | object only to the extent that I think the jury should be |
| | 24 | informed that the intent subjective intent of the |
| 04:06:22 | 25 | parties is only relevant to the extent that it mirrors the |
| | | |

| | 1 | economic realities of the situation. And I believe that's |
|----------|----|--|
| | 2 | the statement from the Fifth Circuit in Parrish and cited |
| | 3 | in our in both parties' briefs extensively. |
| | 4 | MR. KING: Defendant does not have an objection |
| 04:06:38 | 5 | to what the Court intends to do. |
| | 6 | THE COURT: Do you have any submission to a jury |
| | 7 | with the proposed definition of "intent" under the pattern |
| | 8 | jury charge as you have so explained to the Court? |
| | 9 | MR. BERLANGA: I do not, Your Honor. As I |
| 04:06:55 | 10 | represented to the Court in its earlier question about the |
| | 11 | pattern jury charge, whether it's been submitted to any |
| | 12 | jury, I was unable to locate any case submitting an |
| | 13 | independent contractor question to a jury in the Southern |
| | 14 | District of Texas. So I don't have one, one way or the |
| 04:07:11 | 15 | other. I just haven't been able to find one. |
| | 16 | THE COURT: Very well. So as to Pattern Jury |
| | 17 | Charge 11.26, you could not find a case in which that |
| | 18 | question had been submitted to a jury? |
| | 19 | MR. BERLANGA: I looked, Your Honor. I could not |
| 04:07:32 | 20 | find one in the Southern District of Texas. |
| | 21 | THE COURT: Okay. Did you find it anywhere else |
| | 22 | in the Fifth Circuit? |
| | 23 | MR. BERLANGA: I didn't have occasion to look |
| | 24 | because I think the Court requested just the Southern |
| 04:07:42 | 25 | District. |

MR. KING: I believe -- I believe defendant cited 1 a case out of the Southern District of Texas where that 2 3 question 11.26 was referenced, but the docket entry for the case was sealed. 4 5 THE COURT: Very well. I believe that right now 04:08:00 my response is appropriate to ask them to go back and 6 7 reread or to -- that they have been provided with 8 instructions and to please read the instructions with their best understanding. If it turns out that there is 9 continuing confusion, we'll revisit possibly an additional 10 04:08:17 explanation. 11 12 MR. BERLANGA: Yes, Your Honor. And just procedurally, I just wanted to make clear for the record 13 that we're not removing our objection to submission of the 14 15 intent element in the first place. 04:08:35 16 THE COURT: That's been submitted and ruled upon. 17 Thank you, Your Honor. MR. BERLANGA: 18 MR. KING: Your Honor, the case that I was 19 thinking about, the independent contractor question was not submitted to the jury. However, it was considered in 20 04:08:46 21 the district court's analysis. And that is the Gate Guard 22 case. 23 THE COURT: Very well. So just to be clear, in 24 regards to the Court's proposed response to Jury Question 25 Number 2, the defense has no objection. 04:09:00

```
1
                And you object to the response on the ground that you
           believe that a different response should be submitted, and
         2
         3
           you have outlined that; is that correct?
         4
                     MR. BERLANGA: Correct, Your Honor.
         5
                     THE COURT: Very well. Thank you, Counsel.
04:09:13
                     THE CLERK: All rise.
         6
         7
                 (Recess from 4:09 p.m. to 4:53 p.m.)
         8
                 (End of requested excerpt.)
         9
            Date: August 12, 2019
       10
                           COURT REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE
       11
                I, Laura Wells, certify that the foregoing is a
       12
            correct transcript from the record of proceedings in the
       13
            above-entitled matter.
       14
       15
                                     /s/ Laura Wells
       16
                               Laura Wells, CRR, RMR
       17
       18
       19
       20
       21
       22
       23
       24
       25
```

| \$ | 1 | 1:27 _[1] - 91:11 | 3d _[1] - 39:15 |
|--|--|--|---|
| \$10.86 _{(1) -} 83·14 | _[1] - 2:8 1.5 _[2] - 52:21, 58:1 | 1:29 _[1] - 93:16 1:30 _[2] - 31:15, 31:21 | 4 |
| \$10.86 _[1] - 83:14 \$100 _[2] - 63:17 \$100,000 _[1] - 63:10 \$120,000 _[2] - 63:7, 63:9 \$144,000 _[1] - 63:8 \$195.75 _[1] - 6:20 \$2.13 _[6] - 61:5, 61:15, 71:17, 71:18, 72:9, 81:1 \$20 _[10] - 60:13, 71:21, 71:24, 72:2, 72:13, 75:7, 76:11, 77:1, 80:24 \$200,000 _[1] - 63:10 | $10_{[9]} - 16:17, 17:5, \\ 33:13, 34:25, 65:3, \\ 72:17, 72:19, 76:14, \\ 79:8$ $106_{[2]} - 13:17, 13:21$ $107_{[1]} - 11:23$ $108_{[3]} - 11:19, 11:20$ $10:05_{[1]} - 12:13$ $10:30_{[1]} - 23:16$ $11_{[4]} - 16:17, 65:3, 82:9, \\ 85:19$ $11-hour_{[1]} - 17:5$ $11.26_{[7]} - 34:10, 34:15,$ | 1:30 _[2] - 31:15, 31:21 1:56:36 _[1] - 8:5 2 2 _[13] - 12:9, 27:12, 30:20, 30:22, 34:12, 34:13, 38:1, 38:19, 56:19, 57:16, 57:24, 94:17, 96:25 20 _[7] - 28:25, 29:2, 29:16, 45:9, 45:11, 77:7 20/10 _[3] - 29:16, 45:7, 45:8 2013 _[1] - 67:20 | $4_{[14]} - 27:15, 28:9, \\ 30:20, 30:23, 33:23, \\ 36:7, 37:2, 39:21, \\ 57:11, 91:19, 91:21, \\ 91:24, 92:12, 92:21 \\ 4.5_{[1]} - 67:17 \\ 40_{[11]} - 16:8, 41:6, 41:8, \\ 41:24, 42:3, 52:4, \\ 52:15, 52:20, 52:23, \\ 53:2, 58:1 \\ 40-hour_{[1]} - 19:14 \\ 4101_{[1]} - 1:13 \\ 45_{[4]} - 6:8, 7:7, 7:10 \\ 46_{[1]} - 2:5$ |
| \$25 _[1] - 60:14 \$30 _[1] - 80:24 \$36,300 _[5] - 63:3, 63:9, 63:18, 63:20 \$400 _[1] - 77:8 \$6,000 _[1] - 63:11 \$615,000 _[1] - 63:13 \$65,000 _[1] - 63:12 \$7,000 _[1] - 63:4 | 34:22, 35:6, 35:22, 95:17, 96:3 114 _[6] - 14:6, 14:7, 14:11, 14:15, 14:24, 22:8 11:08 _[2] - 14:16, 14:25 11:34 _[1] - 26:10 12 _[9] - 14:4, 15:2, 60:19, 68:21, 91:20, 92:9, 92:11, 92:15, 97:9 1220 _[1] - 1:17 | $2014_{[1]} - 57:8$ $2015_{[3]} - 39:17, 57:10,$ $67:20$ $2017_{[2]} - 57:8, 57:10$ $2019_{[4]} - 1:6, 2:3, 7:24,$ $97:9$ $233_{[1]} - 39:14$ $24_{[2]} - 15:6, 15:7$ $25_{[5]} - 29:4, 29:6, 29:7,$ $45:17, 45:18$ | $47_{[2]} - 33:2, 37:3$ $49_{[3]} - 9:3, 9:5, 9:7$ $4:04_{[1]} - 93:17$ $4:09_{[2]} - 1:4, 97:7$ $4:17-CV-02171_{[1]} - 1:3$ $4:17-CV-2171_{[4]} - 3:3, 32:4, 46:16, 93:19$ $4:53_{[1]} - 97:7$ |
| \$7.25 _[15] - 5:13, 27:18, 51:15, 57:18, 58:1, 61:16, 65:8, 65:25, 67:17, 67:22, 69:11, 71:17, 72:5, 83:13, 88:24 \$96,000 _[1] - 63:8 | $129_{[4]} - 15:9, 15:10, \\ 15:13, 15:14 \\ 12:00_{[6]} - 12:1, 13:25, \\ 14:21, 14:22, 22:12, \\ 31:19 \\ 12:07_{[1]} - 44:23 \\ 12:20_{[1]} - 44:23$ | 26 _[2] - 2:5, 29:7 28 _[1] - 12:4 2:00 _[9] - 14:1, 14:21, 14:22, 16:20, 17:5, 17:19, 17:25, 22:12 2:58:37 _[1] - 9:6 | 5 5 [13] - 27:23, 28:9, 30:20, 33:19, 36:18, 41:5, 41:11, 41:23, 57:19, 91:19, 91:22, 92:12, 92:21 50 [1] - 19:15 |
| • | 12:21 [1] - 45:20 | 3 | 500[2] - 83:11, 83:14 |
| 'hours _[2] - 40:6, 40:17 / /s _[1] - 97:15 | $12:30_{[2]} - 31:16, 31:18$ $132_{[4]} - 16:9, 16:21, \\ 16:25, 17:1$ $14_{[4]} - 12:5, 57:7, 57:8, \\ 57:10$ $14th_{[1]} - 57:10$ $15_{[1]} - 31:14$ | 3 [13] - 2:4, 2:4, 27:13, 34:3, 35:24, 36:1, 36:5, 37:1, 38:20, 57:1, 57:6, 57:9, 67:14 3-inch [1] - 84:22 30 [7] - 11:1, 29:8, 29:14, 29:18, 31:9, 45:6, | $515_{[1]}$ - 1:23 $541_{[1]}$ - 39:15 $56_{[1]}$ - 19:5 $58_{[1]}$ - 2:6 $5:00_{[3]}$ - 90:23, 90:24, 91:3 |
| 1 [22] - 1:9, 2:1, 7:15, | 16 [2] - 24:25, 25:4 | 45:14 31 _[1] - 12:6 | 6 |
| 7:19, 8:5, 27:9, 30:3, 30:20, 34:18, 35:15, 35:23, 37:25, 38:9, 38:19, 56:4, 56:22, 57:16, 57:24, 66:17, 93:24 1,500 [1] - 83:10 | 168 _[2] - 23:6, 52:17 18 _[1] - 85:19 18th _[1] - 7:24 19 _[2] - 1:6, 2:3 1:25 _[1] - 90:18 | 3355 _[1] - 1:17 349 _[1] - 39:16 35 _[2] - 16:7, 18:6 3:00 _[1] - 17:4 3:52 _[1] - 93:16 | 6 [3] - 33:14, 37:16, 94:16 6-inch [1] - 84:24 60 [3] - 10:5, 11:1, 19:15 605 [1] - 39:16 66 [2] - 6:8, 10:8 |

| 69 _[1] - 2:6 6:30 _[3] - 15:18, 17:10, 17:24 | able [6] - 21:1, 27:22, 58:10, 66:5, 88:11, 95:15 above-entitled [1] - 97:13 | afraid [1] - 42:5 afternoon [1] - 45:22 aggregate [1] - 21:18 agree [4] - 20:1, 25:15, | 80:7, 80:10, 80:13, 81:20, 81:22, 82:1 answer[37] - 5:12, 11:2, 11:24, 25:12, 27:19, 27:22, 30:15, |
|--|--|--|---|
| $7_{[4]} - 38:3, 40:4, 40:5, \\ 40:16$ $713_{[1]} - 1:18$ $713-236-8330_{[1]} - \\ 1:14$ $72_{[2]} - 12:12, 12:15$ $723_{[1]} - 4:21$ $74_{[3]} - 13:3, 13:5$ $77002_{[1]} - 1:23$ $77007_{[1]} - 1:13$ $77098_{[1]} - 1:18$ $7:00_{[7]} - 12:1, 15:18, \\ 16:20, 17:11, 17:19, \\ 17:24, 17:25$ | absence [1] - 36:25 absolutely [2] - 65:7, 87:12 accepted [1] - 49:20 accepting [1] - 18:20 accepts [1] - 51:20 accomplish [2] - 54:13, 73:10 according [3] - 54:23, 75:1, 75:7 accurate [1] - 24:8 Act [9] - 23:4, 33:8, 40:24, 50:21, 50:25, 51:21, 51:24, 70:20, 71:2 acting [1] - 66:25 | 65:7 agreed [8] - 44:21, 54:23, 75:1, 75:6, 75:7, 76:8 agreement [1] - 44:8 ahead [2] - 29:14, 38:23 aids [1] - 89:16 air [1] - 84:18 AL [2] - 1:3, 1:6 al [5] - 3:4, 46:15, 46:16, 93:20 Alabama [1] - 1:17 alcohol [2] - 63:8, 66:14 Alex [1] - 1:21 ALFRED [1] - 1:8 | 38:12, 38:13, 38:19, 38:20, 54:3, 56:7, 56:10, 56:11, 56:12, 56:13, 56:23, 56:24, 56:25, 57:5, 57:6, 57:8, 57:16, 57:24, 66:22, 67:4, 67:13, 78:11, 79:4, 80:1, 82:13, 90:6 answered [6] - 24:25, 31:3, 36:1, 57:15, 57:23, 78:3 answers [5] - 25:3, 25:14, 25:16, 25:23, 26:2 anticipate [2] - 28:21, 28:23 anyway [1] - 77:8 |
| 7th _[1] - 32:18 | action [2] - 27:24, 49:18 | allowed _[2] - 87:6, 89:14 | apiece _[1] - 29:9 apologies _[2] - 26:12, |
| 8 | Action [1] - 46:16 | alone [1] - 49:5 | 44:19 |
| 8 _[1] - 82:24 80 _[1] - 7:22 8004 _[1] - 1:23 86 _[1] - 2:7 88 _[1] - 8:9 89 _[5] - 2:7, 6:8, 8:8, 8:9 8:59 _[1] - 1:4 | acts [1] - 39:10 actual [5] - 5:3, 9:10, 10:13, 11:3 add [2] - 18:1, 24:21 added [1] - 38:1 addition [1] - 40:21 additional [8] - 3:17, 12:5, 24:16, 20:44 | ALSO _[1] - 1:19 alternative _[1] - 20:23 Alvarez _[1] - 1:20 amount _[26] - 4:15, 10:8, 10:13, 13:8, 25:5, 25:13, 37:3, 42:6, 48:9, 53:5, 53:10, 53:16, 53:18, 54:23, 56:15, 61:22, | apologize _[7] - 8:21, 14:10, 14:13, 29:12, 34:9, 42:13, 45:24 App _[1] - 39:16 appeals _[1] - 26:25 appear _[3] - 9:20, 28:17, 64:24 appearances _[1] - 3:5 |
| 9 | 29:20, 60:12, 92:14, 96:10 | 63:6, 63:17, 68:1, 74:17, 75:1, 75:7, | APPEARANCES _[1] |
| $\begin{array}{c} 9_{[3]} - 72:17, 72:19, 76:14 \\ 91_{[1]} - 2:8 \\ 93_{[1]} - 2:8 \\ 95_{[2]} - 67:18, 67:21 \\ 97_{[1]} - 2:9 \\ 986-9471_{[1]} - 1:18 \\ 990_{[1]} - 4:20 \\ 9:00_{[4]} - 46:5, 91:5, \\ 91:6, 91:7 \\ 9:37_{[1]} - 26:10 \\ \hline \textbf{A} \\ a.m_{[6]} - 17:5, 26:10, \\ 91:5, 91:6, 91:7 \\ \textbf{A}.M_{[1]} - 1:4 \\ \end{array}$ | 96:10 address [2] - 27:2, 82:23 adequate [3] - 53:7, 53:9, 53:12 adjourn [1] - 43:10 admit [2] - 83:25, 84:1 admitted [10] - 5:3, 5:5, 47:19, 47:20, 48:18, 59:15, 60:2, 90:1, 91:18, 91:19 ads [2] - 63:11, 63:12 advertisements [1] - 64:19 advice [1] - 39:12 affirm [1] - 27:1 afford [1] - 21:21 | 74:17, 75:1, 75:17, 76:8, 83:1, 83:3 analysis [1] - 96:21 AND [2] - 1:7, 1:8 announce [1] - 3:5 Announcements [1] - 2:4 ANSWER [34] - 8:14, 8:17, 9:14, 12:19, 12:23, 13:8, 13:24, 15:17, 15:22, 74:2, 74:5, 74:8, 74:11, 74:14, 75:14, 75:16, 75:18, 75:21, 75:24, 76:10, 76:12, 77:12, 77:15, 77:18, 78:23, 78:25, 79:21, 79:23, | applicability _[1] - 39:8 apply _[5] - 36:13, 36:14, 46:19, 47:24, 85:23 applying _[2] - 32:18, 36:19 appreciate _[1] - 9:23 appreciates _[1] - 85:9 approach _[2] - 92:3, 92:7 appropriate _[5] - 32:21, 36:13, 36:14, 68:22, 96:6 argue _[2] - 19:24, 20:3 argument _[7] - 20:8, 20:9, 20:10, 58:4, 69:20, 73:22, 86:5 |

65:21 5:14 arguments [5] - 47:15, best [4] - 29:7, 37:13, calculating [1] - 36:9 base [2] - 58:16, 84:11 47:16. 47:17. 47:18. 94:20. 96:9 47:21 based [16] - 5:16, 13:8, between [18] - 12:10, calculation [9] - 4:24, arrangements [1] -17:20, 19:3, 27:19, 28:12, 35:13, 37:25, 6:4, 10:12, 22:21, 91:7 35:18, 36:3, 36:13, 38:4, 41:18, 47:15, 25:7, 36:2, 36:15, 41:25, 48:2, 48:7, 49:2, 54:5, 58:19, 36:20, 84:21 arrested [1] - 84:2 54:6, 59:7, 62:1, 88:3 63:7, 63:10, 68:4, calculations[1] arrive [2] - 17:10, 17:24 68:6, 68:20, 68:23, basic_[2] - 3:19, 27:8 17:17 **arrived**[1] - 23:13 76:8, 86:20 basis [12] - 21:21, 23:10, **calculator**[1] - 68:13 beyond [1] - 39:7 arrives [1] - 16:19 39:11, 54:20, 63:2, calendars[1] - 24:11 bias [1] - 49:9 arriving [2] - 47:7, 48:6 74:23, 75:17, 75:20, camera [1] - 58:7 76:2, 78:1, 78:2 biq [1] - 82:14 assignment [4] cameras [1] - 64:2 bear [1] - 9:2 54:13, 54:15, 73:11, bills [1] - 13:10 cannot_[7] - 4:1, 4:2, become [1] - 72:6 73:13 bit [6] - 6:13, 14:17, 5:2, 21:14, 55:25, assistance[1] - 46:4 becomes [1] - 18:19 58:10. 87:11. 87:12. 70:23. 71:5 assisted [1] - 1:25 88.6 beer [1] - 72:11 caption [1] - 44:15 book [1] - 55:15 **associated**[1] - 79:13 BEFORE [1] - 1:8 card [2] - 60:9, 60:14 boss [1] - 88:21 assuming [2] - 6:2, begging [1] - 11:10 cards [1] - 64:19 18:18 bothered [1] - 22:18 begin [3] - 46:11, 90:21, case [52] - 3:19, 4:21, attempt [1] - 94:4 bouncers [3] - 63:9, 91.9 4:23, 10:11, 21:5, ATTENDANCE [1] -64:2, 79:12 Beginning [1] - 3:1 21:11, 24:3, 25:17, 1:19 **box**[1] - 26:20 26:4, 26:23, 32:15, beginning [1] - 58:18 attention [1] - 89:2 32:22, 34:19, 35:4, break [5] - 23:16, 90:19, begins_[1] - 37:19 43:18, 44:2, 44:5, attentive[1] - 70:4 91:9, 93:11, 93:13 behalf_[4] - 32:9, 37:12, 44:8, 46:19, 47:2, attire [1] - 59:18 breakdown[1] - 4:25 47:4, 48:1, 49:9, 69:23, 85:8 Bridget [4] - 61:24, attorneys [4] - 46:1, 49:10, 49:23, 51:15, beliefs [1] - 89:11 53:6, 54:1, 54:7, 64:21, 69:15, 87:20 46:4, 46:7, 90:14 believes [3] - 35:3, 58:10, 58:12, 61:23, brief [2] - 32:23, 34:21 August [1] - 97:9 36:2, 36:8 69:11, 70:7, 70:12, briefing [1] - 26:16 available[1] - 67:3 70:15, 75:4, 76:3, belong [1] - 58:21 briefs [1] - 95:3 82:18, 87:15, 87:16, Avenue [1] - 1:13 below_[2] - 37:5, 58:15 88:24, 88:25, 89:6, bring [3] - 32:1, 43:6, average [11] - 6:16, bench [2] - 32:23, 34:21 89:12, 90:10, 95:12, 45:19 14:19, 15:20, 17:2, 95:17, 96:2, 96:4, benefit_[5] - 40:8, 40:18, 22:10, 22:13, 22:14, bringing [1] - 69:10 96:18, 96:22 51:19, 52:18, 60:10 36:11, 36:21, 68:3, brings [1] - 43:12 CASE [4] - 23:17, 31:8, benefits [7] - 54:21, 68:5 31:22, 43:3 brought_[1] - 72:23 54:24, 61:18, 61:19, await_[3] - 91:2, 93:1, Cases [7] - 4:19, 20:11, 74:25, 75:2, 76:7 building [1] - 63:24 93:7 20:13, 23:11, 34:20 Bennett [9] - 7:17, bunch [1] - 69:1 award [3] - 42:6, 57:7, CASEY [1] - 1:3 72:20, 73:23, 76:14, burden [7] - 3:18, 3:22, 78:7, 80:17, 82:10, Casey_[13] - 1:15, 3:3, awful_[2] - 87:14, 87:15 3:23, 24:19, 48:8, 49:4 82:22, 82:25 3:11, 5:21, 6:5, 6:7, business_[10] - 40:9, **BENNETT** [1] - 1:8 6:22, 6:23, 11:7, 19:9, В 40:19. 52:19. 55:15. 46:15, 56:8, 93:19 Bennett's [4] - 73:9, 55:17, 64:4, 64:18, 79:8, 80:14, 82:19 cash [1] - 85:16 bachelor[1] - 64:15 64:19, 65:4, 66:24 Berlanga [3] - 1:11, caught[1] - 91:16 backs [1] - 80:9 3:8, 93:2 C caused [3] - 31:1. backwards[1] - 34:8 BERLANGA [10] -57:14, 57:22 bad [2] - 62:21 calculate [6] - 10:3, 3:8, 8:25, 94:10, **cell**_[1] - 24:12 bar [4] - 60:11, 78:4, 31:2. 52:24. 57:14. 94:22, 95:9, 95:19, cents_[2] - 57:16, 57:24 57:22, 68:6 79:25, 80:9 95:23, 96:12, 96:17, certain [6] - 51:18, 97:4 calculated [2] - 4:16, bartenders_[2] - 64:1, 54:13, 59:18, 59:19,

62:2, 73:11 cite [2] - 4:22, 8:20 clubs_[2] - 64:23, 80:3 confirm [3] - 38:2, certainly [2] - 9:20, 43:25. 44:3 cited [6] - 20:11, 21:24, coffee [1] - 23:16 32:21 confuse [1] - 72:14 34:20, 35:4, 95:2, 96:1 comfortable [1] - 69:3 CERTIFICATE [1] -City [3] - 43:7, 93:15 confusion [3] - 40:1, coming [1] - 59:14 97:10 Civil [1] - 46:16 42:6, 96:10 comment [2] - 30:2, certificate [1] - 38:15 consecutive[1] - 23:7 claim [6] - 5:12, 48:14, 30:12 Certificate..... conservative[1] -48:15, 51:7, 52:6, comments [4] - 28:3,[1] - 2:9 53:11 6:17 29:21, 31:23, 37:15 claiming [2] - 25:6, certify [1] - 97:11 consider [15] - 47:8, commission [5] -69:11 47:18. 48:16. 49:3. cetera [1] - 13:11 54:19, 74:23, 75:17, 49:7, 50:9, 50:17, claims [8] - 37:20, 75:20, 76:2 challenge [1] - 26:2 54:6, 55:22, 68:19, 37:24, 50:22, 51:2, common [2] - 85:12. chance [1] - 27:11 69:6, 69:8, 82:16, 51:22, 51:25, 53:6, 85:13 82:17, 85:24 change [5] - 41:7, 53.8 commonly [1] - 52:23 consideration[2] -41:14, 44:15, 86:21, clarification [1] - 94:15 communicate [1] -86:2, 89:7 89:9 **classified** [1] - 71:15 90:11 considered [2] - 49:12, changes [4] - 28:16, clear_[6] - 10:12, 33:11, compelled [1] - 59:12 96:20 42:18, 62:11, 91:16 53:21, 53:22, 96:13, considering [1] - 50:5 charge [35] - 10:11, compensable [4] -96:23 22:24, 23:3, 40:13, 10:16, 27:8, 28:3, considers [2] - 53:21, clearest [1] - 7:11 29:22, 30:10, 31:24, 67:21 53:23 clearly [1] - 65:19 32:2, 32:9, 32:11, compensate [4] consistency [1] -32:13, 32:22, 33:1, Clerk [1] - 28:12 30:24, 41:12, 57:12, 49:11 33:4, 33:15, 33:22, 57:20 **clerk** [1] - 12:10 consistent [1] - 30:10 34:1, 35:8, 36:5, compensated [3] -CLERK [1] - 97:6 37:12, 44:25, 59:4, consists [1] - 48:16 55:4, 76:18, 77:25 59:20, 60:12, 66:2, client's [1] - 24:4 constitute [1] - 47:13 complete [2] - 6:3, 66:3, 74:16, 74:17, clock[3] - 20:13, 59:23, consult [1] - 89:4 76:15, 78:6, 78:13, 22:20 66:7 89:25, 95:8, 95:11 **completely** [1] - 83:17 consulting [1] - 90:14 clocked [2] - 66:8 CHARGE [1] - 1:7 completes [2] - 54:15, contain [1] - 33:5 close [4] - 15:19, 26:4, Charge [9] - 2:1, 2:5, 73:13 **contained** [1] - 46:19 28:25, 90:25 2:5, 34:10, 34:15, complying [1] - 39:11 containing [1] - 32:23 34:22, 35:6, 35:22, **closely** [1] - 28:15 comport [1] - 34:14 contend [1] - 71:7 95:17 closes [1] - 66:24 computer [1] - 1:25 charged [4] - 59:12, contends [2] - 51:3, closing [2] - 28:23, 59:14, 59:16, 80:24 computer-assisted 52:1 charges [2] - 39:20, [1] - 1:25 context_[1] - 20:13 Closing [4] - 2:1, 2:6, 44:13 concerns [1] - 22:22 continue [1] - 38:8 2:6, 2:7 check[3] - 39:19, 59:22, conclude [3] - 13:13, CLOSING [1] - 1:7 continues_[1] - 37:19 59:24 48:25. 56:16 **continuing**[1] - 96:10 clothes [1] - 88:1 checking [1] - 38:7 concluded [1] - 90:8 contract [3] - 62:1, club_[37] - 20:25, 22:25, chief [1] - 26:5 conclusion [1] - 16:1 80:23. 81:9 23:2. 24:4. 24:7. childcare [1] - 82:6 conclusions[1] -58:14, 60:12, 60:17, contractor [39] - 28:17, Circuit 151 - 20:18. 60:18, 60:23, 62:2, 48:19 32:13, 33:7, 33:13, 62:7, 62:10, 63:2, 32:18, 39:17, 95:2, conclusively[1] -35:16, 51:4, 52:2, 63:15, 63:24, 65:2, 95:22 82:20 53:20, 54:3, 54:12, 65:3, 65:5, 71:11, circumstances [4] -54:14, 54:16, 54:22, conclusory [2] - 21:15, 71:15, 78:4, 81:13, 55:2, 55:8, 55:25, 48:20, 49:12, 55:22, 21:16 83:23, 86:20, 87:4, 58:25, 62:3, 64:22, 62:4 87:7, 87:24, 87:25, conduct_[5] - 39:6, 65:24, 69:16, 70:23, circumstantial 151 -88:1, 88:2, 88:11, 39:9, 57:2, 57:4, 67:1 73:10, 73:12, 73:14, 19:25, 20:5, 48:23, 88:12 conference [4] - 28:3, 74:25, 76:16, 78:14, 48:24, 49:2 club's [1] - 88:25 78:16, 79:6, 81:24, 31:24, 32:2, 44:25

| 82:3, 87:11, 88:7, 88:9, 88:13, 88:15, 95:13, 96:19 contractor's [1] - 88:14 contractors [11] - 53:25, 55:12, 58:19, 59:1, 59:9, 71:12, 71:13, 79:9, 81:9, 86:10, 86:11 contradict [1] - 25:20 contradicted [3] - 25:3, 49:13, 49:20 contradictory [1] - 22:6 contrary [2] - 49:14, 50:5 contrast [2] - 54:12, 73:9 | 19:24, 20:3, 20:8, 20:10, 28:7, 28:10, 28:14, 28:20, 28:24, 29:2, 29:5, 29:12, 29:19, 30:8, 30:12, 30:18, 31:5, 31:25, 32:10, 33:16, 33:19, 33:23, 34:3, 34:8, 34:12, 34:17, 34:24, 35:7, 35:11, 35:14, 35:24, 36:7, 36:17, 36:24, 37:8, 41:19, 41:22, 42:5, 42:10, 42:13, 42:16, 42:22, 42:25, 43:4, 43:9, 43:13, 43:16, 43:20, 44:1, 44:12, 44:15, 44:17, 44:19, 45:7, 45:10, 58:5, 58:7, 86:6, 86:8, 91:23, 92:3, 92:5, 92:14, 92:17, 92:19, 93:2, | counsel _[16] - 3:14, 5:9, 23:22, 32:6, 32:9, 35:13, 41:18, 47:14, 47:16, 58:3, 71:1, 73:23, 91:12, 91:18, 92:7, 93:21 Counselor _[1] - 21:7 counselor _[1] - 21:8 counted _[1] - 49:25 counting _[1] - 49:23 County _[1] - 64:3 couple _[3] - 24:14, 83:22, 91:13 course _[3] - 3:20, 27:25, 63:18 COURT _[185] - 1:1, 3:2, 3:13, 6:12, 6:21, 6:25, 7:4, 7:8, 7:13, 7:18, 7:20, 7:23, 8:3, 8:6. | 41:14, 41:17, 41:21, 42:4, 42:9, 42:12, 42:15, 42:17, 42:23, 43:1, 43:5, 43:11, 43:15, 43:17, 43:25, 44:3, 44:11, 44:13, 44:16, 44:18, 44:22, 44:24, 45:8, 45:13, 45:16, 45:18, 45:21, 58:6, 69:19, 69:22, 86:4, 86:7, 89:3, 91:12, 92:1, 92:4, 92:7, 92:9, 92:16, 92:18, 92:20, 92:23, 93:4, 93:6, 93:9, 93:18, 94:14, 95:6, 95:16, 95:21, 96:5, 96:16, 96:23, 97:5, 97:10 COURT [6] - 20:18, 20:19, 21:13, 26:25, 38:15, 90:13 |
|---|---|--|---|
| control _[14] - 32:18, 32:19, 34:19, 35:1, | 93:5 Cook _[3] - 1:12, 1:12, | 7:20, 7:23, 8:3, 8:6, 8:9, 8:18, 9:4, 9:7, 9:19, 9:22, 10:2, 10:7, | Court [62] - 1:22, 3:16, |
| 54:10, 56:2, 59:11, 60:23, 73:6, 74:15, | 3:7 | 9:19, 9:22, 10:2, 10:7, 10:18, 10:22, 11:4, 11:7, 11:14, 11:17, | 3:17, 3:23, 3:25, 4:2, 4:7, 4:18, 4:19, 4:20, |
| 84:25, 87:8, 88:16 | Cook _[1] - 2:7 | 11:20, 12:3, 12:11, | 5:2, 5:4, 5:17, 5:25, 9:21, 10:14, 10:19, |
| controlled [1] - 86:25 | - 2:6 | 12:14, 12:16, 13:2, 13:4, 13:14, 13:16, | 11:1, 12:10, 14:10, |
| controlling _[5] - 32:15, 32:16, 55:20, 60:24, | cooks _[1] - 64:1 | 13:18, 13:21, 14:2, | 18:21, 20:7, 23:12, |
| 80:19 | copies _[1] - 42:18 | 14:4, 14:7, 14:9, | 23:14, 23:24, 26:13, 26:17, 27:3, 27:16, |
| controls _[4] - 54:8, | COPY [4] - 45:5, 46:13, | 14:11, 14:13, 15:1, | 27:17, 28:12, 28:16, |
| 59:10, 88:2 | 89:25, 91:18 | 15:6, 15:10, 15:14, 16:3, 16:7, 16:11, | 32:4, 32:8, 32:14, |
| controversy[1] - 10:1 | corporate _[4] - 19:2, | 16:15, 16:19, 16:23, | 33:3, 33:6, 35:9, 35:17, 36:3, 36:9, |
| convinced [1] - 89:10 | 19:17, 19:25, 59:15 | 16:25, 17:8, 17:13, | 36:12, 36:19, 37:11, |
| convincing [1] - 50:2 | correct _[27] - 17:12, | 17:18, 17:23, 18:3, 18:5, 18:9, 18:12, | 38:11, 39:2, 39:19, |
| COOK [136] - 3:7, 6:6, | 17:23, 18:4, 18:23, | 18:14, 18:17, 18:25, | 41:14, 44:4, 44:25, |
| 6:14, 6:23, 7:2, 7:6, | 18:24, 19:16, 19:17, | 19:6, 19:20, 20:2, | 46:8, 46:9, 46:14, 93:17, 93:23, 94:1, |
| 7:11, 7:16, 7:19, 7:21, | 19:23, 30:14, 33:15, 36:8, 43:19, 74:5, | 20:6, 20:9, 21:7, | 94:3, 94:17, 95:5, |
| 8:1, 8:4, 8:8, 8:11, | 74:7, 74:10, 75:13, | 21:10, 23:13, 23:19, 24:20, 24:22, 25:9, | 95:8, 95:10, 95:24 |
| 8:20, 9:1, 9:6, 9:9, 9:20, 9:25, 10:6, | 75:19, 75:23, 75:24, | 26:1, 26:11, 28:6, | court's [1] - 96:21 |
| 10:10, 10:21, 10:23, | 76:9, 79:3, 80:12, | 28:9, 28:13, 28:19, | Court's [4] - 11:10, |
| 11:6, 11:9, 11:15, | 81:19, 81:25, 97:3, 97:4, 97:12 | 28:21, 29:1, 29:4, | 44:6, 46:9, 96:24 |
| 11:18, 11:22, 12:8, | correction[1] - 37:22 | 29:8, 29:11, 29:15, | Court[1 |
| 12:12, 12:15, 12:17, | corrections _[5] - 43:12, | 29:20, 29:23, 30:4, 30:7, 30:11, 30:19, | - 2:5 |
| 13:3, 13:6, 13:15, 13:17, 13:19, 13:22, | 44:24, 45:1, 91:14, | 31:7, 31:9, 31:23, | Court |
| 14:3, 14:5, 14:8, | 91:17 | 32:3, 33:10, 33:17, | [1] - 2:5 |
| 14:10, 14:12, 14:16, | corroborate _[1] - 24:9 | 33:21, 34:1, 34:7, 34:10, 34:15, 34:22, | courthouse [1] - 69:25 |
| 14:24, 15:4, 15:8, 15:12, 15:15, 16:4, | corroborating[1] - | 35:5, 35:9, 35:22, | courtroom [14] - 3:15, |
| 16:8, 16:13, 16:16, | 21:14 | 36:6, 36:16, 36:23, | 26:12, 31:11, 31:13, |
| 16:21, 16:24, 17:1, | costs _[1] - 51:17 | 37:7, 37:10, 37:17, | 31:19, 32:7, 45:20, |
| 17:12, 17:14, 17:22, | Counsel [9] - 3:5, 5:18, | 37:22, 37:24, 38:2, 38:7, 38:10, 38:17, | 48:3, 90:3, 90:15, 91:11, 93:22 |
| 18:1, 18:4, 18:7, 18:10, 18:13, 18:15, | 5:22, 7:5, 12:11, 26:8, | 39:18, 40:2, 40:5, | courts _[1] - 21:12 |
| 18:24, 19:1, 19:17, | 28:13, 92:24, 97:5 | 40:15, 40:25, 41:7, | COVEr _[1] - 6:18 |
| | | | COVEI [1] - 0.10 |

covered [3] - 11:23, 51:6. 52:4 covers [1] - 71:2 craft [1] - 10:16 create [2] - 4:16, 40:1 credibility [4] - 49:6, 49:16, 85:7, 88:5 credible 111 - 49:13 credit[3] - 51:17, 60:9, 60:14 critical [1] - 23:21 Cross [4] - 17:7, 17:14, 72:21. 83:25 cross-examination [1] - 83:25 cross-examined [1] -72:21 CRR_[2] - 1:22, 97:16 customer [2] - 74:4, customers [9] - 65:14, 65:15, 65:16, 73:20, 76:4, 76:25, 78:4, 80:5, 80:25 D

damage [5] - 4:16, 27:20, 36:14, 36:20, 84:21 damages [24] - 22:23, 25:2. 25:5. 25:8. 25:13, 25:19, 25:24, 30:25, 36:9, 41:13, 42:2, 53:16, 56:15, 56:17, 56:20, 57:7, 57:9, 57:13, 57:21, 66:22, 67:7, 82:16, 82:23, 84:12 dance [12] - 59:18, 64:9, 65:15, 72:14, 73:19, 73:20, 74:1, 74:3, 74:6, 74:12, 75:8, 84:24 danced [6] - 73:18, 73:19. 73:20. 77:6. 80:3, 80:25 dancer_[20] - 59:25, 60:13, 61:7, 61:11, 64:8, 64:15, 65:1, 65:3, 65:4, 67:20, 68:9, 71:15, 72:13,

d/b/a [1] - 50:23

81:16, 81:17, 81:24, 84:15, 86:20, 87:23 dancer's [1] - 89:1 dancers [56] - 23:22, 37:4, 58:15, 58:21, 58:23, 58:25, 59:5, 59:8, 59:11, 59:12, 59:14, 59:16, 59:22, 60:1, 60:3, 60:7, 60:11, 60:15, 60:16, 60:19, 60:22, 60:25, 61:1, 61:6, 61:10, 61:16, 61:21, 62:5, 62:13, 62:15, 62:19, 62:24, 63:15, 63:23, 64:14, 65:7, 65:13, 66:13, 67:6, 69:11, 73:16, 74:15, 76:22, 79:11, 80:21, 80:23, 81:7, 86:9, 86:21, 86:25, 87:2, 87:19, 87:20 dancers' [1] - 66:12 dancing [7] - 6:10, 6:14, 71:21, 72:4, 76:8, 80:8, 84:25 dark [1] - 83:17 Date [1] - 97:9 date [1] - 90:7 dates [3] - 6:9, 7:7, 8:23 days [45] - 9:12, 9:14, 9:16, 9:23, 9:24, 9:25, 10:2, 10:4, 10:7, 12:2, 12:20, 12:22, 12:25, 13:1, 13:4, 13:24, 13:25, 14:2, 15:1, 15:4, 15:5, 15:20, 15:22, 15:25, 16:1, 16:5, 16:10, 16:13, 18:3, 52:16, 62:2, 68:8, 68:14, 68:16, 68:18, 68:24, 69:6, 69:25, 73:17, 82:5, 83:22, 84:4, 84:6, 85:10, 87:7 deal_[2] - 33:10, 71:25 dealing [1] - 23:14 decide [8] - 26:24, 35:20, 48:1, 49:23, 54:1, 68:4, 87:17, 89:6 decided[1] - 26:13 deciding [1] - 49:3 decision (6) - 26:2. 26:5, 26:7, 35:18,

decisions [1] - 48:7 declarants [2] - 19:4, 88:18 **declare** [1] - 29:13 decor_[2] - 63:25, 88:2 deejay[3] - 24:5, 60:24, 61:1 deejays_[5] - 59:20, 60:23. 63:3. 65:21. 79:13 defendant[33] - 3:10, 3:11, 20:23, 31:1, 38:24, 39:21, 43:18, 44:7, 48:5, 50:22, 51:2, 51:10, 51:12, 51:22, 51:25, 52:9, 52:11, 53:6, 53:12, 54:2, 55:18, 56:6, 56:14, 57:2, 57:13, 57:21, 70:16, 82:12, 86:12, 88:19, 94:12, 95:4, 96:1 defendant's [4] -24:17, 26:14, 43:22, 53.8 Defendant's [2] -92:10. 92:15 defendants [5] -20:23, 25:7, 41:23, 43:21, 71:9 DEFENDANTS[1] -1.15 defense [6] - 3:22, 20:12, 37:12, 69:19, 93:6, 96:25 defined [3] - 23:6, 39:24. 40:9 defines [1] - 78:14 definitely [1] - 45:11 **definition** [7] - 39:1, 39:19, 40:3, 40:6, 40:10, 40:15, 95:7 definitions [2] - 44:16, 94:19 delay [2] - 45:24, 46:7 deliberate_[2] - 89:4, 89:24 deliberation [1] -70:10 deliberations [6] -46:11, 89:8, 90:3, 90:12, 90:21, 91:9

.....[1] -2.7 demeanor [1] - 49:8 demonstrative [2] -5:2, 5:5 denied [3] - 26:15, 39:18, 86:23 denies_[2] - 51:2, 51:25 Department [1] - 67:3 depended [1] - 22:16 dependent_[2] - 64:20, 87:24 deposing [1] - 23:25 deposition [1] - 88:14 derived [1] - 39:13 described[1] - 4:7 description [2] - 55:19, 80:18 deserved [1] - 40:14 deserves [1] - 49:17 desired [2] - 54:13, 73:11 detail_[3] - 25:7, 50:20, 66:6 details_[2] - 54:14, 73:12 determine [8] - 47:19, 47:21. 49:5. 56:15. 66:20, 68:22, 72:16, **determined** [6] - 27:6, 27:17, 34:25, 54:15, 73:13, 91:13 determines [1] - 56:1 determining [4] - 33:6, 35:15, 50:8, 51:16 deviates [1] - 34:19 difference [2] - 61:15, 62:13 different [8] - 7:15, 14:14. 50:11. 59:2. 64:23, 67:14, 88:19, 97:2 differently [1] - 89:12 differs [1] - 89:16 difficult [2] - 10:15, 53:10 difficulty [1] - 21:4 dire [1] - 8:1

Deliberations......

81:23

direct [6] - 14:5, 20:1, 33:14. 48:22. 49:2. 49:20 directed [5] - 26:4, 26:14, 26:15, 26:22, 44:6 directly [3] - 78:6, 78:13. 78:14 discharged [1] - 90:9 disclose [1] - 90:16 disclosure [1] - 16:4 disconnect [1] - 14:13 discussed [1] - 5:4 discussion[4] - 12:10, 28:12, 35:13, 41:18 disgruntled [1] - 85:15 dispute [2] - 21:3, 94:2 disputed [1] - 65:20 disregard [7] - 39:1, 39:5, 46:25, 47:3, 47:5, 57:3, 67:1 distinct [1] - 40:23 distinction [1] - 49:1 distinguish [1] - 47:15 district [3] - 20:18, 20:19, 96:21 DISTRICT [2] - 1:1, District [8] - 20:19, 39:15, 46:14, 46:15, 95:14, 95:20, 95:25, 96:2 Division [1] - 46:15 **DIVISION**[1] - 1:2 division[1] - 90:17 docket_[2] - 33:2, 96:3 document [2] - 46:1, Document [2] - 33:2, 37:2 documentary [1] -20:20 documents [2] -48:17, 66:10 dollars [3] - 57:16, 57:24. 63:7 done [17] - 5:20, 5:25, 10:13, 27:3, 29:8, 31:14, 36:3, 36:9, 36:19, 47:6, 54:11,

60:10, 60:11, 60:14, 72:1, 73:7, 82:15 door_[8] - 65:19, 71:23, 72:1, 79:15, 80:6, 80:22, 81:21, 88:4 double [5] - 13:25, 15:3, 74:19, 74:20 doubles [5] - 13:12, 15:4, 68:21, 68:24, 83:5 doubt [1] - 28:19 down [6] - 5:6, 21:5, 43:7. 58:11. 62:24. 91:8 draft [5] - 5:23, 7:9, 7:14, 11:18, 22:8 draw [4] - 16:1, 48:19, 87:22 drawn [1] - 49:21 dressing [2] - 60:4 drinks [1] - 64:1 drunk [1] - 61:10 during [17] - 4:9, 12:4, 21:15, 32:1, 44:25, 46:24, 47:1, 47:6, 51:10, 51:14, 52:9, 56:7, 82:12, 89:8, 89:14, 89:15, 90:11 duty [3] - 46:18, 46:21, 89:4

Ε

e-mails [1] - 24:13 early [1] - 59:17 earn [2] - 65:10, 65:12 earned [1] - 52:25 ears [1] - 66:25 earth [1] - 84:16 easily [1] - 74:6 economic [4] - 32:19, 54:4. 59:3. 95:1 economically [1] -64:20 economies [1] - 26:21 Edwards [4] - 23:13, 31:7, 43:1, 93:11 **effectively**[1] - 21:23 effort [2] - 85:9, 89:5 eight_[13] - 13:9, 14:20, 15:1, 15:2, 16:16,

17:15, 17:17, 20:15, 20:16, 22:11, 60:19, 68:20, 68:24 either [15] - 11:25, 26:24, 27:1, 31:18, 37:20, 46:25, 55:24, 57:2, 70:22, 71:1, 71:5, 83:8, 87:13, 90:14, 92:3 elaborations[1] electricians [1] - 59:1 element_[2] - 48:13, 96:15 Element [1] - 34:12 elements [1] - 25:16 elicited [1] - 16:6 employed [1] - 53:24 employee [53] - 30:6, 30:22, 32:13, 33:7, 33:12, 35:15, 35:20, 39:5, 40:7, 40:8, 40:18, 51:4, 51:5, 51:10, 51:20, 52:2, 52:3, 52:9, 52:13, 52:18, 52:19, 52:21, 53:20, 53:22, 54:2, 54:19, 54:22, 55:4, 55:10, 55:24, 56:5, 56:6, 58:22, 60:23, 65:5, 65:11, 70:23, 71:1, 71:2, 71:6, 71:16, 72:16, 74:22, 76:18, 78:18, 81:1, 81:3, 81:19, 82:11, 87:12, 87:23, 88:6, 88:13 employee's [4] -51:19, 52:14, 54:10, 73:6 employee/ independent[1] -

53:4, 53:23, 54:9, 54:18, 54:20, 55:6, 73:5, 74:21, 74:23, 75:25, 76:20 employer's [3] - 40:8, 40:19, 52:19 emplover/ employee [3] -43:22, 54:9, 73:5 **employers** [1] - 55:17 employment 161 - 7:7. 22:3. 42:8. 64:14. 65:13, 72:7 End [1] - 97:8 end [3] - 12:24, 38:11, 81.6 ends [1] - 21:2 Energy [1] - 39:14 enter[3] - 25:1, 64:12, 86:10 entered [2] - 45:20, 60.4 entertain [3] - 32:8, 37:11, 76:25 entertainer_[5] - 24:1, 75:12, 77:19, 77:21, 79:22 entertainers [3] -62:19, 70:18, 71:10 entire [3] - 22:23, 36:5, 54:4 entirely [2] - 23:24, 48:2 entitled [4] - 51:17, 56:20, 89:22, 97:13 entry [1] - 96:3 equation [1] - 5:15 equipment_[6] - 55:7, 55:9, 62:23, 78:17, 78:21, 79:2 especially_[1] - 61:4 essentially[1] - 32:18 establish[1] - 48:11 established [2] -65:19, 66:19 establishes [2] - 6:8, 16:17 estimate [6] - 6:17, 8:18, 53:18, 67:17, 83:1, 83:9

estimation [2] - 53:15,

90:24

28:17

employees [24] - 13:9,

53:5, 55:16, 56:22,

58:19, 58:24, 59:4,

59:9, 61:14, 66:19,

66:20, 69:14, 70:19,

82:18, 82:21, 84:15,

39:10, 40:19, 43:23,

52:13, 52:19, 52:20,

85:20, 86:1, 87:20

employer_[21] - 39:6,

51:5, 51:16, 52:3,

71:2, 73:3, 81:4, 82:8,

87:8 et [6] - 3:3, 13:11, 46:15, facts [11] - 20:8, 20:9, fine [8] - 30:16, 30:23, Exhibit [8] - 91:19, 46:16. 93:20 47.2 47.4 47.21 31:3. 42:12. 42:20. 91:20. 91:21. 91:23. 47:23. 47:24. 48:19. 45:12. 59:13. 91:1 ET_[2] - 1:3, 1:5 92:9, 92:10, 92:12, 51:8, 52:7, 89:14 finish [1] - 89:12 evening[1] - 74:18 92:15 **failed** [8] - 7:1, 48:13, first [15] - 7:19, 7:23, evidence [69] - 3:19, exhibit[1] - 91:20 50:11, 51:12, 52:11, 13:23. 15:17. 27:7. 3:24, 4:23, 5:2, 5:3, 53:7, 53:12, 84:19 exhibits [4] - 25:1, 32:11, 33:10, 45:24, 5:4, 5:5, 5:6, 5:21, fails [1] - 39:12 47:12, 48:18, 89:25 52:22, 58:11, 59:10, 11:8, 20:1, 20:5, 66:3, 73:4, 81:18, Exhibits [1] - 92:21 failure [1] - 53:9 20:21, 24:9, 24:19, 96:15 25:2, 26:3, 26:6, 44:4, Exhibits..... fair [5] - 24:8, 48:1, fit [1] - 82:6 47:13, 47:14, 47:16, 48:18, 85:21, 87:9[1] - 2:8 47:18, 47:19, 47:20, five [35] - 9:14, 9:16, Fair [9] - 23:3, 33:7, exists [1] - 49:1 47:22, 48:3, 48:9, 9:23, 9:24, 10:7, 40:24, 50:21, 50:25, 48:11, 48:14, 48:16, exited [1] - 91:11 12:20, 12:25, 13:1, 51:21, 51:23, 70:19, 48:18, 48:21, 48:22, 13:4, 13:24, 18:3, expense_[1] - 42:23 48:24, 49:2, 49:4, 18:6, 18:16, 25:4, 49:13, 49:20, 49:21, **expired** [1] - 29:17 fairly [7] - 10:12, 10:15, 28:25, 29:2, 31:3, 50:2, 50:5, 50:9, 51:9, 30:24, 41:12, 57:12, explain[1] - 25:7 45:9, 45:18, 55:11, 52:8. 53:18. 54:6. 57:20 60:25, 66:18, 67:16, explained_[2] - 66:18, 59:7, 62:17, 70:1, fairness [2] - 24:24, 68:18, 68:19, 68:24, 95:8 70:6, 71:19, 73:16, 69:6, 70:11, 70:12, 25:22 73:24, 75:4, 82:25, explanation[1] - 96:11 70:13, 71:3, 72:19, fake [1] - 20:25 83:15, 84:20, 84:22, expressed [1] - 22:19 82:14, 85:14 falsehood [1] - 50:18 85:11, 85:25, 89:7, five-minute [2] extensively[1] - 95:3 89:18, 89:20, 90:1 family [1] - 24:15 45:18. 60:25 evidentiary[1] - 25:16 extent [9] - 26:16, far [2] - 7:8, 71:11 fix [1] - 30:14 26:23, 35:1, 53:18, exact_[5] - 20:21, 21:5, fast [1] - 6:13 flats [1] - 84:24 56:2, 83:1, 87:9, 41:2, 53:10, 66:16 94:23, 94:25 fat [1] - 82:14 FLSA_[19] - 32:22, 39:5, exactitude [1] - 66:6 extra [1] - 91:1 39:7, 39:8, 39:11, fault [1] - 45:25 exactly[2] - 11:6, 71:11 extremely [1] - 41:22 51:1, 51:6, 51:14, favor_[2] - 46:25, 48:10 examination[1] -51:24, 52:4, 52:13, extrinsic[1] - 24:13 federal_[2] - 19:1, 23:22 83:25 56:15, 56:20, 57:3, eyes [1] - 66:24 57:4, 65:12, 66:22, fee [4] - 61:22, 62:1, examine [2] - 80:17, 67:2, 69:13 eyewitness[1] - 48:23 62:15. 72:2 **fly** [1] - 85:16 feelings [1] - 49:9 examined [1] - 72:21 F folks [1] - 59:2 fees [5] - 71:21, 72:6. examines [1] - 34:4 follow [2] - 46:20, 46:21 72:14. 80:25 example [6] - 7:6, 22:7, face [1] - 18:21 Feldman [2] - 1:16 following [8] - 38:18, 23:24, 30:3, 37:18, faces [2] - 55:3, 76:17 38:21, 40:6, 51:8, 86:17 fellow [1] - 89:7 facie [1] - 3:19 52:7, 57:17, 57:25, except_[2] - 12:24, felt [1] - 84:15 59:11 fact [14] - 10:14, 20:3, 87:25 few [2] - 4:18, 55:16 follows [3] - 3:1, 54:7, 20:16, 23:15, 26:20, exception[1] - 19:11 fifth [5] - 79:5, 79:7, 27:19, 48:25, 50:3, 94:18 EXCERPT [1] - 1:7 50:20, 56:16, 62:15, 79:18, 80:14 food [5] - 43:6, 43:8, excerpt_[2] - 2:1, 97:8 63:21, 64:10 Fifth [4] - 20:18, 39:16, 63:25, 93:14 factor [8] - 32:21, 33:25, excerpts [1] - 3:1 95:2, 95:22 FOR [2] - 1:11, 1:15 34:25, 35:2, 56:1, excuse [8] - 32:18, figure [3] - 24:15, 58:20, force [1] - 50:2 56:3, 59:10, 78:15 83:13 36:11, 37:1, 43:22, foreclosing [1] - 26:18 Factor [1] - 33:14 67:23, 69:7, 72:19, fill [2] - 56:21, 90:6 foregoing [1] - 97:11 77:1 factors [12] - 33:5, final [5] - 27:25, 43:2, foreman [1] - 38:14 excused_[2] - 93:3, 33:20, 33:24, 34:14, 58:4, 90:22, 91:18 54:6, 54:7, 59:6, 93:4 foreperson [3] - 90:2, finally [2] - 27:23, 80:16 72:19, 85:24, 85:25, execute[1] - 38:14 90:5, 90:12 financial [1] - 77:16 86:12, 86:22 exercised [2] - 59:11, forget [1] - 50:15

harassing [1] - 61:9

hard [1] - 37:10

forgot [1] - 14:18 form [4] - 55:21, 80:20, 82:13, 90:7 formal [4] - 28:3, 29:24, 31:24, 32:1 formula [10] - 18:19, 27:16, 27:19, 39:23, 40:22, 41:5, 41:24, 54:24, 75:2, 75:7 forth [1] - 33:5 forthright [1] - 85:6 forward [2] - 69:17, 86:3 four [11] - 6:18, 13:24, 55:7, 63:19, 63:20, 65:4, 67:15, 67:21, 68:14, 68:16, 91:24 fourth [2] - 78:21, 79:6 Friday [6] - 6:15, 11:25, 12:3, 19:10, 67:11, 87:7 Fridays [1] - 6:11 friends [1] - 24:15 fringe [4] - 54:21, 61:18, 74:25, 76:7 front [3] - 80:6, 80:22, 93:14 full [3] - 6:2, 16:4, 94:6 fundamental [2] -24:24, 25:22 **furnishing** [1] - 51:18 furnishings [1] - 63:25

G

Garcia [5] - 12:8, 13:14, 18:18. 56:9. 68:18 Gate [1] - 96:21 general_[7] - 19:13, 39:4, 49:1, 63:11, 79:24, 80:5, 80:11 generally [12] - 20:13, 21:17, 48:21, 54:12, 55:2, 55:4, 55:12, 61:3, 73:10, 76:16, 76:18, 79:10 gentlemen [7] - 45:22, 45:23. 58:9. 71:22. 86:8. 87:17. 89:3 Girls [2] - 84:1, 84:3 girls [2] - 64:5, 88:23

given [17] - 4:4, 26:20, 30:12. 42:3. 46:24. 47:1. 48:8. 50:12. 54:24, 70:8, 71:16, 74:17, 75:2, 75:8, 82:22, 85:24 Glamour [2] - 84:1, 84:3 global [2] - 30:2 go-around [1] - 14:18 Gonzalez 191 - 11:16. 11:21. 18:17. 56:9. 68:16, 75:10, 77:9, 78:19, 81:15 Gonzalez's [1] - 73:25 qosh [1] - 83:7 government[1] -42:23 governmental[1] **governs** [2] - 55:21, 80:20 grab [1] - 85:16 grant [2] - 26:22, 44:6 great [1] - 62:8 greater_[2] - 50:4, 89:22 ground [1] - 97:1 guaranteed[1] - 61:15 Guard [1] - 96:21 guards [1] - 80:9 guess [1] - 83:17 guessing[1] - 84:18 guidance [1] - 3:17 guide [1] - 90:2 **guiding** [1] - 9:22 guys [2] - 42:18, 69:17

Н

hair [2] - 62:25, 64:5
half [10] - 6:18, 12:6,
31:10, 31:11, 52:14,
52:23, 53:1, 67:22,
77:2
Hall [3] - 43:7, 43:8,
93:15
hand [2] - 58:14, 88:25
handed [1] - 28:2
hands [2] - 62:11, 84:9

Harris [1] - 64:3 health [1] - 61:19 hear [9] - 4:10, 22:25, 27:14, 61:23, 63:19, 83:3, 83:4, 83:7, 93:10 heard [9] - 21:15, 23:21, 47:22. 59:6. 70:2. 84:22, 85:11, 88:8, 88.17 Hearing [1] - 2:4 hearing [2] - 11:2, 69:17 heels [2] - 84:23, 84:24 helps_[1] - 82:7 hereby [1] - 45:3 herself_[1] - 22:18 hesitate [1] - 89:8 hide [2] - 85:4 highlight_[1] - 66:3 hired [1] - 88:12 hires [1] - 88:10 history [1] - 42:7 hold [15] - 6:12, 6:25, 8:3, 8:6, 8:9, 9:4, 9:7, 11:20, 12:3, 14:9, 15:10. 16:25. 46:7. 72:6, 84:8 hole [1] - 62:22 home [7] - 62:9, 62:11, 62:13. 62:16. 74:13. 74:18. 82:15 homes [1] - 64:8 honest [1] - 89:11 Honor_[72] - 3:12, 6:7, 7:3, 8:4, 8:8, 8:21, 9:3, 10:10, 10:11, 13:19, 14:6, 14:12, 15:12, 16:4, 16:8, 16:21, 17:12, 17:22, 18:2, 18:4, 20:1, 20:10, 23:18, 24:19, 24:21, 28:5, 28:11, 28:14, 28:24, 29:5, 29:19, 30:1, 31:6, 31:25, 32:10, 32:17, 34:9, 34:24, 35:11, 36:24, 37:2. 37:9. 37:13. 38:24, 40:4, 40:11, 41:4, 41:16, 41:19, 42:14, 42:16, 42:25,

45:15, 58:5, 69:21, 86:6, 91:23, 93:2, 93:8, 94:11, 94:13, 94:22, 95:9, 95:19, 96:12, 96:17, 96:18, 97:4 HONORABLE [1] -1:8 hope [1] - 85:7 hostess[1] - 81:21 hostess/waitress[1] - 81:16 hostesses [2] - 64:2, 80:9 hotels [1] - 64:9 hour [19] - 19:10, 23:1, 23:3, 31:10, 31:11, 51:15, 61:6, 65:8, 65:25, 67:17, 69:12, 71:17, 71:19, 72:5, 72:9, 77:6, 81:1, 88:24 hours [101] - 3:20, 4:4, 4:11, 4:15, 4:25, 5:7, 5:13, 5:16, 5:22, 6:1, 6:16, 6:19, 7:12, 8:16, 9:4, 9:10, 9:25, 10:3, 10:5. 10:8. 11:1. 12:4. 12:5. 12:18. 13:2. 13:7. 13:10. 14:4. 14:19, 14:21, 15:1, 15:7, 16:3, 16:7, 16:9, 16:11, 16:15, 17:2, 17:15, 18:1, 19:3, 19:4, 19:5, 19:19, 19:21, 20:4, 20:15, 20:16, 22:10, 22:12, 22:13, 23:7, 27:18, 36:11, 36:12, 36:21, 37:1, 39:23, 40:5, 40:12, 40:22, 41:6, 41:8, 41:24, 42:2, 52:4, 52:15, 52:17, 52:20, 52:23, 53:2, 53:3, 53:5, 53:7, 54:11, 57:18, 58:1, 66:4, 67:9, 67:23, 67:24, 67:25, 68:4, 68:7, 68:9, 68:15, 68:17, 68:21, 68:24, 69:8, 73:7, 83:3, 83:10, 83:19 Hours [1] - 2:4 house [1] - 72:7 HOUSTON [1] - 1:2 Houston [4] - 1:13,

43:20, 44:10, 45:7,

1:18, 1:23, 46:15 62:3, 64:22, 65:23, Instruction [1] - 34:13 investigation[1] -69:16, 70:23, 71:8, hundred [1] - 78:24 66:25 instruction [15] - 27:25, 71:12, 71:13, 73:10, investments [1] - 34:5 hybrid [1] - 32:19 28:16, 32:12, 33:12, 73:14, 74:25, 76:15, 33:18, 35:8, 38:25, involved_[1] - 51:15 78:14, 78:16, 79:5, 39:3, 39:18, 40:2, 79:9, 80:22, 81:9, isolating [1] - 47:10 41:1, 47:9, 66:4, 81:24, 82:3, 85:1, idea [1] - 17:2 issue [16] - 3:17, 10:11, 75:25, 79:7 86:9, 86:11, 87:11, 22:5, 22:22, 22:24, 88:7, 88:9, 88:13, instructions [26] identify [1] - 94:3 23:21, 24:23, 26:17, 88:14, 88:15, 89:19, 27:5, 32:5, 33:4, ignorance[1] - 39:7 26:19, 27:2, 30:10, 95:13, 96:19 35:25, 39:24, 46:8, 30:17, 37:6, 48:8, illegally_[1] - 86:23 independently[1] -46:9, 46:20, 47:5, 67:13, 67:15 impartial [2] - 48:2, 47:8, 70:8, 70:21, 83:19 issues_[2] - 23:5, 23:15 72:17, 72:18, 73:9, 89:6 indicate [1] - 91:6 79:8, 80:15, 82:10, **IT** [1] - 59:2 impeachment [1] indicates [1] - 67:23 82:19, 82:22, 82:24, items [3] - 51:18, 57:17, 50:7 94:18, 94:20, 96:8 indicia_[3] - 79:5, 79:6, 57:25 importance [1] - 34:18 Instructions [1] - 2:2 80:16 itself_[2] - 4:24, 29:22 important [6] - 35:2, indirect[1] - 48:23 INSTRUCTIONS [1] 47:15, 50:20, 55:19, - 1:7 individual_[6] - 43:18, J 56:3, 80:18 instrumentality[1] -44:7, 44:8, 55:23, impossible [1] - 24:9 70:22, 73:1 jail [2] - 84:4, 84:5 impression [5] - 46:24, individually [5] - 5:10, insufficient [2] - 20:17, janitors [1] - 64:1 47:1, 47:3, 89:23 30:13, 85:21, 86:17 61:16 iob [8] - 54:24, 55:9, improper [2] - 32:17, indulgence[1] - 11:10 insurance [4] - 54:21, 58:20, 75:2, 75:8, 61:19, 74:24, 76:6 industry [1] - 55:13 78:17, 79:2, 82:15 improperly [2] - 32:20, intend [4] - 65:8, 65:23, inference [2] - 4:15, Judge [21] - 7:17, 25:11, 34:4 65:24. 81:1 49:21 28:10, 29:6, 38:6, IN [1] - 1:19 intended [5] - 80:22, 70:8, 70:21, 72:20, inferences [1] - 48:18 inaccurately[1] -80:23, 81:7, 81:8, 73:9, 73:23, 76:14, influenced [2] - 48:4, 50:16 81:19 78:6, 79:8, 80:14, 89:21 80:16, 82:10, 82:19, intends [3] - 94:1, Inc [4] - 3:4, 50:23, inform [1] - 31:9 82:22, 82:25, 85:23, 94:17, 95:5 70:16, 93:20 85:24 informally [4] - 28:8, INC [1] - 1:5 intent [22] - 32:20, judge [1] - 19:1 28:11, 29:23, 30:1 55:18, 55:19, 65:6, include [1] - 39:20 Judge's [1] - 72:17 information [1] - 16:9 65:9, 65:13, 65:22, included [5] - 35:12, 65:25, 71:13, 80:18, judges [3] - 47:4, 47:23, informed [1] - 94:24 39:1, 39:22, 45:1, 45:3 80:21, 81:12, 81:13, 89:13 initial [2] - 3:23, 14:18 includes [4] - 40:7, 81:14. 81:24. 94:16. judgment [3] - 43:21, innocent_[1] - 50:18 94:24. 95:7. 96:15 40:17, 41:2, 52:17 44:10, 44:21 intentional [1] - 50:18 incompetent [1] inquire [1] - 5:8 judicial [1] - 26:21 interest [3] - 49:9, 18:11 inquiry [1] - 90:13 July [4] - 57:7, 57:8, inconsistency[1] -70:14. 70:17 inspected [1] - 59:25 57:10 interested [1] - 49:19 Inspections [1] - 39:16 jump_[2] - 7:21, 14:16 inconsistent [1] - 50:7 interesting [1] - 65:6 instance [3] - 19:8, JUNE [1] - 1:6 incorporate [1] - 32:24 interrogatories [4] -29:15, 32:12 June [3] - 2:3, 7:24, 35:18, 35:19, 36:4, incorrect [1] - 35:3 instead [3] - 12:25, 67:19 39:25 independent_[53] -28:15, 42:7 Juror [1] - 30:20 Interrogatory [1] -32:13, 33:6, 33:7, institution [1] - 67:4 juror [1] - 89:23 24:25 33:13, 35:16, 51:3, instruct [3] - 46:18, interrogatory [4] -52:1, 53:20, 53:25, jurors [3] - 89:7, 89:11, 46:21, 47:24 54:3. 54:12. 54:15. 25:3, 25:4, 25:23, 26:2 89:21 instructed [1] - 80:17 54:22. 55:2. 55:8. introduce [1] - 25:19 jury [87] - 3:14, 4:16, 55:11, 55:25, 58:19, instructing [1] - 56:16 5:11, 5:15, 5:21, 10:9, introduced [1] - 47:13 58:25, 59:1, 59:9,

10:24, 10:25, 12:21, 13:13, 16:18, 17:2, 17:16, 21:15, 21:21, 22:19, 22:20, 23:13, 25:15, 26:6, 26:18, 26:20, 27:4, 27:8, 27:19, 28:16, 28:22, 31:9, 32:5, 32:7, 33:9, 33:14, 33:21, 34:1, 35:8, 35:20, 36:10, 36:20, 37:6, 38:14, 39:20, 39:25, 40:1, 41:1, 44:13, 45:19, 45:23, 46:8, 46:9, 46:10, 46:17, 57:11, 69:23, 70:10, 72:18, 76:14, 78:6, 78:13, 82:9, 83:13, 89:3, 89:24, 90:2, 90:5, 90:9, 90:12, 90:20, 91:7, 91:8, 91:10, 92:11, 92:13, 93:1, 93:7, 93:10, 93:22, 93:23, 94:2, 94:23, 95:6, 95:8, 95:11, 95:12, 95:13, 95:18, 96:20

Jury [36] - 2:1, 2:7, 2:8, 27:9, 27:12, 27:13, 27:15, 27:23, 30:2, 30:23, 34:10, 34:15, 34:22, 35:6, 35:14, 35:22, 35:24, 36:4, 36:7, 36:18, 36:25, 37:2, 38:9, 41:22, 45:20, 56:4, 56:19, 57:1, 57:19, 66:17, 67:14, 91:11, 93:17, 95:16, 96:24

JURY_[3] - 1:7, 1:7, 1:8 jury's_[2] - 6:1, 10:17

Κ

keep [21] - 11:11, 20:24,

22:16, 53:4, 53:7, 53:9, 53:12, 58:22, 61:7, 61:8, 64:18, 65:10, 65:12, 65:17, 66:1, 72:10, 72:12, 72:14, 76:5, 83:7, 90:15

Kelly [2] - 1:12, 3:7

kept [3] - 66:5, 66:10, 92:6

Khorshidpanah [10] -

1:21, 60:2, 60:5,

60:16, 60:20, 62:1,

65:20, 69:24, 85:8, 85:12

kind [9] - 14:14, 15:16, 21:25, 23:8, 54:17, 58:12, 68:12, 73:15, 85:6

kinds [1] - 59:19

KING [20] - 3:10, 14:23, 21:9, 21:11, 23:18, 23:20, 28:5, 38:24, 39:21, 40:4, 40:11, 40:21, 41:4, 41:10, 42:20, 44:9, 94:12,

95:4, 96:1, 96:18 King [3] - 1:16, 3:10, 37:14

knocked [1] - 93:17 knocks [2] - 71:23, 72:1 knowledge [2] - 19:19, 39:7

known_[2] - 51:1, 52:23 Kristal_[2] - 12:8, 56:9

L

Labor [10] - 23:4, 33:8,

40:24, 50:21, 50:25, 51:21, 51:24, 67:3, 70:20, 71:2 ladies [5] - 45:22, 58:9, 71:22, 86:8, 87:17 lady [2] - 45:22, 89:3 Lange [5] - 13:15, 13:16. 18:18. 56:9. 68:23 Lange's [1] - 22:7 language [6] - 34:17, 39:2. 39:4. 39:13. 41:2, 41:11 lap_[1] - 75:8 last [9] - 18:13, 18:14, 22:3. 34:24. 46:3. 69:25. 81:11. 83:20. 85:16 lastly [1] - 61:22 late [1] - 59:14 lateness [1] - 26:13 Laura [4] - 1:22, 97:11, 97:15, 97:16 law [30] - 10:11, 18:7, 18:11, 21:5, 21:11, 32:15, 32:16, 34:19, 35:4, 39:7, 46:19,

46:20, 46:21, 46:23, 47:5, 47:9, 47:24, 48:1, 48:2, 49:1, 51:13, 52:12, 53:4, 53:21, 53:22, 82:18, 85:23, 94:19 lawful [1] - 35:17 lawn [3] - 71:23, 71:24,

Tawn [3] - 71:23, 71:24, 72:3 Tawnmower [1] - 86:17 Tawyer [2] - 88:10, 88:12

lawyers_[5] - 47:17, 67:2, 88:11, 91:2 least_[5] - 22:1, 26:24,

52:14, 81:11, 91:3 leave_[1] - 91:6

leaving_[1] - 59:17 left_[1] - 83:17

legal_[6] - 23:14, 32:6, 35:19, 39:12, 46:2,

84:11 legs_[1] - 23:16 length_[1] - 77:3

lengthy_[1] - 46:1

lens_[1] - 86:19 less_[6] - 51:6, 59:9, 60:25, 62:16, 69:15, 71:17

level_[3] - 32:21, 66:5, 88:16

liability_[2] - 56:18, 84:13 liable_[1] - 70:19

license_[2] - 63:7, 64:4

licenses_[2] - 55:14, 64:5

light [5] - 16:5, 47:9, 47:19, 49:12, 54:4

lighting [1] - 63:4 likely [1] - 48:12

limitations [4] - 10:16, 11:3, 36:14, 36:19

limited [2] - 64:15, 87:8 line [6] - 7:24, 8:23,

19:11, 19:13, 87:22 **liquor** [3] - 63:7, 64:4,

72:11 listen_[2] - 78:18, 78:19

listening [4] - 3:25, 41:23, 70:1, 70:4 listing [1] - 55:15 listings [1] - 55:17

live_[2] - 42:25, 66:16 LLC_[1] - 39:14

locate [3] - 94:4, 94:5, 95:12

lodging_[1] - 51:19 logically_[1] - 48:25

look [15] - 6:1, 11:18, 18:2, 24:11, 24:12, 26:25, 69:17, 82:19, 86:3, 86:12, 86:19, 87:14, 87:15, 95:23

looked [1] - 95:19

looking_[5] - 7:13, 7:23, 8:20, 30:2, 66:2

looks_[2] - 62:3, 64:22

loose [1] - 91:24

loss_[6] - 22:20, 55:4, 55:5, 76:17, 76:19, 77:17

love [2] - 19:1, 66:7 lucrative [1] - 87:7 lunch [10] - 31:12,

31:15, 31:18, 31:20, 43:4, 43:13, 90:19, 90:20, 93:11, 93:13

M

magazine [1] - 63:11 mails [1] - 24:13 maintain [4] - 53:7, 53:9, 63:5, 70:17 major [1] - 18:5 makeup [1] - 64:5 man [1] - 71:22 manager [1] - 63:11 MANAGER [4] -23:17, 31:8, 31:22, 43:3 managers [9] - 60:3, 63:10, 63:16, 63:19,

63:10, 63:16, 63:19, 63:20, 63:22, 64:2, 65:21, 79:12

manner_[8] - 35:1, 48:2, 49:8, 54:10, 54:14, 56:2, 73:7, 73:12

Maria_[1] - 1:20 Marissa_[1] - 1:20 math [6] - 18:8, 21:21, 22:15. 45:6. 68:13. 83:13 mathy [1] - 68:12 matter [4] - 23:15, 25:22, 82:18, 97:13 matters [1] - 46:2 Maylene [5] - 11:8, 15:8, 56:8, 69:1, 83:20 meals [1] - 51:19 mean [6] - 17:19, 23:3, 50:14, 67:12, 78:3, 83:20 meaning [2] - 31:14, means [9] - 25:18, 35:1, 48:11, 54:10, 54:14, 56:2, 60:24, 73:6, 73:12 measurement [1] -40:24 mechanical [1] - 1:24 medications [1] - 60:7 meet [1] - 27:5 meeting [1] - 90:15 meets [1] - 85:25 members [5] - 46:17, 64:11, 69:23, 82:9, 94:2 memories [1] - 66:15 memory [5] - 3:25, 6:1, 89:16, 89:17 mentioned [1] - 4:11 mere [2] - 39:7 merely [2] - 39:9, 39:13 message [1] - 28:22 met [5] - 3:18, 3:23, 20:22, 48:8, 49:4 method [4] - 25:18, 36:8, 44:5, 60:24 mid [5] - 4:8, 4:9, 17:4, 17:8. 22:1 middle [1] - 87:17 Midget [4] - 61:24, 64:21, 69:15, 87:21 might [3] - 21:16, 29:7, 85:20 mind [3] - 88:16, 89:9, 90:16 mine [1] - 7:2

minimum [19] - 5:12, 6:20. 10:1. 13:13. 14:2, 37:5, 48:9, 50:21, 50:25, 51:6, 51:12, 51:14, 51:16, 57:13, 58:13, 60:16, 60:18, 61:15, 62:15 minor [1] - 41:22 minute [7] - 45:11, 45:18, 60:25, 61:1, 66:9, 77:1, 77:7 minutes [8] - 28:25, 29:2, 29:8, 31:9, 31:14, 45:6, 77:2 mirrors [1] - 94:25 miss [1] - 69:4 missed [1] - 14:23 missing [2] - 40:16, 40:20 misstated [1] - 5:19 misstatement [2] misstates [4] - 32:15, 33:24, 34:18 mistake [2] - 50:13, 50:18 mistaken [1] - 17:20 misunderstood [2] -16:11, 43:9 modification [1] -41:10 Mohammadi [1] moment [2] - 9:2, 28:4 moments [1] - 75:12 Moments [32] - 8:12, 11:24, 15:16, 15:17, 21:25, 24:2, 50:23, 59:10, 60:6, 60:15, 61:4, 63:13, 64:12, 64:13, 64:16, 64:20, 65:18, 66:19, 71:10, 74:1, 74:9, 78:9, 79:1, 79:13, 81:8, 81:25, 83:24, 84:4, 84:5, 86:10, 88:21 Moments' [2] - 65:15 Monday [3] - 12:24, 58:12, 87:6 money [28] - 10:13,

61:2, 62:8, 62:11, 63:21, 65:18, 70:14, 72:10, 74:17, 74:18, 76:24, 77:1, 77:11, 77:14, 77:20, 77:25, 82:2, 88:3, 88:23 month [9] - 4:4, 5:7, 15:23, 15:24, 15:25, 22:2, 69:3, 83:23, 84:6 monthly [1] - 78:2 morning [11] - 3:2, 4:7, 7:25, 21:9, 21:10, 45:25, 46:4, 46:5, 46:7, 58:12, 91:4 most [6] - 6:17, 23:9, 23:10, 35:2, 56:3, 88:7 motion [5] - 26:4, 26:14, 26:15, 44:6 move [2] - 12:9, 81:24 moved [2] - 43:21, 81:16 mow [1] - 71:24 mowing [1] - 72:3 mows [1] - 71:23 MR_[195] - 3:7, 3:8, 3:10, 3:11, 6:6, 6:14, 6:23, 7:2, 7:6, 7:11, 7:16, 7:19, 7:21, 8:1, 8:4, 8:8, 8:11, 8:20, 8:25, 9:1, 9:6, 9:9, 9:20, 9:25, 10:6, 10:10, 10:21, 10:23, 11:6, 11:9, 11:15, 11:18, 11:22, 12:8, 12:12, 12:15, 12:17, 13:3, 13:6, 13:15, 13:17, 13:19, 13:22, 14:3, 14:5, 14:8, 14:10, 14:12, 14:16, 14:23, 14:24, 15:4, 15:8, 15:12, 15:15, 16:4, 16:8, 16:13, 16:16, 16:21, 16:24, 17:1, 17:12, 17:14, 17:22, 18:1, 18:4, 18:7, 18:10, 18:13, 18:15, 18:24, 19:1, 19:17, 19:24, 20:3, 20:8, 20:10, 21:9, 21:11, 23:18, 23:20, 24:21, 24:23, 25:11, 28:5, 28:7, 28:10, 28:14, 28:20, 28:24, 29:2, 29:5, 29:6, 29:10, 29:12, 29:19, 29:22, 30:1, 30:5, 30:8,

31:5, 31:6, 31:25, 32:1, 32:10, 33:16, 33:19, 33:23, 34:3, 34:8, 34:12, 34:17, 34:24, 35:7, 35:11, 35:14, 35:24, 36:7, 36:17, 36:24, 37:8, 37:13, 37:18, 37:23, 37:25, 38:3, 38:9, 38:11, 38:23, 38:24, 39:21, 40:4, 40:11, 40:21, 41:4, 41:10, 41:15, 41:19, 41:22, 42:5, 42:10, 42:13, 42:16, 42:20, 42:21, 42:22, 42:25, 43:4, 43:9, 43:13, 43:16, 43:20. 44:1. 44:9. 44:12, 44:15, 44:17, 44:19, 45:7, 45:10, 45:15, 45:17, 58:5, 58:7, 69:21, 69:23, 86:6, 86:8, 91:23, 92:3, 92:5, 92:8, 92:14, 92:17, 92:19, 92:21, 93:2, 93:5, 93:8, 94:10, 94:12, 94:22, 95:4, 95:9, 95:19, 95:23, 96:1, 96:12, 96:17, 96:18, 97:4 multiple [1] - 52:25 multiplied [2] - 42:1, 68:10 multiply [8] - 6:18, 67:15, 67:16, 67:17, 67:21, 67:22, 67:25, music [3] - 60:23, 63:24, 88:2 must [21] - 39:5, 46:25, 47:3, 47:21, 47:24, 49:15, 51:7, 52:6, 52:21, 53:17, 54:1, 54:6, 54:12, 56:15, 73:10, 82:25, 89:5, 90:2, 90:4, 90:5, 90:16

N

name [2] - 55:15, 61:25 names [3] - 20:25, 55:17, 56:21 nature [5] - 23:22, 59:21, 63:1, 64:16, 91:24 nearly [1] - 12:23

30:12, 30:16, 30:18,

13:8, 22:16, 30:24,

41:12, 57:11, 57:19,

58:16, 59:20, 60:25,

36:24, 37:8, 94:23, 52:14, 52:20, 53:1, necessarily [1] - 50:13 **noted** [1] - 44:25 97:1 54:8, 55:2, 55:16, necessary [10] - 27:6, notes [11] - 46:12, 67:7, 56:10, 56:23, 58:19, objected [1] - 41:2 54:16, 55:9, 55:14, 89:14, 89:15, 89:16, 61:23, 63:3, 64:25, objecting [3] - 33:12, 63:14, 73:15, 78:17, 89:17, 89:18, 89:19, 65:2, 65:14, 66:13, 33:13, 33:16 79:2, 84:20, 87:25 89:21, 90:1 67:15, 67:16, 71:4, need [14] - 9:17, 29:13, nothing [4] - 67:5, objection [28] - 27:11, 72:24, 72:25, 73:25, 74:9, 76:13, 76:16, 29:14. 37:5. 37:20. 82:15, 82:16, 84:12 33:10, 33:17, 33:18, 33:22, 34:2, 34:11, 77:5, 78:24, 79:1, 42:19, 60:6, 73:22, noticed [1] - 41:20 79:13, 83:21, 85:16, 76:21, 84:20, 87:4, 34:16, 34:23, 35:6, nowhere [1] - 39:25 86:22, 87:13, 87:14, 90:11, 91:18, 91:21 35:23, 36:6, 36:16, 88:7, 89:4, 90:22, Number [50] - 3:3, 36:23, 37:7, 38:8, needed [1] - 24:16 92:3, 95:14, 95:15 38:17, 40:25, 41:5, 24:25, 27:9, 27:12, needing [1] - 28:23 45:3, 92:15, 94:9, 27:13, 27:15, 27:23, one-week [1] - 10:19 needs [1] - 82:6 94:10, 94:12, 94:21, 30:3, 30:23, 32:4, ongoing [2] - 86:16, 95:4, 96:14, 96:25 33:2, 34:3, 34:12, negligent_[2] - 39:9, 88:20 34:13, 35:15, 35:23, objections [3] - 32:8, 39:13 open [1] - 91:7 35:24, 36:1, 36:5, 36:18, 37:12 negotiate [1] - 76:4 36:7, 36:18, 37:1, opening_[2] - 28:25, Objections [1] - 2:5 negotiated [1] - 72:3 37:2, 37:3, 37:25, objects [2] - 35:14, 36:8 38:1, 38:9, 41:5, NELSON [1] - 1:3 opinion [4] - 4:24, 41:11, 41:23, 56:4, obligation [1] - 85:3 46:22, 47:2, 56:17 Nelson [13] - 3:3, 5:21, 56:19, 56:22, 57:1, obviously[1] - 66:7 6:5, 6:7, 6:22, 6:23, opinions [1] - 89:9 57:6, 57:8, 57:11, 18:17, 23:25, 46:15, occasion[1] - 95:23 57:19, 66:17, 67:14, opportunities[1] -56:8, 68:3, 68:14, 74:21. 91:20. 91:24. OCCURS [1] - 41:23 62:5 93:20 92:9. 92:11. 92:15. opportunity [9] - 4:2, OF [1] - 1:1 93:19, 94:16, 94:17, Nelson's [1] - 11:7 55:1, 55:3, 62:7, off-the-clock [1] -96:25 never_[4] - 9:14, 83:23, 76:16, 77:11, 77:13, number [48] - 3:19, 4:3, 20:13 88:8, 90:16 77:19, 88:3 4:11, 5:6, 5:13, 5:16, offended [1] - 5:19 **next** [15] - 11:15, 15:7, oppose [1] - 43:23 5:22, 7:4, 10:3, 10:13, offer [10] - 35:7, 55:12, 18:19, 33:18, 38:13, opposed [4] - 17:21, 11:3, 11:4, 12:2, 56:11, 56:13, 56:24, 64:11, 79:9, 79:10, 14:19, 16:10, 16:13, 34:4, 44:21, 65:24 56:25, 76:5, 76:13, 79:14, 79:19, 79:24, 19:14, 21:5, 22:10, opposing [2] - 49:24, 77:24, 78:15, 78:20, 80:8, 86:4 27:17, 30:22, 36:11, 70:25 offered [1] - 64:7 36:12, 36:21, 38:5, night_[8] - 4:8, 4:10, opt [1] - 25:6 offering [3] - 64:9, 80:4, 39:23, 40:22, 42:1, 46:3, 62:8, 62:20, opt-in [1] - 25:6 49:23, 50:1, 50:4, 80:11 62:21 54:5, 57:18, 60:16, opted [1] - 43:4 offers [2] - 55:11, 64:23 62:2, 67:9, 67:13, nightly_[1] - 78:1 officer [2] - 38:16, 90:13 order [7] - 10:21, 11:11, 67:15, 67:22, 67:24, nights [1] - 69:2 13:20, 42:13, 44:10, 67:25, 68:7, 68:8, often [1] - 12:19 nobody [3] - 60:17, 64:3, 67:22 68:9, 68:10 Oil [2] - 39:14, 39:16 62:10, 62:21 numbers [3] - 7:10, ordinarily [3] - 54:22, omitted [1] - 29:13 non [1] - 43:24 55:16, 74:25 17:2, 19:3 once [3] - 3:21, 46:11, oriented [1] - 64:4 non-suited [1] - 43:24 Numbers [5] - 28:9, 74:18 30:20, 91:19, 91:21, original [1] - 46:10 noncash [1] - 51:18 92:12 one [74] - 4:19, 5:15, otherwise_[1] - 10:15 none [1] - 65:7 numerical [1] - 90:17 8:22, 9:2, 10:9, 10:14, ought[1] - 46:23 normal [1] - 9:14 10:19, 10:24, 10:25, 18:14, 20:12, 21:12, outcome [4] - 34:25, normally [3] - 54:16, 0 24:21, 24:24, 25:4, 49:19, 56:1, 70:15 60:13, 73:14 26:21, 28:10, 30:19, oath [1] - 85:3 outfits [1] - 62:25 **NOS** [2] - 82:14 30:21, 33:20, 36:9, object [14] - 27:11, outlined [3] - 76:14. **note** [4] - 8:22, 17:6, 37:18, 41:19, 42:7, 32:11, 32:12, 33:9, 35:10, 93:17 42:19, 42:20, 42:25, 80:14, 97:3 33:19, 33:23, 34:3, 43:17, 43:20, 44:16, overnight[2] - 3:16, Note [1] - 2:8 34:12, 34:17, 36:4, 48:22, 51:10, 52:9, 4:18

| overruled [15] - 33:17, 33:22, 34:2, 34:11, 34:16, 34:23, 35:6, 35:23, 36:6, 36:16, 36:23, 37:7, 38:17, 40:25, 45:3 overtime [12] - 27:24, 41:13, 42:6, 51:21, 51:23, 52:5, 52:12, 52:21, 52:24, 57:21, 83:12 overwhelmingly[1] - 63:15 owed [2] - 25:6, 69:11 OWn [4] - 19:22, 64:18, 89:9, 90:22 owner [1] - 66:24 | paperclip _[2] - 92:1, 92:5 paragraph _[1] - 47:11 Paragraph _[1] - 33:19 paraphrase _[1] - 22:9 pardon _[1] - 79:6 parking _[1] - 64:3 Parrish _[2] - 34:20, 95:2 parse _[1] - 28:15 part _[2] - 36:5, 66:14 particular _[5] - 19:19, 47:10, 52:25, 55:13, 74:4 parties _[11] - 3:14, 3:16, 27:5, 32:6, 34:5, 47:13, 54:5, 55:20, 80:19, 93:21, 94:25 | pays [4] - 54:18, 63:2, 74:22, 76:1 people [11] - 18:7, 18:10, 24:7, 43:7, 50:15, 53:23, 59:16, 64:13, 66:15, 79:13, 85:15 people's [1] - 64:8 per [17] - 4:25, 5:7, 13:1, 14:19, 14:20, 19:3, 20:4, 22:10, 22:11, 39:23, 40:23, 42:24, 51:15, 67:24, 72:13, 75:7 percent [1] - 78:24 perform [6] - 53:23, 54:17, 62:2, 73:15, 73:21, 82:3 performance [2] - | plaintiff [80] - 4:3, 4:9, 4:12, 4:17, 5:7, 5:14, 19:9, 20:14, 20:22, 25:5, 25:6, 25:12, 28:24, 30:5, 30:13, 30:14, 30:15, 30:21, 30:23, 30:25, 31:1, 31:3, 32:9, 32:14, 32:22, 33:4, 35:3, 35:14, 36:2, 36:8, 36:17, 37:19, 37:21, 37:24, 41:12, 50:22, 51:3, 51:7, 51:10, 51:12, 51:18, 51:22, 52:1, 52:6, 52:9, 52:11, 52:25, 53:6, 53:8, 53:10, 53:13, 53:14, 54:2, 54:18, 55:1, 55:11, 55:18, 56:4, 56:6, 56:8, |
|--|--|--|---|
| P.M _[1] - 1:4 | parties' [2] - 55:19, 95:3 party [5] - 46:25, 48:7, | 35:2, 56:3 performed [2] - 22:25, | 56:10, 56:19, 56:23, 57:12, 57:14, 57:20, |
| p.m [10] - 17:4, 44:23, | 48:13, 49:18, 64:16 | 53:14 | 57:22, 58:3, 74:21, 79:9, 82:11, 83:4, |
| 45:20, 91:11, 93:16, | party's [2] - 48:10, | performing [1] - 55:14 | 83:18, 83:20, 86:4, |
| 93:17, 97:7 | 80:18 | performs [2] - 55:23, | 88:20, 94:16 |
| page _[16] - 7:4, 7:6, 7:9, 7:13, 7:19, 7:21, 8:7, | passion _[1] - 48:4 | 70:22 | plaintiff's [3] - 19:16, |
| 8:23, 11:9, 12:14, | past _[1] - 24:14 | period _[15] - 11:3, | 51:25, 54:8 |
| 13:23, 14:23, 34:13, | patient _[1] - 70:3 | 11:23, 27:14, 36:13, | plaintiff(s)) _[1] - 56:12 |
| 40:3, 77:24, 80:2 | patrol _[2] - 60:4, 60:8 | 51:11, 51:15, 52:10, 52:16, 56:7, 57:7, | PLAINTIFFS [1] - |
| Page [38] - 2:2, 6:8, 7:7, | patrons _[6] - 61:9, | 57:10, 67:19, 82:12, | 1:11 |
| 7:10, 7:15, 7:22, 8:5, 8:8, 8:9, 9:3, 9:5, 9:7, | 61:11, 72:10, 86:10, | 83:9, 83:10 | plaintiffs [48] - 3:7, 3:18, 3:20, 3:25, 4:1, |
| 11:19, 12:12, 12:15, | 86:14, 88:1 | periods _[3] - 4:25, 36:2, | 4:6, 4:18, 4:20, 4:22, |
| 13:3, 13:5, 13:17, | Pattern [4] - 34:10, 35:6, 35:22, 95:16 | 64:15 | 5:6, 18:13, 19:5, |
| 14:6, 14:24, 15:9, 15:13, 16:9, 16:21, | pattern [10] - 27:8, | permitted [1] - 58:22 | 23:12, 24:24, 30:9, |
| 17:1, 22:8, 33:13, | 28:16, 33:14, 33:21, | person _[4] - 35:15, | 31:2, 31:5, 32:10, 32:12, 32:25, 33:2, |
| 34:25, 37:16, 38:3, | 34:1, 34:15, 34:22, | 61:23, 88:6, 88:10 | 33:9, 34:20, 35:7, |
| 40:4, 40:5, 40:16, | 39:20, 95:7, 95:11 | perspective _[2] - 22:23, 44:2 | 37:21, 38:12, 48:5, |
| 79:8, 82:9, 82:24, 85:19 | pay [35] - 13:9, 37:4, | phone [1] - 55:15 | 56:12, 56:18, 56:21, 56:25, 57:1, 57:15, |
| pages _[1] - 27:7 | 50:24, 51:12, 51:21, 51:23, 52:11, 52:12, | phones _[1] - 24:12 | 57:23, 66:18, 70:12, |
| Pages [3] - 72:17, | 52:13, 52:21, 52:23, | phrase [5] - 40:6, 40:12, | 71:3, 71:9, 72:22, |
| 72:19, 76:14 | 52:24, 53:1, 53:8, | 40:16, 40:17, 52:17 | 73:25, 82:25, 83:18, 84:19, 92:14, 92:22, |
| paid [31] - 51:16, 53:6, | 53:13, 53:19, 55:24, 58:16, 62:15, 62:24, | pick _[1] - 43:8 | 92:25, 94:10, 94:22 |
| 53:15, 54:18, 54:23, | 63:3, 63:5, 63:6, | piece [5] - 5:5, 54:19, | Plaintiffs [1] - 3:9 |
| 55:6, 58:22, 58:23, 61:5, 61:6, 61:13, | 64:10, 64:23, 65:18, | 74:22, 75:15, 76:2 | Plaintiffs' [6] - 91:19, |
| 61:22, 62:14, 62:19, | 70:22, 72:10, 75:13, 75:19, 78:9, 78:11, | piece-work [1] - 54:19 | 91:20, 91:21, 91:23, |
| 63:3, 63:5, 65:11, | 83:2, 86:22 | pinning [1] - 21:4 | 92:9, 92:12 |
| 66:21, 72:5, 74:21, 75:1, 75:6, 75:9, | paycheck[1] - 81:6 | place [5] - 23:2, 26:25, | plaintiffs' [8] - 5:9, |
| 75:12, 76:3, 76:7, | paying _[2] - 52:5, 67:6 | 35:8, 65:14, 96:15 | 19:19, 26:4, 34:4, 44:2, 51:2, 55:7, 71:20 |
| 76:19, 77:25, 78:3, | payment _[1] - 39:12 | placed _[1] - 46:10 | play _[1] - 27:2 |
| 78:8, 84:17 | payroll _[1] - 63:9 | places _[1] - 49:14 | plays _[2] - 60:24, 61:1 |
| paper _[2] - 70:9, 87:3 | [3.1.j. 2.1.[1] 00.0 | | pidy 3 [2] - 00.24, 01.1 |

PLLC_[1] - 1:12 plumber [1] - 87:21 plumbers [1] - 59:1 plurality [1] - 30:17 plurals [1] - 30:7 plus [2] - 12:5, 71:19 PM_[2] - 7:16, 8:6 pocket_[2] - 58:14, 89:1 point [16] - 3:21, 4:2, 5:10, 5:20, 9:19, 20:7, 20:11, 27:3, 32:25, 33:2. 41:1. 43:17. 63:4. 63:16. 87:18. 90:22 pointed [3] - 3:20. 18:20, 23:12 pointing[1] - 10:19 pole [1] - 63:25 political [1] - 18:5 posed [1] - 86:9 position [2] - 4:22, 24:17 positive[1] - 38:20 possibly[1] - 96:10 post [1] - 26:17 potential [1] - 39:8 practices [1] - 39:13 pre [1] - 72:3 pre-negotiated [1] -72:3 predetermined [2] -55:5, 76:18 predicated [2] - 38:18. 38:20 preexisting[3] - 78:1, 78:5, 78:8 prefer [1] - 92:2 preference [1] - 31:17 prejudice [2] - 48:4, 49:9 prepared [3] - 20:15, 32:4. 46:8 preparing [1] - 46:1 preponderance [6] -48:11, 48:14, 49:4, 51:8, 52:7, 53:17 present_[5] - 3:14, 3:15, 32:7, 93:21, 93:22

presented [7] - 19:4, 21.13 24.10 48.3 70:7, 71:20, 86:13 presiding [1] - 7:17 Presley [3] - 13:15, 56:9, 68:23 pretty [3] - 9:16, 27:9, previously [2] - 19:18, 32.23 **prima** [1] - 3:19 primarily [4] - 20:11, 40:7, 40:18, 52:18 print_[2] - 42:18, 42:24 printing [1] - 42:23 problem [1] - 25:9 procedurally [1] -96:13 Procedurally [1] procedures [1] - 29:13 proceed [5] - 23:18, 45:5, 58:6, 69:22, 86:7 Proceedings [1] -1:24 proceedings_[2] -45:25, 97:12 procured [1] - 55:13 produce 131 - 20:20. 20:21, 24:18 produced [2] - 1:25, profile [2] - 4:16, 27:20 profit [2] - 55:3, 76:17 prohibited [3] - 57:3, 57:4, 67:2 promise [1] - 37:15 proof [3] - 24:13, 48:8, 48:9 properly [8] - 35:17, 36:3. 58:21. 58:23.

62:19. 65:11. 66:21.

property [1] - 72:7

propose [1] - 10:24

proposed [13] - 25:1,

27:4, 32:14, 33:1,

33:3, 35:7, 36:25,

37:2, 39:2, 39:18,

41:1, 95:7, 96:24

67:6

prostitution[1] - 84:2 protect [2] - 88:10, 88:11 protections [1] - 69:13 prove [9] - 48:12, 48:13, 50:3, 51:7, 52:6, 53:10, 53:17, 66:9, proved [11] - 30:5, 30:9, 30:15. 30:22. 30:23. 56:4, 56:6, 56:18, 56:19, 57:1, 82:11 proven_[2] - 48:20, 82:17 proves [1] - 48:24 provide [11] - 3:17, 39:2, 66:5, 73:9, 75:22, 76:6, 78:24, 79:11, 79:12, 84:20, 94:4 provided [15] - 4:18, 4:20, 4:23, 5:24, 32:5, 32:23, 33:1, 54:25, 64:5, 66:14, 74:9, 75:3, 94:6, 94:18, 96:7 provides [13] - 38:11, 54:20, 55:7, 55:8, 63:24, 74:23, 76:1, 76:15, 78:16, 78:21, 79:1, 87:25, 88:1 public [10] - 55:12, 64:7, 64:11. 79:10. 79:12. 79:14, 79:25, 80:5, 80:11 pull [2] - 7:1, 7:2 pulling_[1] - 84:18 punching [1] - 59:23 purpose [1] - 94:7 purposes [2] - 26:7, 28:15 put [6] - 25:2, 30:19, 82:13, 82:14, 84:13, 85:10 Pye [1] - 39:14

Q

QUESTION [35] 8:16, 9:16, 12:21, 13:6, 13:22, 15:15, 15:20, 74:1, 74:3, 74:6, 74:9, 74:12, 75:11, 75:15, 75:17, 75:19, 75:22, 76:6,

76:11, 77:10, 77:13, 77:16, 77:25, 78:20, 78:24, 79:1, 79:18, 79:22, 79:24, 80:3, 80:8, 80:11, 81:11, 81:21, 81:23 questioning [2] -19:12, 19:13 Questions [2] - 57:16, 57:24 questions[11] - 16:18, 28:2, 28:5, 29:20, 38:14, 38:18, 38:21, 49:5, 67:4, 90:6, 93:23 quick_[1] - 31:15 quickly [1] - 37:16 quote [2] - 25:5, 33:14

R

raise [1] - 4:14 range [1] - 68:22 rate [11] - 52:14, 52:21, 52:22. 53:1. 53:2. 55:5, 76:3, 76:18, 78:1, 78:5, 78:8 rather [1] - 32:15 re [1] - 89:9 re-examine [1] - 89:9 reach [2] - 89:5, 90:25 reached [2] - 3:21, 90:5 read [11] - 9:17, 9:21, 38:13, 39:4, 39:23, 46:9, 46:12, 46:13, 78:7, 94:19, 96:8 reading [1] - 91:12 reads [1] - 40:22 ready [1] - 45:5 real [1] - 63:21 realities [3] - 32:19, 54:4, 95:1 reality [1] - 59:3 really [12] - 21:1, 22:15, 23:11, 44:17, 58:10, 58:13, 65:4, 65:6, 71:11, 72:15, 85:9, 85:14 reason [5] - 25:20, 39:6, 86:21. 87:10. 88:17 reasonable[11] - 4:14,

19:3, 19:8, 19:15,

39:10, 48:19, 51:17,

53:15, 53:18, 83:1, 83:3 reasonably [4] - 30:24, 41:12. 57:12. 57:20 reasons [1] - 10:14 Rebuttal [1] - 2:7 rebuttal [4] - 28:25, 29:3, 29:14, 86:5 recapture [1] - 37:3 receive [3] - 65:16, 70:9, 86:23 received [3] - 35:9, 44:4, 93:23 recess [3] - 92:25, 93:9, 93:15 Recess [4] - 26:10, 44:23, 93:16, 97:7 reckless [4] - 39:1, 39:4, 57:3, 67:1 recognize[1] - 21:13 recollection [2] -89:20, 89:22 recommended [1] -33:1 record [12] - 3:6, 3:13, 9:17, 24:5, 24:6, 24:18, 26:12, 29:24, 31:24, 93:19, 96:13, 97:12 recorded [1] - 1:24 records [12] - 6:8, 20:24, 53:3, 53:4, 53:7, 53:9, 53:13, 64:19, 66:4, 66:5, 66:10, 83:8 recover_[4] - 48:15, 53:15, 53:16, 56:20 recurring [1] - 52:16 redrafted [1] - 27:3 reduce [1] - 37:4 reduces [2] - 15:2, 15:6 reducing [1] - 58:15 refer [1] - 50:23 reference [1] - 32:24 referenced [1] - 96:3 referred [1] - 7:4 referring [1] - 4:13 reflect [1] - 3:13 refuse [2] - 61:11, 74:6 regard [21] - 6:7, 7:11,

8:20, 12:8, 13:15, 15:8, 16:13, 19:15, 20:10, 35:24, 35:25, 36:7, 47:9, 61:13, 62:5, 62:23, 66:17, 67:7, 67:10, 68:14, 86:10 regarding [2] - 39:12, 41:5 regardless[1] - 46:22 regards [2] - 33:11, registration [1] - 64:3 regular_[8] - 13:7, 52:14, 52:22, 53:1, 83:11, 87:2, 87:5 regularly [1] - 52:16 regulations [1] - 24:6 rejected [1] - 35:10 relationship[11] -54:5, 54:9, 55:20, 55:23, 65:13, 71:12, 72:8, 73:5, 80:19, 86:16, 86:20 relationships [2] -86:21, 88:20 relative [2] - 50:1, 50:2 relatively [1] - 21:11 released [1] - 31:20 relevant [6] - 11:24, 51:11, 52:10, 56:7, 82:12, 94:25 rely [5] - 20:22, 25:22, 66:12, 89:17, 89:19 remain [1] - 93:3 remaining [1] - 53:24 remember [9] - 19:6, 50:15, 60:15, 72:24, 73:1, 76:21, 79:10, 85:22, 89:13 remembering [1] -69.5

remembers [1] -

removing [1] - 96:14

repetitive [1] - 70:2

Reporter [1] - 1:22

REPORTER'S [1] -

50:15

rent[1] - 63:8

repeat [1] - 29:1

report [1] - 81:4

97:10 Reporter's [1] - 2:9 represent_[1] - 88:12 representative [4] -19:2, 19:18, 19:25, 59.15 represented [2] -32:6, 95:10 request [3] - 8:2, 39:22, 41:11 requested [6] - 3:1, 3:16, 45:2, 94:5, 95:24, 97:8 require [3] - 51:5, 52:3, 63:22 required [17] - 26:17, 34:6, 37:4, 48:1, 48:9, 50:25, 51:13, 51:14, 51:23, 52:12, 59:18, 59:22, 59:25, 60:9, 90:9, 94:19 requirements [1] -27:6 requires [4] - 49:3, 52:13, 53:4, 60:15 reread [2] - 94:7, 96:7 rereading [1] - 94:7 respect [2] - 32:11, 56:11 respectfully [1] - 85:18 respond [3] - 90:14, 94:1, 94:17 response [6] - 94:9, 94:21, 96:6, 96:24, 97:1, 97:2 responsibility[1] -46:18 rest_[1] - 47:17 restaurant [2] - 64:25, result [2] - 38:22, 45:2 retire [1] - 46:11 retirement [4] - 54:21, 61:19, 74:24, 76:7 retry [1] - 26:23 return [8] - 38:15, 85:18, 90:20, 91:4, 91:5, 91:8, 93:12 returned [1] - 90:20 review [1] - 5:25

revisions [1] - 43:2 revisit[1] - 96:10 revisiting[1] - 26:18 Reyna[1] - 1:20 rightfully [1] - 73:23 rise [3] - 45:19, 91:10, risk [11] - 34:4, 55:1, 55:3, 55:5, 62:5, 62:9, 76:17, 76:19, 76:22, 77:16, 77:19 risks 111 - 62:20 RMR_[2] - 1:22, 97:16 room [10] - 46:10, 60:4, 60:5, 70:10, 70:16, 77:6, 89:24, 90:20, 91:7, 91:9 rough [5] - 5:23, 7:9, 8:18, 11:18, 22:8 Rough [3] - 7:15, 7:19, 12:9 routine [1] - 82:4 RPR[1] - 1:22 rude [1] - 61:9 rule [2] - 48:10, 49:1 ruled [1] - 96:16 rules [1] - 24:6 run [3] - 63:13, 64:4, 64:19 running [1] - 63:12 runs [1] - 87:4 Rusk [1] - 1:23

S

safer [1] - 27:2

Saturday [7] - 6:16,
6:19, 12:1, 12:4,
67:12, 68:15, 87:7

Saturdays [1] - 83:6

scale [1] - 59:15

schedule [11] - 6:10,
6:15, 8:21, 9:11, 12:1,
71:16, 74:10, 81:2,
82:4, 87:1, 90:22

schedules [3] - 87:3,
87:5, 87:8

science [1] - 18:5

sealed [1] - 96:4

Review [1] - 2:8

76:8 49:2, 50:18, 74:12 Southern [6] - 20:19, search [1] - 8:25 setting [1] - 36:1 single [6] - 17:3, 25:4, 46:14. 95:13. 95:20. seat [3] - 3:3, 7:25, 34:25, 50:3, 56:1, 95:24. 96:2 seven [11] - 12:4, 16:1, 44:24 83:21 SOUTHERN [1] - 1:1 16:3, 16:12, 17:19, seated [3] - 26:11, sister_[2] - 23:25, 24:1 18:1, 18:6, 52:16, **sparse** [1] - 21:12 45:21, 93:18 68:17, 69:7, 69:8 sit [1] - 76:23 speaking_[2] - 21:17, second_[7] - 22:5, 22:8, several_[2] - 27:7, 59:6 situation [2] - 21:2, 22:22, 41:10, 44:22, 48:21 sexually[1] - 64:4 75:11, 94:15 95:1 **special** [4] - 35:18, sexually-oriented[1] secondarily [1] - 23:5 SIX [31] - 6:16, 6:19, 8:16, 36:3, 54:16, 73:14 - 64:4 8:17, 8:19, 9:4, 9:14, section [1] - 39:23 specific_[8] - 4:11, 4:12, 9:16, 9:23, 9:24, 10:4, shall_[1] - 38:14 4:14. 19:16. 20:19. Section [2] - 33:23, 12:20, 12:25, 13:1, share [1] - 67:8 21:20, 94:2 34:18 13:4, 16:1, 17:15, specifically [3] - 4:5, sheet [1] - 20:14 **security** [8] - 63:12, 19:10, 33:18, 55:18, 32:17, 35:25 68:4, 68:5, 68:15, 64:2. 76:19. 78:10. sheets[1] - 91:24 68:18, 68:20, 72:19, **spectrum** [6] - 58:18, 78:12, 80:9, 90:13 **shift** [29] - 4:8, 4:9, 4:10, 72:25, 73:2, 81:11, 58:21, 70:25, 87:10, **Security** [1] - 55:6 4:14, 6:16, 6:19, 8:12, 85:24, 85:25 87:13, 87:18 see [15] - 7:14, 9:23, 13:7, 15:16, 15:18, sixth [1] - 80:16 **spend** [2] - 62:7, 77:5 14:4, 18:17, 27:18, 17:3, 17:4, 17:8, skills [2] - 54:16, 73:14 **spending** [1] - 69:25 27:22, 31:16, 39:19, 17:11, 17:24, 17:25, 59:3, 61:13, 72:18, 19:10, 22:1, 24:19, **slide** [1] - 76:5 **spent** [6] - 40:7, 40:13, 73:24, 75:10, 77:9, 68:2, 68:8, 68:10, 40:18, 52:18, 77:5, slow [1] - 62:10 79:16 68:25, 69:8, 69:9, 81:5 84.4 **snapshot**[1] - 10:19 **seek** [1] - 39:12 **shifts** [11] - 3:22, 4:6, **splitting** [1] - 63:20 Social [1] - 55:5 8:14, 9:10, 13:25, seeks_[2] - 53:19, 83:2 stab [1] - 85:16 17:5, 21:16, 21:19, social [3] - 76:19, 78:9, select [1] - 90:2 21:25, 63:17, 68:3 **stage** [3] - 59:12, 61:25, 78:11 **self** [1] - 53:24 shocking [1] - 88:7 socializing [1] - 66:15 self-employed [1] stamped [1] - 12:12 shoes [3] - 59:19, sole [1] - 47:3 53:24 62:25, 64:6 stand [6] - 49:8, 49:15, **soliciting**[1] - 64:14 semicolon [1] - 38:5 60:21, 72:22, 72:23, **show** [5] - 8:14, 23:11, someone [2] - 53:22, 77:4 send [2] - 28:22, 91:14 39:4, 39:5, 59:8 standard [2] - 27:12, showed [4] - 23:8, 23:9, sense [2] - 85:12, 85:13 sometimes [4] - 14:20, 27:13 57:3, 84:2 sentence [1] - 34:24 22:11, 77:13, 83:6 Standards [9] - 23:4, **shows** [4] - 63:21, 75:4, separate [1] - 92:6 **somewhere** [2] - 68:6, 33:8, 40:24, 50:21, 88:16, 88:17 **serve** [4] - 61:8, 61:11, 87:16 50:25, 51:21, 51:24, sick [4] - 54:20, 74:24, 70:20, 71:2 64:13, 65:14 **SONQ** [7] - 71:21, 72:13, 75:22, 76:1 staple [1] - 92:1 service [1] - 90:8 75:8, 76:11, 77:3, side [4] - 5:15, 42:24, 80:24 **stapled** [1] - 91:25 services [18] - 53:24, 58:19, 87:23 songs [4] - 60:25, 61:1, 55:11, 55:12, 55:14, start [6] - 6:5, 15:18, sides [1] - 49:24 77:7 55:24, 64:7, 64:10, 28:3, 29:2, 31:16, sign [2] - 35:10, 90:7 64:11, 64:23, 70:22, SOON [2] - 43:11, 59:23 70:11 72:3, 79:9, 79:10, significance [1] started [9] - 15:15, **SOTTY** [9] - 6:12, 12:14, 79:11, 79:20, 79:24, 50:19 14:23, 15:12, 34:7, 15:17, 17:4, 46:3, 80:4, 80:8 Silk_[7] - 33:5, 33:20, 38:6, 45:9, 56:5, 92:10 46:5, 67:19, 69:10, **Services** [1] - 39:14 33:24, 34:6, 34:14, 81:15, 81:18 **sort** [3] - 9:9, 11:23, 46:3 serving [1] - 86:16 34:19 **state** [1] - 24:8 Sotto [4] - 12:10, 28:12, **SIIIy** [1] - 61:24 session [2] - 7:16, 8:7 State [1] - 25:5 35:13, 41:18 similar_[2] - 20:4, 61:3 **set** [16] - 33:5, 54:10, Statement [3] - 2:6, sought_[2] - 25:8, 27:20 54:11, 54:23, 61:22, similarity [1] - 61:14 2:6, 2:7 sound [1] - 63:6 62:1, 67:15, 67:16, statement [5] - 35:3, simple [1] - 50:13 sounded [1] - 21:18 72:17, 72:20, 73:6, 47:10, 50:7, 78:18, simply [5] - 21:4, 21:22, 73:7, 75:1, 75:6, 76:3,

95:2 STATEMENTS [1] statements [2] -47:14, 49:14 States [3] - 33:5, 39:14, 46.14 states [2] - 16:22, 17:1 STATES [1] - 1:1 status [3] - 35:21, 43:22, 43:23 statute [4] - 10:16, 11:2, 36:14, 36:19 stay [5] - 15:19, 17:24, 74:13, 91:1, 91:2 stenography[1] - 1:24 step[1] - 91:8 still [2] - 29:17, 44:14 stopping [1] - 91:16 stops [1] - 66:24 story [1] - 71:4 **straight** [1] - 35:5 straightforward [2] -27:10, 28:1 Street [2] - 1:17, 1:23 stretch [3] - 23:16, 29:7, 85:5 **strictly** [1] - 45:25 **strike** [1] - 81:18 struggled [1] - 27:16 stuff [1] - 85:6 subject [4] - 20:12, 45:2, 78:20, 78:21 subjective [1] - 94:24 submission [2] - 95:6, 96:14 submit [7] - 10:9, 66:23, 71:14, 82:13, 84:13, 84:19, 87:22 submitted [10] - 36:25, 38:25, 44:14, 47:23, 92:22, 95:11, 95:18, 96:16, 96:20, 97:2 submitting [2] - 41:25, 95:12 subsequent[1] -17:14 substance [2] - 55:21, 80:20

substantial [2] - 77:20, 91.15 substantiated[1] -19:22 succeed [2] - 51:7, 52.6 sued [1] - 88:8 **suffice** [1] - 39:9 sufficient [4] - 4:22, 23:10, 24:18, 50:3 sufficiently [1] - 21:20 Sugars [20] - 3:4, 31:1, 46:16, 50:22, 50:23, 50:24, 51:4, 51:22, 52:2, 56:7, 56:14, 57:2, 57:14, 57:22, 69:24, 70:16, 71:4, 82:12, 83:8, 93:20 SUGARS [1] - 1:5 suggested [1] - 17:7 suggesting[1] - 9:21 **suggests**[1] - 17:15 Suite [2] - 1:17, 1:23 suited [1] - 43:24 **sum** [5] - 30:24, 41:11, 57:11, 57:19, 77:20 summary [3] - 43:21, 44:9, 44:21 **summoned** [3] - 88:9, 88:18, 88:19 **sums** [3] - 31:2, 57:14, 57:22 Sunday [1] - 9:15 supervise [1] - 60:1 supervised[1] - 60:3 supervisor_[1] - 60:2 Supp_[2] - 4:21, 39:14 Supp.2d_[1] - 4:21 supplies [6] - 55:8, 55:9, 63:14, 78:17, 78:22, 79:2 **supports** [1] - 47:20 supposed [2] - 63:19, **supposedly**[1] - 60:10 Supreme [1] - 33:6 surrounding [1] switch [2] - 11:12, 75:11

swore [1] - 85:22 sworn [2] - 18:22, 25:13 sympathy [1] - 48:5 system [2] - 63:4, 63:6

T

table [1] - 86:15 tally [3] - 22:15, 22:18, 22:19 task [4] - 54:24, 75:2, 75:8, 91:18 taxes_[2] - 55:6, 76:19 ten [14] - 6:17, 8:17, 8:19, 9:4, 10:4, 13:10, 19:10, 20:17, 29:17, 68:4, 68:5, 68:15, 68:21, 69:7 ten-hour [1] - 19:10 term [1] - 39:24 terms [2] - 16:9, 67:8 test_[6] - 32:19, 50:1, 64:23, 79:7, 80:14, testified [24] - 4:3, 6:9, 6:14, 6:24, 8:12, 13:12, 19:9, 19:18, 23:7, 49:24, 50:4, 67:10, 67:19, 68:1, 68:3, 68:16, 68:18, 68:23, 69:1, 69:6, 70:13, 77:5, 77:9, 88:22 **testifies** [1] - 8:8 testify [3] - 4:9, 21:2, 25:18 testimonies [1] - 93:25 testimony [57] - 5:16, 6:2, 10:4, 16:6, 16:17, 17:10, 17:20, 17:23, 18:20, 18:22, 19:4, 19:6, 19:16, 19:20, 19:22, 19:24, 21:13, 21:16, 21:18, 21:20, 21:23, 22:6, 22:8, 22:25, 23:20, 24:4, 24:10, 25:21, 47:12, 47:22, 48:17, 48:22, 49:7, 49:11, 49:16, 49:19, 49:22, 49:25, 50:2, 50:8, 50:12, 61:25, 62:24, 66:13, 70:3, 73:25, 76:21,

77:3, 79:17, 81:10,

94:3, 94:6, 94:8 TEXAS_[2] - 1:1, 1:5 Texas [29] - 1:13, 1:18, 1:23, 3:4, 20:20, 31:1, 39:15, 46:15, 46:16, 50:22, 50:23, 50:24, 51:4, 51:22, 52:2, 56:7, 56:14, 57:2, 57:14, 57:21, 69:24, 70:16, 71:4, 82:12, 83:8, 93:20, 95:14, 95:20, 96:2 THE [187] - 1:8, 1:11, 1:15, 3:2, 3:13, 6:12, 6:21, 6:25, 7:4, 7:8, 7:13, 7:18, 7:20, 7:23, 8:3, 8:6, 8:9, 8:18, 9:4, 9:7, 9:19, 9:22, 10:2, 10:7, 10:18, 10:22, 11:4, 11:7, 11:14, 11:17, 11:20, 12:3, 12:11, 12:14, 12:16, 13:2, 13:4, 13:14, 13:16, 13:18, 13:21, 14:2, 14:4, 14:7, 14:9, 14:11, 14:13, 15:1, 15:6, 15:10, 15:14, 16:3, 16:7, 16:11, 16:15, 16:19, 16:23, 16:25, 17:8, 17:13, 17:18, 17:23, 18:3, 18:5, 18:9, 18:12, 18:14, 18:17, 18:25, 19:6, 19:20, 20:2, 20:6, 20:9, 21:7, 21:10, 23:13, 23:19, 24:20, 24:22, 25:9, 26:1, 26:11, 28:6, 28:9, 28:13, 28:19, 28:21, 29:1, 29:4, 29:8, 29:11, 29:15, 29:20, 29:23, 30:4, 30:7, 30:11, 30:19, 31:7, 31:9, 31:23, 32:3, 33:10, 33:17, 33:21, 34:1, 34:7, 34:10, 34:15, 34:22, 35:5, 35:9, 35:22, 36:6, 36:16, 36:23, 37:7. 37:10. 37:17. 37:22, 37:24, 38:2, 38:7, 38:10, 38:17, 39:18, 40:2, 40:5, 40:15, 40:25, 41:7, 41:14, 41:17, 41:21, 42:4, 42:9, 42:12, 42:15, 42:17, 42:23, 43:1, 43:5, 43:11,

43:15, 43:17, 43:25,

81:14, 88:17, 89:23,

44:3, 44:11, 44:13, 44:16, 44:18, 44:22, 44:24, 45:8, 45:13, 45:16, 45:18, 45:21, 58:6, 69:19, 69:22, 86:4, 86:7, 89:3, 91:12, 92:1, 92:4, 92:7, 92:9, 92:16, 92:18, 92:20, 92:23, 93:4, 93:6, 93:9, 93:18, 94:14, 95:6, 95:16, 95:21, 96:5, 96:16, 96:23, 97:5, 97:6 themselves [2] - 22:6. 65:5 therefore [3] - 35:19, 36:4, 49:19 they've [1] - 43:4 thin_[1] - 84:18 thinking [1] - 96:19 third_[2] - 76:13, 77:10 thousand [2] - 83:11, 83:14 thousands [1] - 63:6 three [22] - 12:6, 13:24, 14:2, 21:22, 22:2. 24:7, 30:23, 34:7, 55:1, 57:7, 68:23, 69:2, 69:25, 71:8, 77:2, 77:7, 83:9, 85:1, 85:10, 88:18 three-minute [1] -77:7 three-year [2] - 57:7, 83:9 threshold [1] - 20:22 threw [1] - 44:22 throughout_[4] - 30:9, 30:10, 37:14, 37:19 Thursday [1] - 67:11 Thursdays [2] - 6:10, time-stamped [1] -12:12 timed [1] - 29:15 timestamp [5] - 8:4, 9:6, 14:8, 14:16, 14:24 tip 181 - 65:20, 65:21, 71:22, 72:2, 72:5. 72:12, 72:13 tipped [6] - 58:22, 58:24, 59:4, 61:14,

69:14, 87:19 tips [15] - 58:23, 59:20, 61:6. 61:7. 61:8. 61:16, 62:9, 63:22, 65:10, 65:12, 65:16, 65:17, 66:1, 71:19, 72:14 Title [1] - 32:19 today [3] - 21:24, 69:5, tomorrow [1] - 91:4 took [7] - 27:4, 62:23, 67:7. 83:22. 84:6. 88:14, 89:15 tools [6] - 55:7, 55:9, 62:23, 78:16, 78:21, top [3] - 60:12, 72:12, 72:13 topic [6] - 22:23, 73:4, 75:11, 76:13, 77:10, 79:18 topics [3] - 73:1, 73:2, 81:11 total [18] - 10:3, 10:8, 11:4, 12:6, 19:14, 27:17, 29:4, 29:18, 36:11, 39:23, 40:22, 57:18, 63:12, 67:22, 67:24, 68:7, 68:10 totally [1] - 87:19 towards [1] - 12:24 transactions [1] - 60:9 transcribe [1] - 94:5 transcript [9] - 6:3, 7:1, 9:21, 11:12, 13:18, 15:11, 93:24, 94:7, 97:12 Transcript[1] - 1:25 transcription[1] - 1:25 transcripts_[4] - 5:23, 5:24, 5:25, 11:12

translated [1] - 40:12

treat [1] - 70:18

treated [3] - 61:4,

69:13, 86:14

50:12, 89:15

Trial [1] - 2:1

trial [8] - 21:16, 46:24,

47:2, 47:6, 47:20,

TRIAL [1] - 1:7

tried [1] - 72:24 triggered [1] - 26:5 trucks [4] - 43:6, 43:7, 43:8, 93:14 true [3] - 23:24, 61:21, 71:5 truly [1] - 87:19 truth [4] - 50:14, 85:2, truthfulness [1] - 49:6 try [1] - 85:5 trying [4] - 5:25, 24:4, 24:5. 28:15 Tuesday [6] - 6:15, 11:25, 12:3, 19:10, 67:11, 87:6 Tuesdays [2] - 6:10, 83:5 turn [1] - 66:2 turns [1] - 96:9 twenty_[1] - 77:1 two [26] - 14:14, 15:23, 15:25, 29:17, 38:5, 38:20, 42:21, 42:22, 42:24, 45:12, 48:21, 51:12, 52:11, 54:18, 57:9, 58:12, 59:3, 61:1, 63:17, 69:2, 74:21, 77:1, 83:9, 84:6, 88:17, 93:23 two-minute [1] - 61:1 two-year [2] - 57:9, 83:9 types [1] - 48:21 typical [3] - 9:11, 12:18, 12.21 typically [3] - 9:12, 12:23, 13:7 **typos**_[2] - 91:13, 91:15

U

ultimately [2] - 5:11, 35:16 unable [2] - 66:11, 95:12 unanimous [2] - 90:4, 90:5 unbiased [1] - 48:2 under [15] - 23:3, 33:7, 33:12, 35:4, 39:19,

39:21, 40:24, 56:20, 56:22, 57:16, 57:23, 65:11, 70:19, 85:3, 95:7 unduly [1] - 89:20 unfortunately[1] -34:9 unhappy [1] - 85:15 uniform [1] - 81:2 unimportant [1] -50:20 unit_[1] - 40:23 United [2] - 33:5, 46:14 UNITED m - 1:1 universal[1] - 30:8 unknown[1] - 63:5 unlawful_[2] - 51:5, 52:3 unless [1] - 61:9 unreasonable[1] -39:10 unsubstantiated [2] -18:21, 23:21 **UD** [32] - 7:1, 7:2, 8:14, 12:19, 16:17, 18:1, 21:2, 22:15, 22:18, 22:19, 23:8, 23:9, 25:19, 25:24, 26:17, 32:1, 42:24, 45:11, 59:15, 61:16, 66:24, 68:4, 68:19, 70:12, 71:3, 71:8, 71:10, 84:8, 85:1, 89:10, 91:21, 92:4 usual_[1] - 17:9

V

utmost [1] - 32:11

vacate [1] - 27:1

vacation [4] - 54:20,
 74:24, 75:22, 76:1

value [1] - 18:21

varied [1] - 9:12

varies [1] - 10:16

various [1] - 19:2

vary [1] - 8:15

Velasco [9] - 11:8,
 15:8, 15:10, 18:12,
 18:18, 56:8, 67:10,
 69:1, 83:20

verbally [1] - 87:4

verdict [19] - 26:4, 26:14. 26:15. 26:17. 26:22. 26:24. 26:25. 38:15, 44:6, 47:7, 48:6, 85:18, 86:3, 89:5, 90:4, 90:5, 90:7, 91:1, 91:2 Veronica_[2] - 11:15, 56:9 **verses** [1] - 33:6 versus [1] - 33:7 vested [2] - 70:14, 70:17 video [1] - 24:3 **view**[2] - 32:14, 87:18 VII_[1] - 32:19 violated [2] - 39:6, 56:14 violence [1] - 29:8 VIP_[1] - 77:6 VOCE [4] - 12:10, 28:12, 35:13, 41:18 voir[1] - 8:1 Volume [2] - 1:9 **VOLUME** [1] - 2:1 voluntarily[1] - 51:20 **voluntary** [1] - 81:23

W

wage [16] - 5:12, 6:20, 10:1, 37:4, 37:5, 40:13, 50:21, 50:25, 51:6, 51:13, 51:14, 51:16, 57:13, 58:13, 58:15, 58:16 waiting[1] - 38:6 waitress [9] - 59:23, 60:11, 61:7, 61:8, 64:25, 72:9, 81:21, 86:14, 86:15 waitresses[13] - 61:3, 61:5. 61:11. 61:15. 61:21, 62:6, 62:14, 62:20, 64:1, 64:12, 69:15, 87:19 **walk**_[3] - 6:21, 43:7, 93:14 walked_[2] - 79:15, 80:22 walking[1] - 24:3 Wallace [6] - 1:15,

3:11, 25:9, 61:20, 86:18, 87:12 WALLACE [29] - 3:11, 24:21, 24:23, 25:11, 29:6, 29:10, 29:22, 30:1, 30:5, 30:16, 31:6, 32:1, 37:13, 37:18, 37:23, 37:25, 38:3, 38:9, 38:11, 38:23, 41:15, 42:21, 45:15, 45:17, 69:21, 69:23, 92:8, 92:21, 93:8 Wallace..... [1] - 2:6 wants_[2] - 70:17, 77:21 warning [3] - 45:8, 45:13, 45:18 Warren [2] - 1:11, 3:8 Washington [1] - 1:13 **ways**[1] - 59:11 wear_[1] - 84:22 Wednesday [2] -43:6, 67:11 Wednesdays [2] -6:10, 83:6 week[37] - 4:4, 4:10, 4:12, 4:25, 5:7, 9:12, 10:9, 10:19, 10:24, 10:25, 12:5, 12:20, 12:23, 12:24, 13:1, 13:25, 15:20, 16:2, 16:5, 16:14, 19:3, 19:5, 20:4, 21:22, 22:2, 22:13, 36:12, 36:22, 52:25, 53:2, 67:24, 68:8, 68:14, 68:17, 68:19, 68:24, 69.6 weekend[3] - 12:2, 22:14, 68:17 weekly[1] - 78:1 weeks[11] - 11:4, 36:13, 42:1, 67:13, 67:15, 67:16, 67:18, 67:21, 68:7, 68:11 weighed[1] - 49:25 weighing[2] - 34:5, weight[4] - 32:17, 32:20, 50:8, 89:22 Wells [4] - 1:22, 97:11,

Western [1] - 39:15 whatsoever[1] - 66:25 whole [3] - 47:9, 70:14, 77:6 **wholly**[1] - 84:19 willfulness[3] - 10:17, 11:2, 66:23 William [1] - 1:16 willing[1] - 64:10 wine [1] - 72:11 wish [3] - 58:3, 69:19, 86:4 witness[22] - 11:15, 20:12, 21:14, 22:18, 49:8, 49:12, 49:15, 49:16, 49:18, 49:25, 50:3, 50:6, 50:9, 50:10, 50:13, 50:14, 50:16, 77:4, 77:5, 84:24, 88:9, 93:25 witness's [1] - 49:8 witnesses [20] - 21:14, 22:6, 23:1, 23:7, 47:12, 48:17, 49:6, 49:7, 49:24, 49:25, 50:1, 50:4, 71:8, 71:20, 71:21, 72:22, 72:23, 84:23, 85:1, women [2] - 21:3, 60:18 word [5] - 38:1, 39:22, 59:3, 93:1, 93:7 words [2] - 59:3, 78:6 worked' [2] - 40:6, 40:17 Worked..... [1] - 2:4 worker's [2] - 35:2, 56:3 workers [1] - 20:24 works [7] - 16:20, 52:20, 65:3, 72:9, 77:7, 84:8, 84:10 workweek[21] - 19:14, 23:5, 23:6, 39:22, 39:24, 40:23, 41:6, 41:8, 41:9, 41:25, 42:3, 42:4, 42:7, 42:9, 42:10, 52:5, 52:15, 52:20, 58:2 world [1] - 82:4 wrapping[1] - 45:11

write [1] - 90:12 writing_[2] - 90:15, 91:13 written [6] - 24:18, 38:25, 46:13, 74:9, 90:6. 93:24 wrote [1] - 5:6 Wyly [1] - 1:12 X Xander [1] - 1:16 Υ year_[14] - 22:3, 57:7, 57:9, 63:3, 63:7, 63:8, 63:9, 63:10, 63:13, 63:18, 63:21, 83:9 **yearly**[1] - 63:2 years [5] - 21:23, 24:14, 65:3, 65:4 yourself [1] - 89:6 Ζ Zannikos [1] - 39:16 **Zero**[3] - 58:15, 62:14, 62:16 Zones [1] - 14:14

Laura Wells, CRR, RDR

97:15, 97:16

West [1] - 1:17